U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

+ + + + +

ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

WORK GROUP ON WORKER OUTREACH

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 2, 2009

+ + + + +

The Work Group convened in the Zurich Room of the Cincinnati Airport Marriott, 2395 Progress Drive, Hebron, Kentucky, at 9:30 a.m., Josie Beach, Acting Chair, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

JOSIE BEACH, Acting Chair WANDA MUNN, Member PHILLIP SCHOFIELD, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

TED KATZ, Designated Federal Official ISAF AL-NABULSI, DOE BOB BARAK* ANTOINETTE BONSIGNORE* DENISE BROCK, OCAS* MARY ELLIOTT, ATL MORIAH FERULLO, DOE* JOHN FUNK* STU HINNEFELD, OCAS* EMILY HOWELL, HHS J.J. JOHNSON, OCAS BONNIE KLEA, Santa Susana* MARK LEWIS, ATL JENNY LIN, HHS TRESE LOUIE, DOL VERNON MCDOUGALL, ATL ARJUN MAKHIJANI, SC&A JOHN MAURO, SC&A* KATHY ROBERTSON-DEMERS, SC&A FAYE VLIEGER* ABE ZEITOUN, SC&A

*Present via telephone

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 CONTENTS

Welcome and Introductions	PAGE 4
Implementation Plan Discussion and Approv	ral 8
Document and Tracking of Advisory Board Public Comments	67
Workers, Worker Representatives/Advocate Comments	141
Protocol for Authorization and Attendance Worker Outreach Meetings	e at 181
Action Items and Assignments	210

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 (9:33 a.m.) 3 MR. KATZ: Good morning everybody, everybody on the phone as well. This is the 4 Advisory Board on Radiation Worker Health. 5 б It's the Worker Outreach Work Group and we're 7 getting started here. Let me just make an announcement, our able Chair, Mike Gibson, has 8 a family situation and will not be with us 9 10 today, which is unfortunate. But we have an agenda and we have 11 12 Josie Beach has graciously offered to pitch in as the Chair for the day. And I think we know 13 where we're headed, so we should get started. 14 15 The first thing we'll do is run through roll 16 call as usual starting with Board members and we're all in the room. 17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Josie Beach 18 19 filling in as Chair for Mike Gibson today. No 20 conflicts. Wanda Munn, Board 21 MEMBER MUNN: member, 22 member of this Work Group, no

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 conflicts.

2 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Phil Schofield, 3 Board member, no conflict. MR. KATZ: Okay. And then just to 4 check there are no Board members, I assume, on 5 б the line. Yes, okay. Then the NIOSH, the 7 OCAS-ORAU team in the room? MR. HINNEFELD: Stu Hinnefeld, 8 Interim Director of the office. 9 10 MR. JOHNSON: J.J. Johnson, OCAS. 11 MR. KATZ: And when I say, okay, 12 ORAU, NIOSH and other contractors. 13 MS. ELLIOTT: Mary Elliot, 14 Advanced Technologies and Laboratories. 15 MR. LEWIS: Mark Lewis, Advanced 16 Technologies and Laboratories. We're subcontractors of NIOSH. 17 18 MR. MCDOUGALL: Vern McDougall, 19 ATL. 20 MR. KATZ: Thank you. And on the line, any other OCAS or ORAU or contractors? 21 22 MS. BROCK: Denise Brock,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ombudsman, NIOSH.

2 MR. KATZ: Welcome Denise. 3 Okay, that's it. And then SC&A in the room? 4 5 ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I'm Kathy MS. б Robertson-Demers, SC&A, no conflict. There's no conflict 7 MR. KATZ: potential for this Work Group. 8 9 Abe Zeitoun from ZEITOUN: MR. 10 SC&A. MAKHIJANI: Arjun Makhijani, 11 DR. 12 SC&A. MR. KATZ: And on the line, SC&A? 13 14 DR. MAURO: Yes, John Mauro, SC&A. 15 Good morning everyone. 16 MR. KATZ: Good morning, welcome. Okay. 17 And then HHS and other government officials and government -- other government 18 19 contractors in the room? 20 MS. HOWELL: Emily Howell, HHS. MS. LIN: Jenny Lin, HHS. 21 22 And on the line, DOE, MR. KATZ:

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DOL?

2 MS. AL-NABULSI: Isaf Al-Nabulsi, 3 DOE. MR. KATZ: Welcome. 4 5 MS. FERULLO: And Moriah Ferullo, б DOE. And Trese Louie, DOL 7 MS. LOUIE: ombudsman. 8 9 MR. KATZ: Welcome. Okay. And 10 then any members of the public who wish to identify on the telephone? 11 This is Bonnie Klea 12 MS. KLEA: 13 from the Santa Susana Field Laboratory in California. 14 15 MR. KATZ: Welcome Bonnie. MS. KLEA: 16 Good morning. 17 MR. KATZ: Okay, then. So that's Josie let me just ask since we have a few 18 it. 19 new faces or voices on the phone, please mute 20 your phones except when you're addressing the group and if you don't have a mute button *6 21 works, press *6 again to take it off of mute. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 And if you have to leave the call, 2 hang up don't put he call on hold because that 3 will disturb the conference. Thank you. 4 Josie?

5 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: We're going 6 to go ahead and start with the agenda. The 7 agenda is available on the website if anybody 8 does not have the agenda in front of them. 9 Also, the first item is implementation plan 10 discussion and approval.

Kathy did make some extra copies 11 of the implementation plan if anybody here in 12 13 the room needs a copy that is available. And 14 we'll just start with the Ι quess 15 implementation plan. Kathy, I think you were 16 going to lead this?

17 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes, let me make one clarification since Ted also sent out 18 19 copy of the implementation plan and а 20 basically what I have in the room and what he the same with minor editing sent out are 21 22 changes.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 At the last meeting we revised the 2 implementation plan substantially and sent it 3 out to the Work Group members and other 4 interested parties. And what you have in 5 front of you is that implementation plan with 6 the corrections accepted.

7 And at this point, we need to go 8 ahead and decide how we want to proceed with 9 this implementation plan and have some 10 discussion related to that.

just to remind 11 MR. KATZ: And people of where we were with this, I think 12 13 this was more or less accepted by the Work Group at the last Work Group meeting and at 14 the last Work Group meeting and subsequent 15 16 sort of email exchange opportunity following that meeting. 17

And the plan then at that time was to present it at the October Board meeting in New York, but during the October Board meeting in New York, the issue was raised of whether this Work Group was going to address public

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 comments that are provided at Board meetings.

2 And so I think that sort of is 3 what happened. Instead of discussing this plan at that Board meeting, it was sort of put 4 aside so this Work Group could consider that 5 б issue and whether or not it needs to be 7 addressed in this plan or can be addressed in parallel with having this plan, it was put 8 aside, so it sort of tabled the issue. 9 So 10 that's where we stand.

ACTING Well 11 CHAIR BEACH: we 12 actually approved the mission statement at the 13 October Board meeting and that was all that I thought and felt this Work Group needed to 14 15 have approved. I could be wrong on that. The 16 mission statement and then the rest of the plan was --17

MR. KATZ: So just to clarify, I mean the Work Group doesn't have to have the whole plan. I think that the idea was Mike wanted to present the plan to the Board for any feedback that the Board might give on the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

plan. But certainly, it doesn't need to be
 approved by the full Board, the plan.

3 But there really wasn't an opportunity for any exchange with the rest of 4 the Board about the contents of the plan. 5 б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Correct. That's all of them. 7 MR. KATZ: ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Do we need to 8 cover today bringing public comment into this 9 10 plan? Has that been added or we haven't discussed it all as a Work Group. 11

12 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Well having 13 talked to Mike Т think that this 14 implementation plan evaluates the NIOSH Worker 15 Outreach Program and that's kind of a separate 16 item. And I believe at the moment he wants to keep it that way, accept this implementation 17 plan and then agenda item 2 will get into 18 19 striking Board comments.

20 MR. KATZ: So that's a matter for 21 the Work Group to discuss.

22 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Right.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 MR. KATZ: I mean, how you want to 2 go forward with that.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: It's something that I have not discussed with Mike at all. So I don't know where he's at on that. I know he's spoken with Kathy.

7 MEMBER MUNN: But it does seem to 8 be a reasonable approach though. They are 9 two, although connected, necessarily different 10 types of activities and provide a different 11 set of data points really, much different 12 information than what we are --

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So at this time I think we should just go ahead and go on with the implementation plan we have in front of us. How do you guys want to do this? Do you want to go line by line? I know Kathy's asked for comments the last couple of days.

19 MEMBER MUNN: Yes, and she 20 received some comments from me, but they were 21 not on this. They were based on a prior 22 revision. And I still have some concern about

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 the last few bullets on page 5. That is to
 say under determining whether OCAS is
 effectively informing workers.

I know we discussed this at considerable length at our last meeting, but we still are left with the issue when we read these things I believe most of us know what we want to try to determine here.

9 But there's still a major question 10 when we identify a bullet that says do the 11 participants understand what to expect in the 12 dose reconstruction and petition processes.

13 I'm not sure we can ever identify 14 what someone else understands. It's not clear 15 to me how one can quantify that. How can you 16 participate -- how can we identify whether the 17 participant understands the requirements for 18 submitting and qualifying.

You can say, have the requirements for submitting and qualifying been presented to the participant, but there's no way that I am aware of if someone knows how to test --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: how to 2 measure that?

3 MEMBER MUNN: someone's ___ understanding then you're way ahead of me. 4 When we are wording these things, if we do not 5 6 know how and by whom then we need to perhaps reconsider the wording and what our 7 real objective is. Those three right there. 8

9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Do you have 10 some examples of different wording there that 11 you would like to submit to us?

