Example 9: Variance estimates for Logistic Regression: Men and Women. Variance estimates in SAS, SUDAAN, STATA, and WesVar for the Probability of strongly agreeing with "a young couple should not live together unless they are married" regressed on age, sex, race and Hispanic origin, and education, males and females 15-44 years of age.

Following are the programs and output for the regression of the probability of strongly agreeing that "a young couple should not live together unless they are married" on age, sex, race and Hispanic origin, and education. Regression coefficients and odds ratios were generated by SAS 9.1, SUDAAN 8.0.2, STATA 8.0, and WesVar 4.1. The estimates calculated are equivalent across software. However, due to specific methods used in calculations, standard errors vary slightly across packages, and design effects vary more substantially.

SAS data files were converted to STATA 8.0 and SPSS formats (for use in WesVar 4.1) using DBMS/COPY 8.0. Variables in upper case are original NSFG Cycle 6 variables or recodes. Variables in lower case represent variables that were recoded as part of the variance estimation program. Library and file names are generic and it is assumed the user will apply names specific to his or her computing environment. Formatting and library options have been deleted; preferences will vary across user organizations.

SAS 9.1

The DATA, SET and MERGE steps create a dataset which contains the variables and recodes ('okcohabx', 'black', and 'hieducx') for males and females to be used in the analysis. The PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC models the relationship between a dichotomous variable ('okcohabx') and a set of predictors (AGER, 'hieducx', 'black', and FEMALE) specified in the MODEL statement. The WEIGHT statement identifies the weight variable (FINALWGT) to be used in estimating the model. PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC calculates standard errors appropriate to the complex sample design specified in the STRATUM and CLUSTER statements. The DEFF option, which requests calculation of design effects, is not available with PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC.

```
SAS 9.1 Program
data mlivtog (keep= CASEID AGER FEMALE HISPRACE HIEDUC SECU SEST FINALWGT OKCOHAB);
set NSFG.MALES;
data flivtog (keep= CASEID AGER FEMALE HISPRACE HIEDUC SECU_R SECU SEST FINALWGT OKCOHAB);
set NSFG.FEMALES;
SECU=SECU R;
proc sort data=mlivtog; by CASEID;
proc sort data=flivtog; by CASEID;
data NSFG.MF_LIVTOG;
                         merge mlivtog flivtog; by CASEID;
run;
data NSFG.EX9;
set NSFG.MF_LIVTOG;
if OKCOHAB=1 then okcohabx=1; else okcohabx=5;
if HISPRACE in (1 2 4) then black=0;
then black=1;
else if HIEDUC gt 9 then hieducx=1;
proc surveylogistic data=NSFG.EX9;
stratum SEST;
cluster SECU;
weight FINALWGT;
model okcohabx = AGER hieducx black FEMALE;
```

The estimated coefficients and odds ratios are equivalent to the other software systems.