12 MEMBER MUNN: The only thing that 13 I can propose is that we word them in such a 14 way that we question whether the participants 15 have been informed what to expect in the dose 16 reconstruction and petition process. Have the participants been advised of the requirements 17 for submitting and qualifying an SEC petition? 18 19 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: And Wanda, Ι 20 believe on the first two bullets, correct me if I'm wrong Stu, there is a publication they 21 hand out we had Board meetings and it's also 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 available on the website.

2	MEMBER MUNN: Yes. And the in
3	all of these cases we have materials that's
4	available to these people, but I think the
5	only thing we can really question is whether
6	or not they had been provided with them. I
7	don't think we can question whether they then
8	understood.
9	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well I
10	totally agree with you there. Maybe we need
11	to evaluate the material like you said and not
12	whether they understand it.
13	MEMBER MUNN: And then if we are
14	going to evaluate the material, who's going to
15	do that evaluation? Are we as a Work Group
16	going to do it? That's the next step in our
17	process and perhaps muddies the water to bring
18	that there right now.
19	But it appears it should be a
20	question that those of us who are writing this
21	have in our minds at the time we're
22	formulating the question.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

Can I say something 1 MR. KATZ: 2 just add something technically here --3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Sure. 4 MR. KATZ: ___ just about evaluating this kind of -- from my sort of 5 б general knowledge. I mean people evaluate 7 programs of training all the time and information and they do evaluate knowledge, 8 which is what you're questioning here. 9 10 Whether you want to hold OCAS sort of -- I mean I think it's a separate question 11 12 of whether -- how much accountability there 13 should be for knowledge gained. I mean, but that's not really -- if you want to know are 14

people -- do people really understand you can evaluate that and it's done in government and non-government programs all the time.

It tends to be fairly expensive, 18 19 you have to either focus group or survey 20 people to do that and ask them a lot of pointed questions to qet 21 at what they understand and what they don't understand. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And then it's a whole separate question as to 2 what's going on in terms of why it is if 3 they're not understanding things that they're 4 not understanding things.

And that, it's a very -- so it can 5 б be а very resource intensive process of 7 evaluating that. But it is something -- I just want to clarify people do all the time 8 9 evaluate that very question, do people actually understand what we're wanting them to 10 understand, to a training program, to 11 an 12 informational program or what have you.

13 But it's up to the Work Group as to how far down that road do you want to go? 14 Well, one also has 15 MEMBER MUNN: the issue of how do you even select 16 the participants for such an inquiry. And that in 17 itself is far beyond the scope of what our 18 19 goal, our stated goal was.

20 CHAIR BEACH: ACTING Well our 21 stated qoal evaluate whether OCAS's is communications 22 result in adequate

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

understanding of dose reconstructions in the
 use of IREP and SEC petitioning processes.
 So, Kathy, do you want to shed some light on
 what we're thinking there? Is that more
 towards the classes that OCAS gives or?

It's more б MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: 7 towards the workshops and the material the website to explain 8 provided on the 9 basic informational qivinq processes and 10 meetings.

MR. ZEITOUN: But the gist -MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: And it's in
the material.

MR. ZEITOUN: But the gist of the outreach program is to communicate and ensure that the public and whoever participates understand the issues. And that's practically the outreach, the outreach is not just giving information and leave.

20 And that's how I see it, you know, 21 when you say outreach, it's a communications, 22 it's ability to patch information, understand

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 that whoever receive it understand what he
2 received.

3 So that's outreach, you know, it's 4 just not just that information and that's it. 5 And that's what I see in the mission too --6 DR. MAKHIJANI: Well I think there 7 are two separate issues clearly as Ted said, 8 you know, you can evaluate what people are 9 learning --

10 MR. ZEITOUN: Right. Right. But how much of 11 DR. MAKHIJANI: 12 that reflects on what the people are bringing 13 and whether they're paying attention, nodding off or whatever and how much of it reflects on 14 the people who are making the presentation, I 15 16 mean this is a pretty tangled and difficult question. 17

I think what should be separated from evaluating the material and whether the materials that are hopefully understandable by those of us who are familiar with the thing at least to start with and whether they reflect,

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 you know, accurately what goes on.

2 And then as а separate matter 3 whether subset of people some are which material 4 understanding the in case trying to develop some criteria 5 what _ _ б whether it we're evaluating the work of NIOSH or we're evaluating the end result. 7

8 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. That 9 makes sense.

10 MEMBER MUNN: But it's really difficult to sit here and consciously consider 11 the possibility of the kind of understanding 12 13 exercise that we've just touched on. The cost and scope of such a program frankly frightens 14 15 me to death.

16 I can't imagine how much time, how much money and how much organization would be 17 involved in that kind of a process. 18 If we 19 want to -- I think we here as a Working Group 20 could assess and evaluate whether we consider the materials understandable at a 21 certain level. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

1 But again, if you're going to go 2 into this understanding issue then you have to 3 ask who's understanding. Are you going after lowest common denominator or is 4 the it a standard of understanding? 5 general The questions become extremely involved. б

7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well could it 8 be as simple as after workshop questioning a 9 couple of attendees to see what their general 10 understanding of the material they received? 11 Couldn't it be that as simple as that?

MEMBER MUNN: It could be, but the issue that you encounter there is the what if questions that people throw to you. Well you've already self selected the people who attended the workshop or people who wanted to understand anyway.

And does that mean that the people who didn't attend the workshop could ever understand this material? I don't mean to be negative about that, I'm just trying to point out the realities. If that's what the group

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 wants to do, then that's fine.

2 But you're right Josie, that if we 3 want to do a sample, sampling kind of, of how much of this did you really understand, do you 4 think it's okay, then that's something we 5 б probably could undertake. How thorough that 7 is in reaching, there's a common level of understanding, what's that mean? 8 I'm not 9 sure. 10 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: I've qot а question for you Stu. 11 Lori does a lot of these worker outreach meetings and stuff so 12 13 I'm sure she gets questions come back into Is any of this documented questions she 14 her. 15 receives and the answers that are then sent 16 out from OCAS? 17 HINNEFELD: Well if it's one MR. sponsored meetings, 18 of our like an SEC 19 outreach meeting, which is where she would go, 20 you know, to those meetings --21 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Right.

22 MR. HINNEFELD: So those are

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 coordinated through the ATL contract. And so 2 they would be -- that's one of the categories 3 of meetings that we document minutes and put 4 our outreach application on our website. So, 5 it's not on our public website, it's on our 6 staff tools.

So, in that instance, yes there's 7 documentation of that. Now in terms of 8 9 response back, I guess I'm a little out of the 10 loop now. How do we -- do we deal with 11 responding back to commenters or questioners 12 or do we feel like we answer them in the 13 meeting?

I think Lori is well 14 MR. JOHNSON: 15 capable and the answers that she provides are 16 sufficient to answer the questions that are 17 likely asked at any of those outreach meetings that she attends. There's information and 18 19 they're specifically for her SEC-focused and 20 consequently she's kind of a guru of that 21 area.

22 MR. HINNEFELD: And often times

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

there's a -- I don't know if this happens every time, but I think most of the time there's health physicist in there as well at those outreach meetings. So I mean someone who can provide an answer to a health physics type question.

7 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Well, is any of 8 this actually documented the feedback to the 9 claimant so that these questions come, and I 10 don't mean to put Lori --

MR. HINNEFELD: Well I guess if it
were --

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: -- on the spot.
MR. HINNEFELD: It would be
documented in the minutes of the meeting I
supposed. But this was -- I don't exactly
know.

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Okay. I'm assuming that maybe there's questions come back in days, weeks later to her from one of these meetings. And like I said I'm not trying to put her on the spot.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 MR. HINNEFELD: Well, any question 2 that would come into her later she would 3 answer.

4 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Right. But is 5 this documented anywhere?

б MR. HINNEFELD: It would be in the 7 email trail probably because that's usually how they come in and that's usually how she 8 9 So, I mean yes, I mean that's where answers. 10 it would be documented. The letter -- if it comes in in the letter, it will be probably 11 answered in a letter. 12

But most of them I think come in by email. If it comes in on a phone call I don't know that there will be a record of it. There might be and there might not.

MR. KATZ: Well, I mean, I think at times that that's recorded in either the SEC Viewer or in the -- for the claims if it's a claimant they'll make notations for --MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Right. Lori

22 will make notations that this is all about a

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 question --

2 MR. HINNEFELD: The claim specific 3 -- if a person calls with a question about their claim, that will go in NOCTS. 4 5 MR. KATZ: Right. б MR. HINNEFELD: If a person calls, particularly someone who's not a claimant and 7 has a question about SEC about calling an SEC 8 petition or something, I don't think we have a 9 10 place to record that right now. So it kind of 11 goes that way. 12 If someone who is a petitioner calls and, you know, I don't know if we record 13 that in SEC Viewer or not. 14 15 MR. KATZ: Let's ask, Denise are 16 you with us still? 17 MS. BROCK: Ι and is am, it. actually in SEC Viewer. Ιf we 18 have а 19 petitioner call then we can actually log that 20 right into the SEC Viewer for that petitioner. And, you know, many times I'll get calls from 21 22 claimants or folks that just have general

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 questions.

2 And if they're not a specific --3 if they don't have a specific claim, I actually log that in a report that I send to 4 5 Ted. б MR. HINNEFELD: Thank you, Denise. 7 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: May I ask a clarifying question? What is the difference 8 between the SEC Viewer and the docket? 9 10 MR. HINNEFELD: Well, a docket is most often used for regulatory processes and 11 its comments that received -- I don't even 12 13 know what puts something on the docket, do you know? 14 15 MR. KATZ: There is -- the website 16 invites comments, those go to the docket for comments on sort of TBD type profile matters. 17 invited 18 Those are as well as petition 19 evaluations. So, those can come into the I've never looked in the docket to 20 docket. see what's there. 21

22 MR. HINNEFELD: Our communications

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

staff, you know, keeps up with that and I
 don't know for sure how many things end up
 there.

4 It's not one of our applications I
5 can go look at like open my computer and look
6 at like I can with SEC Viewer.

7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So back to 8 Wanda's comment under objective 4. What are 9 some other thoughts on that needs to be 10 changed or --

ROBERTSON-DEMERS: 11 MS. I'm going 12 to throw out a suggestion and I'll just do it for one, for the first bullet. What if we 13 said, is communication provided on the dose 14 15 reconstruction and petition process effective. 16 MEMBER MUNN: But you still have the question of who defines that effective and 17

I guess if one wants to follow the train 18 how. 19 of reasoning that Josie presented for us 20 earlier, could pose the question we for example, were participants asked to verify 21

22 that they understand this?

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

I don't know what kind of quantification you'd get out of that, but it's one of the other options you could consider.

question really is are 4 The you 5 really qoinq to try to evaluate the б understanding that people have of the material that's been placed before them rather than 7 just the material itself. 8

And if you really and truly want 9 to try to query the understanding, then we 10 need to decide how we would like to query that 11 within reasonable bounds, because we do set 12 13 limits how far we can go with that. But if we 14 want to suggest some way to query, then perhaps we can develop something of that line 15 16 here.

17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And who and hasn't really been discussed in 18 how full 19 details. I think we need to stick with the 20 implementation plan itself and get this finished up before we move into that. 21

22 MEMBER MUNN: Admirable plan. The

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

question that we have in our written materials needs to be clear enough that we can explain it to other people, I think.

4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well, I 5 agree. I agree with that.

6 MEMBER MUNN: And that's why I'm 7 questioning the wording that we have in these 8 three bullets at this time.

9 MAKHIJANI: You might DR. 10 consider, Mark you all do participant evaluation and you have an evaluation sheet 11 people fill out --12

13 MR. LEWIS: From the workshops.

DR. MAKHIJANI: -- from your workshops when you do outreach, people fill out evaluations for you, right. I mean I think last time you've even shared some of those with us for the one that I was at.

19 one possible approach might Now, 20 bullet that be to have а says evaluate from the point of view of 21 materials the The Working Group, the one in 22 Working Group.

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

the driver's seat -- you are the answer to the who does it and then you can delegate or not as you please.

evaluate 4 But, we can the materials, which would be simpler, and then 5 б maybe follow Josie's suggestion, keep these 7 bullets with the understanding that initially we would have some kind of formal process of 8 interviewing a couple of the people at the 9 10 end, you know, having -- because in a way this is sort of what Mark and Christine probably 11 12 already do.

And we should be working with them to figure out how they are evaluating the effectiveness of their workshops and adequately we may not need to go much farther in that.

Because it's like, you know, when you're teaching, you know, and I can -- that's what's in the back of my mind I taught for many years, and whether -- you always feel you're doing a very good job, but sometimes,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 you know, you may not be doing a good job.

But most of the time, you know, what happens in the exam or whether somebody understands something depends on what effort they've brought to the table, not how good a job you did. And so it's going to be a pretty tangled thing.

this informal 8 So process, and 9 maybe what Mark -- understanding what Mark's 10 team is already doing, may be a starting You can leave these in with that 11 point. 12 understanding on the record that that's how 13 we're -- that might be one way to kind of get the thing going and then re-evaluate it and 14 15 not understand that we won't dedicate a lot of 16 resources to it in the beginning.

17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well, and I quess my way of thinking under this whole 18 19 process, the most important point is knowing 20 whether the claimants or the people that attend the workshops understand what has been 21 given to them. 22

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 It doesn't matter how we change 2 the wording that's still what we want to know 3 in reality. So I don't know. I feel like we 4 should leave it as is at this time unless 5 someone has some real problems with this 6 wording.

7 I tend to agree with Arjun and I 8 also agree with you Wanda that it would be 9 difficult, but I still think the bottom line 10 is we want to understand if the people are 11 getting what information they need.

Well 12 I'm still MEMBER MUNN: 13 saying if we do that, then our wording here ought to ask whether 14 we have access to a method for doing that. 15 If we're going to rely 16 on something that's already being done, then the question still needs to be, is there a 17 record or were participants queried as to 18 19 whether or not they understood.

Because without that query, there's no way that we can do that and we can't grade them. We're not going to have a -

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

- it's going to be a pass/fail kind of thing;
yes people did understand or no people didn't
understand and yes some people understood and
no other people didn't understand.

5 But the real bottom line is, do we 6 have anything -- how do we get anything to 7 evaluate that from? If something already 8 exists where we can make that evaluation, then 9 we need to have that in our minds as we move 10 forward with the plan.

11 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: This is a 12 simple thing to ask Mark. After you've had a 13 meeting with these people, do you send, ever 14 send out like questionnaires to them?

MR. LEWIS: Well, are you talking about not a workshop, but going to a site with a site profile or SEC meeting?

18 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Right.

19 MR. LEWIS: No.

20 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Okay.

21 MR. LEWIS: But they call us often 22 times later, you know, but no the short answer

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 1 is no.

2 MR. ZEITOUN: But you know I just 3 listened to Stu and regarding the issues that 4 every meeting you are allowing questions and 5 answers.

Isn't that by itself a way of б trying to satisfy the recipients, that they 7 understand what you are giving them? 8 That by good indication that 9 itself there is а 10 communication going on and there is kind of reliability of information passage. 11

12 MEMBER MUNN: In my mind, yes. 13 But then the question needs to be were participants given an opportunity to indicate 14 that they understood or did not understand 15 16 what to expect. Giving participants an opportunity to say I don't understand this is 17 in itself one of the things that I thought the 18 19 group was trying to get at.

20 But then if people do not avail 21 themselves of the opportunity to understand, 22 then as Arjun pointed out, this often has to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

do with effort that the individual puts in to
 the process.

3 MR. MCDOUGALL: To Wanda's point, 4 and I think we have two things here we have 5 outreach meetings and then we have the 6 workshops and they have different purposes.

But in the outreach meeting, none
of those meetings ever ends until nobody has a
hand up. So --

10 MEMBER MUNN: When all the 11 questions are answered.

MR. MCDOUGALL: So the opportunity to ask questions is there. But I would also -I just want to mention that these meetings didn't start out as training exercises and I would encourage you not to necessarily think of these as training in that the communication only goes one way.

19 The idea of these meetings is to
20 exchange information --

21 MEMBER MUNN: Engage the 22 participants, yes.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. MCDOUGALL: Yes. Yes. And in 2 fact a lot of these started back when the site 3 profiles were being done --MEMBER MUNN: Yes. 4 MR. MCDOUGALL: -- in order mainly 5 to collect information. б This is Denise, if I 7 MS. BROCK: might add something. I have attended some of 8 these --9 10 MR. KATZ: Denise. MS. BROCK: -- workshops that ATL 11 12 has done and I find them very helpful and conducive to the folks that are there and I'm 13 talking about the workshops where ATL host 14 15 those typically in Cincinnati and there are 16 union folks there. And the last time we had actually had several advocates. 17 And I've gotten a lot of positive 18 19 feedback actually from those type of workshops 20 in the way that ATL interacts or asks for the interaction with the participants to me is so 21 helpful and you can actually see some of the 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 understanding come across some of their faces. 2 And something that I found very 3 helpful is the group activities. And I've actually incorporated that in mine, every time 4 I've done one of these on my own and I find 5 that very helpful, especially when you have a б folks because they're able 7 group of to actually pool their thoughts and work together 8 talking about it or discussing things that 9 10 they have learned in that workshop sitting riqht then there's always 11 there and the 12 question and answer period.

And I find those type of workshops 13 very helpful. And like I said, I've always 14 had positive feedback. Although I've actually 15 16 sat in on another type, and I don't know what type of meeting that would be, but that is 17 when ATL had recently came in and conducted 18 19 something for the Weldon Springs site in where they were inquiring about things that went on 20 maybe at the site. 21

22 And there was a bit of confusion

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

there, but that was a different type of meeting. And I think that maybe some of the folks there were just a bit perplexed because they weren't even aware of the programs, some of them.

many different 6 So there's so levels of understanding within this program, 7 there's so many nuances. And you may find 8 somebody that's been at this for five years, 9 10 and I'm talking about union person or an authorized representative or a claimant or you 11 12 may find somebody that has never even heard of 13 the program and just filing a claim.

14 So you've got a lot of different 15 areas here that, you know, levels of 16 understanding.

17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thanks,18 Denise.

MR. HINNEFELD: This is Stu, if I could offer something. The discussion here, I think like Wanda I share all your points, but I wonder if maybe you're getting a little

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 specific for this document.

2 instance, it's almost like For 3 you're filling out the QA checklist before you go do your assessment of a particular meeting 4 or workshop. And for this assessment, based 5 б on the information in our by the _ _ implementation plan, I'm going to 7 look at these more specific things in order to satisfy 8 these criteria for this particular assessment. 9 10 And Ι wonder if maybe you're getting a little specific. And we're going to 11 assess this characteristic in this fashion, 12 13 you know, those sort of things. I wonder if -- and I do agree that terming there, their 14 15 understanding is quite complicated and 16 unusually expensive. 17 But a slight change in the working

about I think the one Kathy offered, but I don't remember the exact words, but that sounded -- it didn't really focus on did they understand it's did NIOSH do something.