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0 Test	Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Likelihood Ratio 433975.770 4 <.0001 Score 435582.565 4 <.0001 Wald 24.7892 4 <.0001 Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates Standard Wald Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Intercept 1 -2.7277 0.2099 168.9313 <.0001 AGER 1 0.00723 0.00703 1.0579 0.3037 hieducx 1 0.3204 0.1208 7.0301 0.0080 black 1 0.2710 0.1063 6.4945 0.0108 female 1 0.0626 0.1033 0.3675 0.5443 Odds Ratio Estimates Point 95% Wald Effect Estimate Confidence Limits AGER 1.007 0.993 1.021 hieducx 1.378 1.087 1.746 black 1.311 1.065 1.615 female 1.065 0.870 1.304 Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021			The SURVE	YLOGISTIC Pr	rocedure		
Likelihood Ratio 433975.770 4 <.0001 Score 435582.565 4 <.0001 Wald 24.7892 4 <.0001 Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates Standard Wald Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Intercept 1 -2.7277 0.2099 168.9313 <.0001 AGER 1 0.00723 0.00703 1.0579 0.3037 hieduox 1 0.3204 0.1208 7.0301 0.0080 black 1 0.2710 0.1063 6.4945 0.0108 female 1 0.0626 0.1033 0.3675 0.5443 Odds Ratio Estimates Point 95% Wald Effect Estimate Confidence Limits AGER 1.007 0.993 1.021 hieduox 1.378 1.087 1.746 black 1.311 1.065 1.615 female 1.065 0.870 1.304 Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021	Likelihood Ratio 433975.770 4 <.0001 Score 435582.565 4 <.0001 Wald 24.7892 4 <.0001 Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates Standard Wald Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Intercept 1 -2.7277 0.2099 168.9313 <.0001 AGER 1 0.00723 0.00703 1.0579 0.3037 hieducx 1 0.3204 0.1208 7.0301 0.0080 black 1 0.2710 0.1063 6.4945 0.0108 female 1 0.0626 0.1033 0.3675 0.5443 Odds Ratio Estimates Point 95% Wald Effect Estimate Confidence Limits AGER 1.007 0.993 1.021 hieducx 1.378 1.087 1.746 black 1.311 1.065 1.615 female 1.065 0.870 1.304 Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021		Testi	.ng Global M	Null Hypothe	esis: BET	A=0	
Score Wald 24.7892	Score Wald 24.7892	Test		Ch	i-Square	DF	Pr >	- ChiSq
Standard Wald Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq	Standard Wald Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq	Score			5582.565	4		<.0001
Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Intercept 1 -2.7277 0.2099 168.9313 <.0001 AGER 1 0.00723 0.00703 1.0579 0.3037 hieducx 1 0.3204 0.1208 7.0301 0.0080 black 1 0.2710 0.1063 6.4945 0.0108 female 1 0.0626 0.1033 0.3675 0.5443 Odds Ratio Estimates Point 95% Wald Effect Estimate Confidence Limits AGER 1.007 0.993 1.021 hieducx 1.378 1.087 1.746 black 1.311 1.065 1.615 female 1.065 0.870 1.304 Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021	Parameter DF Estimate Error Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq Intercept 1 -2.7277 0.2099 168.9313 <.0001		Analys	sis of Maxir	num Likeliho	ood Estim	ates	
AGER 1 0.00723 0.00703 1.0579 0.3037 hieducx 1 0.3204 0.1208 7.0301 0.0080 black 1 0.2710 0.1063 6.4945 0.0108 female 1 0.0626 0.1033 0.3675 0.5443 Odds Ratio Estimates Point 95% Wald Effect Estimate Confidence Limits AGER 1.007 0.993 1.021 hieducx 1.378 1.087 1.746 black 1.311 1.065 1.615 female 1.065 0.870 1.304 Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021	AGER 1 0.00723 0.00703 1.0579 0.3037 hieducx 1 0.3204 0.1208 7.0301 0.0080 black 1 0.2710 0.1063 6.4945 0.0108 female 1 0.0626 0.1033 0.3675 0.5443	Parameter	DF	Estimate				Pr > ChiSo
Point 95% Wald	Point 95% Wald	AGER hieducx black	1 1 1	0.00723 0.3204 0.2710	0.00703 0.1208 0.1063	1. 7. 6.	0579 0301 4945	0.3037 0.0080 0.0108
## AGER 1.007 0.993 1.021	## AGER 1.007 0.993 1.021			Odds Ra	atio Estima	es		
hieducx 1.378 1.087 1.746 black 1.311 1.065 1.615 female 1.065 0.870 1.304 Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021	hieducx 1.378 1.087 1.746 black 1.311 1.065 1.615 female 1.065 0.870 1.304 Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021		Effect					
Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021	Percent Concordant 54.7 Somers' D 0.133 Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021		hieducx black	1.3 ⁷	78 1.0 11 1.0)87)65	1.746	S 5
Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021	Percent Discordant 41.4 Gamma 0.139 Percent Tied 3.9 Tau-a 0.021	Associa	ation of	Predicted F	Probabilitie	es and Ob	serve	l Responses
		Per Per	cent Dis	cordant	41.4 3.9	Gamma Tau-a	D	0.139 0.021

SUDAAN 8.0.2

A SAS-callable version of SUDAAN 8.0.2 was used to calculate the estimates for this example. The DATA, SET and MERGE statements used to create a dataset and the variables needed for this analysis are identical to those used above in the SAS 9.1 program and are omitted for this program.

The PROC RLOGIST models the relationship between a dichotomous variable ('okcohabx') and a set of predictors (AGER, 'hieducx', 'black', and FEMALE) specified in the MODEL statement. The DESIGN used in this analysis is WR, with replacement. By specifying DEFT4 in the RLOGIST statement, design effects will be calculated. The NEST statement specifies the strata (SEST) and cluster (SECU) variables for calculating standard errors appropriate to the complex sample design. The WEIGHT statement identifies FINALWGT for estimation.

```
SUDAAN 8.0.2 Program
(same recode as required in SAS9)
proc sort data=NSFG.EX9;
by SEST SECU;
proc rlogist data=NSFG.EX9 design=wr deft4;
weight FINALWGT;
nest SEST SECU;
model okcohabx = AGER hieducx black FEMALE;
run;
```

The estimated coefficients and odds ratios calculated by SUDAAN 8.0.2 are identical to those from SAS 9.1.

```
SUDAAN 8.0.2 Output
                                  SUDAAN
            Software for the Statistical Analysis of Correlated Data
           Copyright Research Triangle Institute January 2003
                                Release 8.0.2
Number of zero responses : 11503
Number of non-zero responses: 1068
Independence parameters have converged in 5 iterations
                                : 12571
Number of observations read
                                              Weighted count:122707736
Observations used in the analysis: 12571
Denominator degrees of freedom: 84
                                              Weighted count:122707736
Maximum number of estimable parameters for the model is \, 5 \,
File NSFG.EX8X contains 168 Clusters
 168 clusters were used to fit the model
Maximum cluster size is 316 records
Minimum cluster size is 11 records
Sample and Population Counts for Response Variable OKCOHABX
 0: Sample Count 11503 Population Count 111258567
1: Sample Count 1068 Population Count 11449169
R-Square for dependent variable OKCOHABX (Cox & Snell, 1989): 0.003530
-2 * Normalized Log-Likelihood with Intercepts Only : 7796.96
-2 * Normalized Log-Likelihood Full Model
                                              : 7752.50
: 44.46
Approximate Chi-Square (-2 * Log-L Ratio)
Degrees of Freedom
Note: The approximate Chi-Square is not adjusted for clustering.
      Refer to hypothesis test table for adjusted test.
Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR)
SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983)
Working Correlations: Independent
Link Function: Logit
Response variable OKCOHABX: OKCOHABX
Independent
                                                                          P-value
 Variables and
                       Beta
                                                                           T-Test
  Effects
                       Coeff.
                                    Beta #4
                                                  SE Beta T-Test B=0
                                                                          B=0
                            -2.73
                                                     0.21
Intercept
                                         3.10
                                                                 -13.00
                                                                            0.0000
                                                    0.01 1.03
0.12 2.65
0.11 2.55
R's age at interview
                             0.01
                                         3.71
                                                                            0.3064
HIEDUCX
                             0.32
                                         3.64
                                                                            0.0096
BLACK
                             0.27
                                         1.53
                                                                            0.0127
FEMALE
                                                                  0.61
                             0.06
                                        2.81
                                                     0.10
                                                                            0.5460
```