22 And then to -- and then as you --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

as whoever is going to evaluate an activity 1 2 that activity, they could qoes to in 3 preparation for going to assess some activity look at the implementation plan and here, pick 4 out the ones that they're going to assess and 5 б kind of do a secondary document.

7 Τn order to assess this thing, this one item in the implementation plan, I'm 8 going to do these things. 9 And to assess these, I'm going to do these things. 10 Like filling out a QA checklist before you go and 11 12 do an audit or something.

13 DR. MAKHIJANI: I agree with Stu. mean we informally discussed this exact 14 Ι 15 same question in preparation for this meeting. 16 And we thought that initially at least we need some more experience and understanding 17 what NIOSH and Mark's team are doing and a 18 19 more informal approach.

20 Ultimately, you know, you do one 21 to know, I mean NIOSH wants to know whether 22 the people came and the resources you expended

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

were well expended, you know, and whether the
 right people were at the table that you wanted
 to reach in terms of going away and so on.

So you want some way of knowing 4 whether this activity is worth while 5 and б people are going away with the understanding. So that has the two pieces, you know, what 7 NIOSH brings to the table or what 8 the 9 participants bring to the table. But that's 10 ultimately what you want to know.

initially I think, initially 11 But 12 we, the Working Group itself will need more information in order -- and collaboration with 13 Mark and it's a different thing than say 14 15 auditing a dose reconstruction where you've 16 got completed, you know, set of numbers and you say, okay I've got a checklist, I know 17 exactly what needed to be done and it was 18 19 done. This thing didn't follow the procedure. This is much more fluid and --20 21 because the goal is. And so we, I think it needs to be somewhat more informal at least at 22

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 the start.

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Kathy 3 did you have something? MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: No. 4 5 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I thought you б wanted to speak. So we --MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Oh, I did. 7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. 8 9 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: You want me 10 to repeat it, what I said? 11 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Your change and the --12 13 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: The change in wording. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Sure. 16 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: The communication provided on dose 17 the reconstruction and SEC process. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Now that's for the first bullet. 20 ROBERTSON-DEMERS: And you 21 MS. have similar wording on down. 22

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 MEMBER MUNN: That still leaves us
 with the question of how do you determine
 understanding.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes.
MEMBER MUNN: It seems to me all

6 we can do is ask whether an attempt has been 7 made to see that participants understand, you 8 know, have participants been given an 9 opportunity to indicate they do or do not 10 understand or has anyone -- have they been 11 asked if they understand.

We can identify that, you don't have to identify how it was done, but we can identify whether it was done. I don't know how we can ask anything other than do you understand that.

17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: T think T'm changing from what I originally thought. 18 I 19 think we should stick with the original if you look at 20 wording because the main 21 sentences we're asking to evaluate OCAS's communication 22 results and adequate

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 understanding of dose reconstructions.

2	And if you change that, you need
3	to go up and change that wording also. What
4	does everybody else think? I think we should
5	leave it as is at this point. What do you
6	think?
7	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: I think we
8	should leave it at this time until
9	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I think we do
10	have to get into how we're going to do that,
11	but I don't think this is the time to do it
12	just yet.
13	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: No.
14	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. So
15	let's go back to the first page and we do
16	have, excuse me, until 10:45 to go through
17	this and then we were going to change topics.
18	So I would like to go through the
19	first page, we've already got the mission
20	statement approved to the scope of work or
21	outreach Working Group. Does anyone have any
22	issues with that paragraph?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 (No response.) 2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I don't feel 3 like we need to read each paragraph, but let's just go through and I know Wanda had a couple 4 5 of comments, but not until page 2. So б anything on the first page that anybody has any problems with? 7 (No response.) 8 9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: How about 10 page 2? 11 MEMBER MUNN: And the only 12 questions that I had were ones that we've 13 already touched on with respect to, this may not be the time to address it because my 14 15 questions were all right who's going to do 16 this. 17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Who's going to do this? 18 19 MEMBER MUNN: Yes. 20 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. 21 MEMBER MUNN: Adequate to who's standards? 22 Who sets the standards, what,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

```
www.nealrgross.com
```

1 where? But that's not --

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: But with the 3 wording itself, there's no -- you had no issues with the wording? 4 MEMBER MUNN: That's not for this 5 б particular discussion, no. My questions were 7 all who decides questions and that's later. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I know most 8 of us had this in front of us for the last few 9 10 days and had an opportunity to look at it. I know some of you are just getting it today, 11 12 the latest version. 13 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: I do have one 14 thing that -- find the use from the CATI 15 interviews. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Where are you 16 at Phil? Are you on objective 1? 17 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: On page 2. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. 20 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Right up near the top in that paragraph that says you know, 21 talk about sources. Since these are all done 22

NEAL R. GROSS

www.nealrgross.com

1 on an individual basis by different people, 2 the CATI interviews, I don't know how we can 3 track some of that information from the CATI 4 interviews because a lot of it is personal 5 data or personal information would be mixed in 6 there.

7 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** Т think what we meant here was generic information 8 They -- if an individual 9 that's provided. mentions an incident that involved 10 people, 10 that may be beneficial generically to dose 11 reconstruction for all 10 people. 12

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: So the person doing the CATI interview would actually need to break that out from that interview and make a notation of that or say the TBD or something like this for a particular site or facility. Is that -- that's my understanding. MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Right.

20 MR. KATZ: Phil, on page 2, this 21 is just identifying the different venues in 22 which information is given.

NEAL R. GROSS

Right. 1 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: That's all 2 KATZ: it does MR. 3 here. It's not -- there's nothing valued that's about this statement here. 4 It's just the various 5 listing venues by which б communication is given and received. 7 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Right. I just wondering how we were going to break it out of 8 9 the CATIs because of the fact the way they are 10 handled, the way they're done.

DR. MAKHIJANI: Stu, doesn't NIOSH 11 12 actually have process where the dose а 13 reconstructors make a judgment from a CATI where something is a generic issue. Of course 14 15 it comes up in our own dose reconstruction, in 16 our review that's what we would be doing.

MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, we wouldn't 17 18 necessarily expect a CATI interviewer to 19 discern that, but each of these CATIs is 20 reviewed by a health physicist, you know, when you're picking up for dose reconstruction and 21 22 issues that are not, sort of generic or

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 expected.

2 You know, and that's one thing 3 that maybe I want to mention here is that we aren't going to in a site profile mention 4 every blow out, blow outs at Fernald because 5 there were б many. Ι mean we know they happened, and we know they happened with some 7 regularity. 8 9 And don't so we're not ___ we 10 necessarily say much about that and we're not going to certainly mention because somebody 11 mentions that, then well, the alarms would go 12 13 off and we'd evacuate. And, okay, we know

14 that was part of that work process there.

15 So, the instance would be 16 something pretty extraordinary. You know, an 17 event being recounted by a claimant that the HP would say, this is different, you know, 18 19 this is not the kind of thing we would expect for the work in that location and therefore 20 something we have to worry about. 21

22 So that's where it should occur as

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

an HP reviewer. And that should be happening
 now. Now you're going to ask me can I show
 you examples of when that happened.

And no I can't today and I don't know how to retrieve them exactly, because once that happens there, then the pathway follows there's not really a defined pathway for that to follow when something's raised in there.

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: But in essence,you already have procedure?

12 HINNEFELD: Yes, and in fact MR. 13 pretty clear our instructions. we're on Information recounted in the CATI has to be 14 15 reflected in the dose reconstruction, even if 16 it's just to say that these events are in 17 accordance with what we understand that work therefore this 18 situation was and dose 19 reconstruction accounts for these kinds of 20 events.

21 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Okay.

22 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Let me ask

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a follow up question. When you come up with 2 some generic issue, somebody says we handle 3 einsteinium such and such in building X and 4 that's new information to you, does that get 5 tracked anywhere?

6 MR. HINNEFELD: Probably not in 7 the way that you're thinking. It would have 8 to be by the dose reconstructor who would 9 determine that. You know, I don't have a 10 method for that, the person wasn't monitored 11 for that, I don't know what to do with this.

12 And so at that point there would 13 be some investigation on it, but we don't database 14 build of instances of that а 15 occurring. I mean it would be taken care of 16 in that dose reconstruction. That's probably what would be done today. 17

18 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Any other 19 comments on page 2 under objective 1? Okay. How about objective 2, page 3, for asking to 20 determine whether OCAS is obtaining 21 and documenting input from workers. 22 Any comments

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1

or changes we need to make on that page?

2 MEMBER MUNN: No, we worked that 3 one pretty heavily for the last few meetings I 4 think.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I'm on page 4 5 under objective 3, determining whether OCAS is б giving thorough consideration to information 7 received from workers due to the worker 8 9 outreach efforts incorporating consideration 10 of that material into its work products as appropriate and adequately communicating the 11 impact of substantive comments to workers. 12

13 Any comments or changes anybody14 has for those bullets?

MEMBER MUNN: We reworked those
pretty well.

17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes, I think we reworked most of this. That takes us to 18 19 page 5, any comments there still under 20 objective 3? So how are we doing, implementation plan. Are we going to accept 21 it, should we informally vote on it or what do 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you think Ted?

2 MR. KATZ: You can formally vote 3 on it. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 4 I know. Any other -any problems? 5 I quess I had a б question on, you know, how are we going to do things and we have a few minutes. Kathy have 7 you given any thought in SC&A's world of how 8 this is going to be done? 9 10 Ι kind of thought appendices to this of check sheets or different things on 11 12 are going to do it, but I how we mean I haven't really looked into that or thought 13 about it a whole lot. 14 15 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Actually I 16 tried to apply some of these criterias to like 17 the workshop that we went to. I'm in the process -- only certain portions of it are 18 19 going to be applicable to certain activities. it's kind of broken down as 20 So before, during and after and then information 21 22 gathering. So if I went to a workshop I might

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

not have OTS -- that's where the process
 occurred because there wasn't any feedback
 provided by the workers.

With respect to evaluating how the comments were incorporated into the technical document, what I had thought was we need to do examples of the three databases; top crop, WISPR and OTS and the level of sampling is up to the Working Group and then follow through on whether those comments have evolved.

11 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay.

DR. MAURO: Josie, this is John. I have an overarching question that relates to the answer that Kathy just gave you to your question. This implementation plan is the Work Group's implementation plan. Let me explain what I mean.

In the past, for example whether we're talking about procedure reviews or we're talking about dose reconstruction or site profile reviews, SC&A prepared a very detailed implementation plan for how we would go about

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 doing such reviews.

2 So it was SC&A's document of how 3 we were going to go about doing the things that need to be done on behalf of the Board. 4 And those plans were, of course, reviewed and 5 б approved by the full Board. something 7 This is а little different that, and let me know if you see it 8 this is 9 also, this SC&A's way not 10 implementation plan, this is the Work Group's agreement amongst the Work Group on what you 11 12 believe needs to be done to fulfill its 13 mission.

it's really a document, it's 14 So 15 your document that of course we're helping as 16 we can to help in its preparation, but in the end, when voted upon it is the Work Group's 17 implementation plan which you will then use 18 19 to, I guess, as your baseline to -- as a 20 platform from which to move forward with any particular activity related to an upcoming 21 outreach meeting. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 And I assume that you folks would 2 give us direction on which -- for example, as 3 Kathy just described as one of the things that could be done is within the context of the 4 existing Work Group's implementation plan, I 5 could envision direction coming from the Work 6 Group that yes, you would like us to attend a 7 particular meeting. 8

9 And perhaps you could also request 10 that given the nature of the meeting, there 11 are certain aspects that, for example, Kathy 12 had mentioned that an addendum or almost like 13 a specific outline of what we might hope to do 14 for that particular meeting.

15 So in other words, I'm trying to 16 find a boundary between the implementation 17 plan as it is being finalized right now and 18 see if in fact this agreement that this is the 19 Work Group's implementation plan, it's not 20 SC&A's implementation procedure.

21 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Right.

22 DR. MAURO: Okay. Good. And then

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 if and when the Work Group wants to give 2 direction to SC&A for a particular meeting, 3 it's at that point that that direction could, 4 you know, where -- I guess you could discuss 5 okay, what is it that we may want to do and 6 get to a higher degree of resolution.

For this particular meeting, there may be certain things you may want to do or talk about. So I guess, I see this idea of an appendix, I don't know if I see it the same way because an appendix makes it part of your plan.

I see the things that we prepare for -- in preparation for or as part of a particular outreach project that we would be asked to participate in would be something separate from this implementation plan.

18ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes.19MEMBER MUNN: A response to a20specific inquiry from the Work Group.

21 DR. MAURO: Yes.

22 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thanks John.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 I think Ted had a comment and Kathy?

2 MR. KATZ: Yes, to make a 3 suggestion, I mean John mentioned, you know, 4 when meetings are coming up. I'm not sure the 5 relevance of meetings, of particular meetings 6 coming up.

If you want to go about this in an 7 organized way that seems completely haphazard 8 really to be organizing around so 9 to me 10 there's a meeting coming up. Because the meeting is just one instrument used as this 11 12 document discusses. There's a whole variety of instruments, a lot of those are documents 13 14 and ongoing systems in place of communication 15 and so on.

16 So Ι wouldn't organize around meetings and I wouldn't -- I mean, my advice 17 would be not to sort of be opportunistic, but 18 19 be more systematic about this. And given the 20 scope of this evaluation framework, if I were in your shoes I would want to bite off a piece 21 at a time and do it, and then do the best I 22

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1

can on that piece and then move to the next.

2 I know there's a lot of overlap 3 these pieces and so Ι sort between of understand the appeal of, you know, trying to 4 sort of capture more than one at a time, but I 5 think that's hard for this Work Group to sort б 7 of be focusing on so many end points at once. And, I don't know, if I were in 8 your shoes, I would have a plan. I would say, 9 10 okay, let's work on objective 1 for the next three months and let's do all the things that 11 we think are practical for filling in some of 12 13 the, you know, answers to our questions under objective 1 and do brainstorming about how can 14 15 we do that.

16 And Ι think there are -- there will be a whole variety of ways to get at 17 answers to these questions. But, you know, 18 19 take а good bit of brain storming and 20 interaction with OCAS to come up to understand what's out there, how it works and then how 21 you might go about getting information that's 22

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

already available and really just needs to be
 collected and analyzed.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And that's great food for thought. I think our 3:00 to 3:30 time is action items and assignments. I think we should be thinking about that and how we're going to progress forward and talk about it during that time piece. Kathy, you had something?

10 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: There's two 11 paths going on here, okay. One is the review 12 of OCAS-PR-012.

13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Right.

MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Okay. And that is going to incorporate how you schedule meetings and how -- what the follow through is and what's in the report. So there's going to be that element in that procedure review, which has already been authorized.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Right.
 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Now there's
 a bigger picture here, which is whether the

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

entire -- is evaluating the entire program not that particular procedure. And I can see that we might want to break it down in pieces and evaluate the entire process, but just be aware that when we're evaluating the procedure it's going to include each and everyone of the elements.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Arjun? 8 9 DR. MAKHIJANI: I think, you Yes. 10 know, the procedure review that we do are pretty formal and Procedure 12 review really 11 is a continuation of something that's already 12 13 being looked at and Wanda's review, Procedure 97 review that Kathy and I did quite a while 14 15 back.

16 It will be a continuation of that 17 and a review of new items. But, what I see is 18 on the table -- so that's already being done 19 and it will feed into this process and Kathy 20 has already started that. So it's a good 21 reminder that we have that piece coming.

22 But I think maybe to follow on

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Ted's suggestion, to systematize it, there are 2 two very different kinds of things that we're 3 evaluating. There's this outreach, you know, a dose reconstruction, 4 what's what's SEC. getting union people and other folks who are 5 key in communicating to the broader audience б 7 with а clear understanding of what's happening. 8

9 And then there's the other track 10 piece of this which is when NIOSH gets expert 11 information and how does it use that in the 12 type profiles and SECs and dose reconstruction 13 decision.

I think maybe an initial step like 14 picking up a site profile or an SEC and a set 15 16 of NIOSH interviews and contacts with site experts and saying, okay, let's do a sample of 17 that and then some, maybe instead of sample --18 19 outreach documents as sort of a digestible 20 piece of each track because there are two very different 21 items the table in this on 22 implementation plan.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

My amplification of what Ted said 1 2 would be to pick one thing from each that will 3 give us a clear idea of what we're trying to do, how we're going to answer the questions 4 5 and so on. б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Great. Thank 7 you. That makes good sense. And we will come back to that at the end of the day as our 8 action items. Abe, anything else? 9 10 MR. ZEITOUN: No, I'm just concurring with Ed and Arjun was saying. 11 12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay, so help me out Ted on this. Does this need -- does 13 14 our implementation plan need to come before

15 the Board to be voted on?

16 MR. KATZ: So the Board doesn't17 need to vote on the implementation plan.

18 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay, that's19 what I thought.

20 MR. KATZ: If the Work Group would 21 like the input of the Board, if it would like 22 to present the plan, discuss it with the full

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 Board and get its input, there is that 2 opportunity always. We can put that on the 3 agenda for the February Board meeting. It's 4 really -- it's the Work Groups will.

5 I mean, there are plenty of Work 6 Groups that operate basically independently 7 until they're ready to report out to the 8 Board. So you can operate this Work Group 9 whatever way you see fit.

10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Ι believe it was Mike's intention to bring it to 11 the Board. And so if we as a Work Group feel 12 13 that we are ready to do that, then I would like to put it on the docket for the February 14 15 meeting and then send this out ahead of time 16 to the Board members to give them an opportunity to look at it. 17

18 MR. KATZ: I think we could send
19 it out --

20 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Now.

21 MR. KATZ: -- now.

(202) 234-4433

22 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. And I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 do believe that was Mike's intention, not 2 having spoken to Mike, but I'm sure that's 3 what he wanted to do.

4 MR. KATZ: I think you're correct.
5 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: I agree with
6 you Josie. Let's go ahead and send it out to
7 the Board.

MR. KATZ: So and then I think it 8 9 would be good to prepare a presentation 10 because as just reading this alone given the just had it will need some 11 discussion we context so that the Board members understand 12 13 how to understand what's on the paper and 14 not there, but will ultimately be what's 15 addressed.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And we will definitely talk to Mike about having a presentation ready for the Board meeting.

19 MR. KATZ: February.

20 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes.

21 MR. KATZ: Okay, very good.

22 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KATZ: I think the Work 2 Group's in consensus right, that you don't 3 need to vote? Yes.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 4 Okay. 5 MR. ZEITOUN: Congratulations. б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. So the 7 next topic is documentation and tracking of Advisory Board and public comments. Let's go 8 ahead and take -- is everybody ready to take 9 10 their -- a few minute break? What time is it? 11 MR. KATZ: It's 20 to. 12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Let's take 15 13 minutes. 14 MR. KATZ: Okay, everyone on the phone we'll be starting up -- I'm just going 15 16 to put the phone on mute, but about five of 17 we'll start up again. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off 18 19 the record at 10:39 a.m. and 20 resumed at 10:56 a.m.)