Contrast Degrees of P-value Freedom Wald F Wald F OVERALL MODEL 5 542.13 0.0000 MODEL MINUS INTERCEPT 4 6.19 0.0002 INTERCEPT 1 168.97 0.0000 AGER 1 1.06 0.3064 HIEDUCX 1 7.03 0.0096 BLACK 1 6.48 0.0127 FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
OVERALL MODEL 5 542.13 0.0000 MODEL MINUS INTERCEPT 4 6.19 0.0002 INTERCEPT 1 168.97 0.0000 AGER 1 1.06 0.3064 HIEDUCX 1 7.03 0.0096 BLACK 1 6.48 0.0127 FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
MODEL MINUS INTERCEPT 4 6.19 0.0002 INTERCEPT 1 168.97 0.0000 AGER 1 1.06 0.3064 HIEDUCX 1 7.03 0.0096 BLACK 1 6.48 0.0127 FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
INTERCEPT 1 168.97 0.0000 AGER 1 1.06 0.3064 HIEDUCX 1 7.03 0.0096 BLACK 1 6.48 0.0127 FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
AGER 1 1.06 0.3064 HIEDUCX 1 7.03 0.0096 BLACK 1 6.48 0.0127 FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
HIEDUCX 1 7.03 0.0096 BLACK 1 6.48 0.0127 FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
BLACK 1 6.48 0.0127 FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
FEMALE 1 0.37 0.5460 Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
Variance Estimation Method: Taylor Series (WR) SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
SE Method: Robust (Binder, 1983) Working Correlations: Independent Link Function: Logit
Response variable OKCOHABX: OKCOHABX Independent Variables and Effects Odds Ratio Limit OR Intercept 0.07 0.04 0.10 R's age at interview 1.01 0.99 1.02
HIEDUCX 1.38 1.08 1.75
BLACK 1.31 1.06 1.62 FEMALE 1.06 0.87 1.31

STATA 8.0

The *use* statement specifies the dataset to be used. The *svyset* command specifies the weight (FINALWGT), strata (SEST), and cluster (SECU) variables to be used by STATA 8.0 in estimation. These settings are saved for the current session, but can be cleared by entering the *clear* command or running *svyset* again with different settings.

The *generate* and *replace* statements create recodes: 'okcohabx', 'hieducx', and 'black'. The *svylogit* the relationship between a dichotomous variable ('okcohabx') and a set of predictors (AGER, 'hieducx', 'black', and FEMALE) specified in the *svylogit* statement. The estimates provided are appropriate to the complex sample design identified by the *svyset* command. Design effect calculations are requested by entering *deff* after the *svylogit* command.

```
STATA 8.0 Program

use "EX9.dta"

svyset [pweight=FINALWGT], strata(SEST) psu(SECU)

generate okcohabx=0

replace okcohabx=1 if OKCOHAB==1

generate hieducx=0 if HIEDUC <=9


replace hieducx=1 if HIEDUC >9

generate black=0

replace black=1 if HISPRACE==3

svylogit okcohabx AGER hieducx black FEMALE, deff
```


The estimated coefficients as calculated by STATA 8.0 are identical to those calculated by SAS 9.1 and SUDAAN 8.0.2.




WesVar 4.1


Not all WesVar windows are displayed for this example. Readers may refer to Example 1 for a full set of windows. An SPSS file was imported for this analysis.


Window 1 displays the selection and categorization of variables to be used in the current analysis. After variables are selected and categorized, a new dataset is created.




Windows 2, 3 and 4 display the procedure for recoding OKCOHAB into 'okcohabx', HIEDUC into 'hieducx', and HISPRACE into 'black'. To create 'okcohabx', 'hieducx', and 'black' select *Recode* under the *Format* menu then the *New Discrete to Discrete* button.









The type of regression (Logistic) and parameter settings are selected in Window 5.




Window 6 displays the statistics requested.



Additional output is selected in Window 7.



Window 8 displays the selection of the dependent ('okcohabx') and independent (AGER, 'hieducx', 'black', and FEMALE) variables.