21 MR. KATZ: This is an issue was 22 raised by Dr. Ziemer I believe at the October

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 Board meeting in New York. I think I was probably one of the stimuli, but not the only one. It's come by a variety of means, John Mauro has raised this issue with me on a number of occasions.

6 But the question is anyway, the 7 public at each of our Board meetings, the 8 public has two opportunities, you know, on two 9 different days to make comment to the Board 10 and they make all sorts of comments.

11 Some comments are particular to 12 claims and individual circumstances and some 13 comments, you know, may have broader 14 implications and interest than the particular 15 case of the individual.

And the question is, has been how does the Board deal with those or how does anyone deal with those comments, what is the follow up?

20 This Work Group has had a number 21 of discussions about this subject and Larry 22 Elliot, for example, on a number of these Work

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Group meetings leading up to this one has 2 discussed how OCAS follows up on a variety of 3 different kinds of comments that it hears at 4 Board meetings.

But I think the Board feels that, 5 б and Dr. Ziemer and the Board feel that really 7 the Board has a responsibility here too in following up in a perhaps more formal and more 8 9 public way to give some response to public 10 comments somehow to track that and provide response both to be able to deal with the 11 12 substantive important issues that might be 13 raised.

And also I think it's important to give the public, you know, the satisfaction that they're speaking and not just speaking but being heard. So I guess that's all I could say as a prelude.

But Stu has done some or OCAS and ORAU have done some further work related to this and I guess we'll start with that and move on to general discussion about how this

NEAL R. GROSS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

70

1

Work Group wants to pursue the question.

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you 3 Ted.

4 MR. KATZ: Stu?

I believe 5 MR. HINNEFELD: Okay. б we've handed out -- now I didn't do any of this work, OCAS did the work so -- or OCAS 7 contractor did the work. What has been handed 8 9 out to you is a short table, and how this 10 table was developed was by reviewing the transcript of the worker comment or the public 11 comment session of the Advisory Board meeting 12 13 July of this year.

And excerpting comments found that 14 15 their -- our understandings of comments that 16 we believe to be essentially respondable to, 17 some comments there is no response to make and they will recount their experience with what 18 19 they've encountered in the program as sort of 20 just a statement, but there's no real, you 21 know, response back to be made.

22 And so we've extracted what we

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 believe to be а respondable comment. Ι 2 remember now as I look at this that it was 3 done with the draft transcripts so that we could do it quickly, because it takes a little 4 while for the final transcripts 5 to be б published.

7 And so, I noticed just as I was 8 sitting here on the break that the line, the 9 page and line numbers are not exactly correct. 10 But I have found the first comment and so the 11 second comment was made on the second day and 12 I'm sure that I've got to that point and it 13 follows directly after.

14 The first comment actually starts on page 177, like 8 for those of you who are 15 16 reading along in the transcript tracker, and 17 proceeds on. And this was essentially a read statement, as I've just read it now is a 18 19 statement read to the Board from [identifying 20 information redactedl who is over at [identifying information redacted]. 21

22 And it has to do with evidence

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

they believe should extend the covered period, the active covered period at the Dow Madison site for a number of years beyond what has been determined to be covered. And so there's a summary of the issue here written as well.

6 From this point, I can tell you 7 that we have talked to [identifying information redacted] and his associates from 8 [identifying information redacted] just within 9 10 the last week or two they visited us and we've had a conversation with them about this and 11 have kind of told them that all pathways for 12 13 this question lead to DOL.

You know, they have communicated 14 15 with DOL, they communicated about the time 16 [identifying information redacted] made this comment and this is an action that DOL has to 17 An attempt to petition for an SEC 18 take. 19 petition, this was a particular thing they 20 talked about as most recent -- talked to us about most recently. 21

22 An attempt to petition for an SEC

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for this time period because they were 2 manufacturing a thoriated alloy at Dow Madison 3 that we don't feel like is feasible to do dose 4 reconstructions for, that should be covered 5 employment because that corrugated alloy was 6 still going to nuclear weapons.

7 That's not our decision to make. 8 And had they submitted that petition, we would 9 have had been to submit that to DOL, just as 10 they submitted that information to DOL to see 11 if in fact that work is covered and because 12 the currently covered period ends before that.

13 And so that's -- we've responded information 14 [identifying redacted] to in 15 person, I can tell you that. So that's the 16 first comment. The second comment, and I don't plan to go through all of these, but 17 it's from [identifying information redacted] 18 19 and it has to do with differences between the NIOSH information and the Ruttenber databases 20 on neutron exposures. 21

22 And it starts on, let's see, page,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

looks like 183, line 22. That's the --

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Can I stop 3 you for just one brief second? MR. HINNEFELD: 4 Sure. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 5 The very б first one you said that NIOSH has responded to [identifying information redacted] in person. 7 MR. HINNEFELD: 8 Yes. 9 ACTING BEACH: CHAIR Is that 10 documented anywhere other than you telling us? We did it in a 11 MR. HINNEFELD: 12 meeting last week. Now we may have done 13 something to it with him before, but I recall that, that's part of what we're going to get 14 15 into here, that's part of where I want to hand this discussion off. 16 17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Right. You know, from our 18 MR. HINNEFELD: 19 view at OCAS, -- these comments were made to 20 the Board, okay. Right. 21 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 22 MR. HINNEFELD: We have at the

www.nealrgross.com

1 urging of this Work Group, we have as part of 2 our obligation to staff, provide staffing 3 for the Board, we have excerpted support comments from that public comment period and 4 essentially just all we've done so far is 5 б accepted and summarized and then drew а pointer to where you could find the draft 7 transcript, we'll get that cleaned up now that 8 the final transcript is out. 9

10 And SO that's as far as we've And so what happens after this we feel 11 qone. 12 is largely a Board question. Presumably, I 13 mean the Board can instruct us or advise us 14 that responses should be prepared. And the Board may or this Working Group may have some 15 16 ideas about where does that response appear.

17 It's not clear to me that we will 18 always have sufficient contact information for 19 a commenter at public comment to provide a 20 personal response back. Do you understand 21 what I'm saying?

22 So I don't know exactly where we

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 go after this point. I mean some of these 2 commenters we know and they're either 3 petitioners or claimants that have been around 4 the program and so we have contact information 5 from them.

6 But it would be kind of а 7 question, is that what the Board wants to do? I mean, does the Board want to hand this 8 comment off to ORAU and advise us that maybe 9 10 we should answer this. I mean to me it gets broader than just an ORAU-OCAS question here 11 after we've summarized it. 12

You know, we expect that we can continue to summarize worker comments or the worker comments section, pull out what we consider to be respondable comments since we point out, you know, these transcripts are frightfully long, but the public comment sessions are not that long.

If someone wanted to check on us and see how we're doing, are we doing a good job of accepting our comments or is our

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

judgment what your judgment would be. Rather than say are we doing a good job, is our judgment the same as your judgment on what's a respondable comment. Those things could be looked at, you know, up to this point.

6 But once we get to the point of 7 summarizing it or even writing a response what happens at that point is what I'm not clear 8 So that I think is maybe a subject of 9 about. 10 some discussion and maybe a subject of maybe a Board, you know, maybe a Board discussion or 11 something because I know it came up in full 12 Board in New York, I believe. 13

14 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay.

15 MR. HINNEFELD: I don't have any 16 other -- anything else to say. I did not 17 actually, you know, we did instruct our contractor to work with the draft transcripts 18 19 because they're available more quickly and so 20 And so they worked with the draft -- to us. transcripts and now the page -- and there is 21 22 no line up.

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KATZ: Could I just understand 2 Stu, is this going to be now a sort of 3 standard OCAS procedure going forward that 4 you're going to have this sort of limited 5 accounting just to note the issues that were 6 OCAS issues --

7 MR. HINNEFELD: It's my goal that we would, that the Board could advise us on 8 I think there's a possibility 9 their desire. for the next -- the in person Board meeting 10 isn't until February and we had the meeting in 11 12 October and that's really not very long ago, 13 so I don't know if you even have the draft 14 transcripts yet.

But so, I mean we could have two 15 16 sets ready of -- this far we have two sets 17 ready for February and then it could be a subject of a Board agenda item or 18 Board 19 discussion since it came up in full Board 20 discussion last time. That's just my --21 MR. ZEITOUN: I have one question just following up on what you said. You had a 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2 information redacted]? 3 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. 4 MR. ZEITOUN: Did you have any record of the discussion and the results --5 б MR. HINNEFELD: We didn't --7 MR. ZEITOUN: It was just -- there was no meeting it was just back and forth --8 9 MR. HINNEFELD: [identifying No. 10 information redacted] and [identifying information redacted] are kind of friends of 11 the program, they do quite a lot of research 12 on sites --13 14 MR. ZEITOUN: I understand. MR. HINNEFELD: -- in the Southern 15 16 Illinois area mainly and have provided us with 17 information that they've found and we had

meeting you said last week with [identifying

18 shared some information we found I think, you
19 know, probably through FOIA.

20 And so -- and for the last at 21 least two years, maybe three years, they have 22 kind of scheduled this visit to chat with us

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

and see what they found new and talk about things that, you know, developments from their perception that are going on with them that effect the program.

they always schedule it. 5 And SO б Thanksgiving week because they're out of 7 class. And so they were here Monday and Tuesday of Thanksgiving week I think it was. 8 And so we scheduled a meeting, we did not take 9 10 any notes.

11 MR. ZEITOUN: But that's kind of 12 an aberration because you could have minutes 13 of other meetings, you know, but --

MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, and in fact we can even account for it. I mean, I could, you know, we would -- the response is the, you know, to this comment is the response I gave.

MR. HINNEFELD: This has got to be the overall question, all roads for resolving this question goes through DOL and that's what we told them and we could have a comment here,

NEAL R. GROSS

MR. ZEITOUN: Correct.

18

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

we told them this at a face-to-face meeting on 1 that would have been November 23rd. 2 3 MR. KATZ: Just to throw out -- oh 4 go ahead Kathy. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: 5 MS. You б mentioned the transcript tracker? 7 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. What 8 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: is that? 9 10 MR. HINNEFELD: It's on my staff tools, is it on your staff tools? 11 It is --12 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I think I 13 have looked at it before. 14 MR. HINNEFELD: Well actually, the 15 transcript -- I'm sorry, I was looking at the 16 actual OCAS website, the public website, the public website. That's where the transcript 17 of the meeting, once it's finally cleared, you 18 19 know, it cleared for Privacy Act stuff, that's where it appears and that's where 20 I was looking at the transcript. 21 And in fact as I think about it, 22

www.nealrgross.com

1 the transcript tracker just tells you 2 progress, what's here, where are these 3 transcripts in the process of things. And I don't -- because I kept looking and trying to 4 view the transcript and I'd hit view and I 5 б wouldn't get a transcript I would keep going back and forth. 7

8 So for whatever reason it's not 9 showing -- I thought it was going to be able 10 to show me the transcripts, but it's not. But 11 I would -- but the transcript for the July 12 meeting is on the OCAS public website. And so 13 that's what I was looking at.

14 DR. MAKHIJANI: Is the first thing 15 the page number?

MR. HINNEFELD: It's supposed to be, but it's off because we -- well we did this, we did this from the draft transcript and so the page number is off. It actually starts on 177, line 8 and then from there the comments I've --

22 DR. MAKHIJANI: I was getting a

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 little confused looking at it.

2 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I'm trying 3 to remember back, it seems to me that WISPR included comments from the Board meeting --4 HINNEFELD: I don't recall, 5 MR. б maybe. 7 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** _ _ and associated response. 8 It might. I don't 9 MR. HINNEFELD: 10 remember. Okay. MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: We can look 11 12 at that as some sort of model. 13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Seeing that a couple of different 14 there's things to discuss, is NIOSH going to continue to present 15 16 this type of a document or track or is the Work Group going to decide that SC&A --17 Let me just throw out 18 MR. KATZ: 19 an idea here, I mean, and just one but it came 20 to me as Stu was talking. There will be, at a Board meeting, there will be comments that 21 really are sort of the right comments for OCAS 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to address.

They're sort of -- they deal with their program but they do -- sort of like this issue for example, it's really squarely in their court and they -- it sounds like they've addressed it the way you would want them to address it and so on. And you could have an accounting,

you know, of these issues that OCAS pulls out 9 10 because it believes these are sort of in its turf to address. And so this Work Group could 11 12 have that as one piece. And so prior to a Board meeting, for example, it could have this 13 accounting and go over it and be able to 14 15 discuss with OCAS any questions it might have 16 about those issues.

But I think the other piece, which would be more in the Board's court other than just sort of its tracking of these other comments that OCAS deals with would be to look for issues that the Board feels really are its issues to respond to the public on.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

And there, it could identify those in the transcript and then it could speak with OCAS, SC&A, who be it for expertise to address the response and then prepare a response.

And there could be an opportunity 5 б then at that Board meeting in advance of the public comments or at the tail end of 7 the public comments to provide that sort of, you 8 9 know, these were some issues that came up that 10 are of generic interest at the last Board meeting or the last two Board meetings and 11 12 here is how we respond to those.

13 So there would be sort of the own issues that it would want to 14 Board's 15 respond to the public on and it could, I don't 16 know whether you need to give an accounting of 17 the OCAS responses as part of that, but I would think at very minimum you would -- the 18 19 Board would want to respond on the issues that 20 really it feels are its turf to respond to. would 21 But that require someone

22 going through the transcripts on behalf of the

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

Board, you know, looking at what OCAS has
 addressed and sort of dealt with and what's
 left.

BEACH: 4 ACTING CHAIR And that would require someone either on the Board or 5 6 if we decide to take that on at this Work 7 Group then this Work Group actually tracking So that's -- there's a lot to kind 8 that. of --9

10 MR. KATZ: Yes. It could be the 11 Work Group, you could assign SC&A to do this, 12 you could do it either way. It just depends 13 on resources, ability, interest, all that.

14ACTING CHAIR BEACH:Right.15Arjun?

16 DR. MAKHIJANI: Well, Josie а starting point might be since NIOSH already 17 compiling the issues it's going to address and 18 19 then there's a second set of issues that Ted 20 talked about, if NIOSH agrees and the Working Group or the Board wants, immediately after 21 22 the Board meeting, as had been done here,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

NIOSH would compile the issues they're
 considering.

3 And the Working Group or if you assign it to us, we could compile the rest of 4 5 the issues into some kind of a sheet that say all б would the issues that NIOSH is 7 addressing and then the Working Group could decide how it wants to move on the other 8 9 things.

10 And then the other thing I would suggest is since NIOSH is responding in part 11 12 of their thing, you know, with the public had inadequate 13 been, you know, there is an 14 response, the comments are going off into a 15 void and so on, there might be a little sheet 16 at the next Board meeting that says, you know, we had these -- NIOSH address these comments 17 from the last Board meeting is what we did. 18

And that might be very healthy for the public to see NIOSH actually addressing these issues.

22 MR. ZEITOUN: Would the Board be

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

interested to know how NIOSH addressed it or 1 2 would it have just been addressed? 3 DR. MAURO: This is John, I have a perspective too, which is a nuance on this. 4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: John could 5 б you just hold on а sec. Wanda's been patiently waiting so let me just let her ask 7 her --8 9 DR. MAURO: Sure. 10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: -- question 11 and then we'll get to you. 12 DR. MAURO: Sure. 13 MEMBER MUNN: It's not a question 14 it's an observation. What Ted is proposing is significant change in the way the Board has 15 16 addressed public meetings in the past. It would seem appropriate for the 17 full Board to be addressing this issue rather 18 19 than for this Working Group to be addressing the issue because first of all, it involves 20 all of the people on the Board and it is not a 21 minor point, this is a rather major question. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well on that, before I let John speak, I think that we could actually develop a process or a plan and then take it to the Board for their consideration. I think that that's something this Work Group probably could tackle.

7 MR. KATZ: That was actually the 8 charge. I mean the Work Group was asked to 9 consider this, how to go about this and so 10 it's not for this Work Group to dispose, but 11 to propose for the Board to consider.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Andthen John, go ahead please.

DR. MAURO: In fact that's a very good segue. I agree that on a macro level this is a very important question in terms of the Board taking on a mission.

And along those lines when I was listening to the discussion, it seems to me that what we're talking about is since these presentations are made to the Board during the evening sessions, the question really becomes

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 does the Board take ownership of taking --2 assume the responsibility to manage and make 3 sure that all of the -- I guess -- salient or 4 relevant issues, questions, comments that are 5 brought before the Board are in fact tracked 6 and taken care of.

7 Certainly, what I heard was one 8 piece of it. What I'm thinking is that, as 9 Ted pointed out, you could almost, you know, 10 go through the transcript, if it's available 11 readily, and go through it and create little 12 bins, you know, I'm thinking conceptually now 13 who does this is a different question.

But all of the comments, it seems 14 15 to me that it would be good bedside manner for 16 the Board to take ownership of these commentaries and questions and 17 make some judgments as to, you know, which ones do --18 19 would be appropriately responded to and who is 20 best suited to prepare the response.

21 Certainly, there are categories 22 that Stu just pointed out are exactly where

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they belong, but other places might be with 2 the Department of Labor, other places --3 really in the end the question I guess before 4 the Work Group is, is this something that --5 this is I guess the discussion, how much of 6 ownership of the evening sessions and all the 7 comments does the Board want to take on?

8 That is the full responsibility to 9 be able to say maybe at the next meeting that 10 yes, we have reviewed all the comments and 11 actually report back to the public on the 12 actions that are being taken.

In other words, the Board is the face of the interaction back to the public at some point in time, perhaps at the next meeting.

17 So I quess what I'm getting at is putting out on the table, you know, the big 18 19 question, that really is the big questions and 20 this is really what Wanda, I think, was alluding to that is, is this a new mission 21 that the Work Group would feel it would want 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

to recommend or discuss or whatever with the
 Board.

3 And then how it's implemented is, again, the subject of discussion. 4 Okay, once you agree that yes this becomes something that 5 б let's say the Work Group decides as being one of the missions of the Board in terms of 7 administering to this process, administering 8 the process, then it becomes a matter of, 9 10 okay, how is it actually going to be done.

11 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And that's 12 something we'll discuss and Kathy had a 13 comment?

MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: This is getting a little bit into the process, but I think that all the comments should be in one area and then you can assign it to -- the Working Group can assign it out to who needs to address the comment for the Board.

If we have OCAS having a list of comments and the Board having a list of comments it gets too confusing.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 MR. KATZ: That wasn't really what 2 was suggested, but OCAS, I mean I think first 3 of all the Work Group doesn't assign OCAS 4 work, and OCAS knows what it's sort of its 5 duties and responsibilities are.

6 So to me it makes sense for OCAS 7 to, you know, I mean, OCAS will be whether the 8 Work Group wants it to be or not will be 9 identifying those issues that it needs to 10 follow up on.

And what we're talking about here is OCAS giving the Work Group an accounting of that so that the Work Group would be cognizant of what issues OCAS had addressed and maybe some minimal information about how they had addressed it.

17 So that the Work Group would be 18 fully informed about what had been taken care 19 of and would know what might be left on the 20 plate.