The output provided by WesVar 4.1 is a list-wise statement of all the estimates requested. The estimated coefficients are identical to those calculated by the other software systems. Design effect estimates are not available for output with regression estimates.

```
WesVar 4.1 Output
 WESVAR VERSION NUMBER: v4.1
TIME THE JOB EXECUTED : 15:43:27 10/18/2004
 INPUT DATASET NAME :
                         ex9.var
 TIME THE INPUT DATASET CREATED: 15:42:05 10/18/2004
FULL SAMPLE WEIGHT :
                         FINALWGT
REPLICATE WEIGHTS:
                         RPL1...RPL8
 VARIANCE ESTIMATION METHOD:
TYPE OF ANALYSIS:
                         LOGISTIC
CONVERGENCE CRITERION : 1e-06
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ITERATIONS :
 VALUE OF ALPHA (CONFIDENCE LEVEL %) :
                                          0.05000 (95.00000 %)
OPTION OUTPUT REPLICATE COEFFICIENTS :
OPTION OUTPUT ITERATION HISTORY : OFF
MODEL(S):
                 okcohabx = AGER hieducx black FEMALE
NUMBER OF REPLICATES :
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS READ :
                                  12571
WEIGHTED NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS READ:
                                          122707736.289
```

WesVar 4.1 Output Cont. OPTIONS : Intercept,
No Standardized Coefficient,
Degrees of Freedom = 7
t VALUE : 2.365
STARTING VALUES : INTERCEPT : 0.0000
AGER : 0.0000
hieducx : 0.0000
black : 0.0000
FEMALE : 0.0000
BY : None Specified. BY: None Specified. MISSING : (UNWEIGHTED) 0.000000 (WEIGHTED) 12571 (UNWEIGHTED) 122707736.288997 (WEIGHTED) NONMISSING : Success = records with dependent value equal to 0 : 1068 (UNWEIGHTED) 11449169.250616 (WEIGHTED) Failure = records with dependent value equal to 1 : 11503 11503 (UNWEIGHTED) 111258567.038382 (WEIGHTED) ITERATIONS REQUIRED FOR FULL SAMPLE : 6

MAXIMUM ITERATIONS FOR REPLICATE SAMPLE : 6

-2 LOG LIKELIHOOD FOR FULL SAMPLE : 75673537.40390

-2 LOG LIKELIHOOD FOR MODEL CONTAINING INTERCEPT ONLY : 76107513.46103 Negative log-likelihood: Likelihood ratio(Cox-Snell): 0.006 0.004 Maximum possible value: 0.462 Likelihood ratio(Estrella): 0.004 PARAMETER STANDARD ERROR TEST FOR HO: PARAMETER ESTIMATE OF ESTIMATE PARAMETER=0 PROB>|T| COMMENT INTERCEPT -2.73 0.344 -7.933 0.000 0.483 AGER 0.01 0.010 0.741 hieducx 0.32 0.087 3.674 0.008 black FEMALE 0.27 0.191 1.419 0.199 0.06 0.025 2,506 0.041

	INTERCE	PT	AGER	hieducx	black	FEMALE
INTERCEPT	1.0	00	-0.999	0.972	-0.913	0.285
AGER	-0.9	99	1.000	-0.977	0.899	-0.254
hieducx	0.9	72	-0.977	1.000	-0.851	0.208
black	-0.9	13	0.899	-0.851	1.000	-0.632
FEMALE	0.2	35	-0.254	0.208	-0.632	1.000
TEST	F VALUE	NUM. DF	DENOM. DF	PROB>F	NOTE	
OVERALL FIT	75.571	4	4	0.	001	
AGER	0.550	1	7	0.	483	
hieducx	13.498	1	7		800	
black	2.013	1	7		199	
FEMALE	6.278	1	7	0.	041	
PARAMETER	ESTIMATE	LOWER 95%	UPPER 95% NOTE			
AGER	1.01	0.984	1.031			
hieducx	1.38	1.121	1.693			
black	1.31	0.835	2.058			
FEMALE	1.06	1.004	1.129			