21 You know, and what I was saying is 22 what would be left on the plate may be issues

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 that really are appropriate for the Board to 2 respond to, they're sort of policy and what 3 have you issues that relate very much to the 4 Board's involvement.

And there will also be comments 5 б there that really don't require a response 7 because they're comments. People make comments at Board meetings as well about their 8 really don't 9 individual cases that have 10 anywhere to go, they don't really require a know, will 11 response and, you there be 12 judgments made about those.

But those, you know, the Board can address those all. The Board, certainly the Work Group or the Board can consider the comments that OCAS has already responded to, but it seems like a good starting point to consider what's already been handled by OCAS.

19 MEMBER MUNN: The point's already 20 been made that this would in fact actually 21 constitute a new assignment of work for the 22 Board if it chose to take this on.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Historically what has occurred is 1 2 these comments have been handled by the agency 3 because the comments had to do with the work And if we are expecting that the 4 of NIOSH. Board is going to agree to track these, then 5 б it is repetitive for me to go on saying. This 7 is а new, an entirely different approach to what we have done in the 8 9 past and needs to be, in my view, pretty well 10 fleshed out if we're going to take it to the full Board. 11 So any other 12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 13 _ _ I would like to add 14 MR. ZEITOUN: 15 one comment. The issue to me probably may not

15 one comment. The issue to me probably may not 16 be tracked in the term of tracking, it's the 17 accountability concept that someone is coming 18 from the public talking in front of the Board 19 and saying this is the case and the Board 20 decided that they're going to really look into 21 it.

22 So it's mostly accountability of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 trying to get an answer and respond to the public concerns or the public issues. 2 It's 3 mostly, yes, Ι would qo back and say accountability concept. 4 5 MEMBER MUNN: I think primarily it

6 is a responsibility that the fact that many 7 people seem to feel that they speak and no one 8 has heard.

9 MR. ZEITOUN: Correct.

10 MEMBER MUNN: And responding to 11 the fact that it has been heard regardless of 12 --

13 MR. ZEITOUN: And we took an 14 action on it too.

15 MEMBER MUNN: Yes, we have --

16 MR. ZEITOUN: Yes.

17 MEMBER MUNN: -- taken an action -

18 -

19MR. ZEITOUN: Exactly. Exactly.20MEMBER MUNN: -- and heard what

21 you said and action has been taken.

22 MR. ZEITOUN: Right.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 MEMBER MUNN: We could certainly 2 get into all kinds of difficulty trying to 3 resolve the issues that are brought up in 4 public meetings are reporting and in detail on 5 them.

6 But yes, I think you're absolutely 7 correct. It's important that -- I think the 8 primary concern of most of the members of the 9 Board have been people don't feel like we're 10 hearing what they say, that's the concern.

11 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Would it be 12 helpful to throw out some of the examples as 13 far as the who?

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Are you talking in terms of a plan or implementation of a plan that we --

17 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: So we can 18 get a feel for who would be best equipped to 19 answer question.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Throw out what you have and we'll let you know if it's helpful or not. How's that?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 MR. KATZ: Sounds good. 2 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** Well if 3 we're talking about a question like individual saying there was no badging at site X and we 4 worked with radioactive materials. 5 б That might be more of a question that is either already answered by the site 7 profile or should be answered by the site 8 9 profile and that would be more appropriate to 10 qo in the direction of OCAS and their 11 contracts. 12 If we're dealing with a larger 13 issue such as the ones that [identifying redacted] 14 information brought in up the 15 October meeting of turning over records prior 16 to the completion of review. That's more of 17 an issue that has to be addressed by the Board. 18 19 MR. KATZ: That may be an issue 20 that has to be addressed by the agency and the

21 Board. But if you want to use that as an 22 example, but --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And some of
 those may overlap.

3 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: But there's 4 kind of a line in there where OCAS is not 5 going to be able to give the answer without 6 the input from the Board.

I would envision that 7 MR. KATZ: if you were to go down this road that you 8 process with, if OCAS 9 would have for а 10 example, is going to do something like this to account for this sheet, to account for what 11 issues it took on and how it responded to 12 13 them, it would be opportunity for dialogue at 14 the Work Group meeting.

And if there's an issue that OCAS addressed that the Board feels like it has a piece of too, then that would be a way of identifying that and then figuring out what the Board might want to say in addition to what OCAS may have already taken care of as part if its program responsibility.

22 So, that -- I mean I think that's

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

the way you would work. I mean that's why you would have a Work Group in advance that would -- I mean that would be part of, you know, this Work Group it will be part of it -- maybe a standing part of its agenda to discuss without OCAS this matter in preparation for Board meetings.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well I --8 9 MR. KATZ: That's one possibility. I certainly 10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: don't think it needs to be complicated or 11 12 I know Stu, you asked OCAS to go cumbersome. 13 through the transcripts, they came up with a list they felt they needed to answer. 14

I don't see that it should be anymore complicated for us to do the same or even have somebody at the meeting taking notes and maybe identifying where they want to go back into the transcript to look.

As a Work Group whether we assign SC&A to do that during the Board meeting or a member of the Board meeting, that's something

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

we'll have to decide. And I guess 1 I'm 2 wondering step forward, we need to develop 3 some type of a plan. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: 4 MS. Have we 5 solved the question of who? б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Who? 7 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Who's going to do what. 8 MR. KATZ: Well I think before the 9 who you need to do the whether. 10 Because Wanda's raising the question of the whether 11 first. 12 13 DR. MAURO: Ted? And I think that's 14 KATZ: MR. 15 principle. 16 DR. MAURO: Yes, this is John. I think Ted, I was just going to say that. 17 inherent in the discussion that just began is 18 19 a very important decision that was just made 20 without saying it out loud. Yes, it sounds like the Work Group 21 would like to recommend to the Board that it 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 takes ownership that does in fact implement 2 this new program where in fact it would have -3 - they will be, I guess, part of the program 4 for future Board meetings.

5 And in fact, what I'm hearing is a 6 recommendation that yes, this process begin 7 and the Board would take on this new mission 8 or aspect of its mission added to its agenda.

9 It sounds like there is general 10 agreement that this is something that it's a 11 good thing that the Board will need to do. Am 12 I correct in interpreting that?

13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes.

14MR.ZEITOUN:It'sthe15responsibility and accountability, yes.

16 DR. MAURO: Yes. I think you 17 folks have just made a very, very important 18 decision.

MEMBER MUNN: Well the Board hasasked us to look at it.

21 DR. MAURO: Yes.

(202) 234-4433

22 MEMBER MUNN: And that obviously I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

would anticipate that we would report back to
 the Board.

3 DR. MAURO: Yes. MEMBER MUNN: We look at it and 4 say we think tracking these is a good idea and 5 б do you want to take on that responsibility? 7 Do you want that to be a part of the agenda? It seems to me that should be our report. 8 9 DR. MAURO: Yes. 10 MEMBER MUNN: How we go about it would stem from the result of that discussion 11 in the full Board. 12 Well, and I 13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 14 think we need to take it a step further too 15 and actually develop a plan and how we're 16 going to implement it, what questions we're going to ask. I know Kathy developed several 17 bullet points. 18 19 So as a Work Group we need to have 20 something to bring to the Board for their consideration, not -- because I feel like they 21

22 charged us with coming up with that plan and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

we can't just say yes, we decided we're going
 to do the plan. I believe we need to develop
 a plan.

4 MR. KATZ: Yes, you can develop 5 one or several alternatives that you may want 6 to present to the full Board.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And questions that need to be asked. I just don't think it needs to be very complicated. That's my opinion, I just -- it needs to be straight forward, it needs to happen and we don't want to probably belabor it for --

MR. ZEITOUN: When do you want to present it? Do you want to present it in the February meeting too?

16 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: That would be 17 great if we could do that, yes.

18 MR. ZEITOUN: But the issue, you 19 know, if I'm going to be blunt, if we develop 20 an implementation plan for this, it took like 21 six months to do it and --

22 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: No, no, no.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. ZEITOUN: My concern is that 2 it has to be very simple --3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Simple. 4 MR. ZEITOUN: -- and through email system can be resolved. 5 б MR. KATZ: No, I think you can talk about it here in the Work Group meeting. 7 I don't think this is Ι 8 mean 9 highly complex and I think you have the 10 advantage of having OCAS and ATL and company 11 here that you get of the so can sort 12 feasibility aspect of the out way too. 13 Because you don't want to propose a plan to the Board that is not practical for OCAS, ATL, 14 15 et cetera to do their part of whatever that 16 plan might be. 17 mean I think it's So, Ι not.

18 complex and I think you can probably discuss 19 it here now and come up with whatever 20 variations are possibilities.

21 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So we have 30 22 minutes before we're scheduled to take lunch.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I think we 2 have to answer some very key questions. 3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Are these the one's you're talking about on your list? 4 5 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: б So should we 7 throw them out? The first one that Kathy has come up with, what is the scope of the public 8 comments to be crafted? 9 10 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** In other words letters that are submitted to the Board, 11 12 not just comments. There's comments in the 13 Working Group. 14 KATZ: The Board -the MR. 15 correspondence the Board responds _ _ to 16 correspondence and has procedure for а correspondence that's already actually well at 17 So I really think this is focusing on 18 hand. 19 the oral comments. I believe so. 20 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: We need to limit it to the oral comments, 21

22 that's my opinion, during the meeting.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 MEMBER MUNN: That's what we were 2 asked to do. 3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: What about 4 the -- you don't want to include oral comments 5 б from the Working Group. 7 MEMBER MUNN: No. We were asked to look at public comments --8 9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: During the 10 Board meetings. MEMBER MUNN: -- during the Board 11 12 meetings --13 MR. KATZ: In the Board meetings. MEMBER MUNN: 14 -- and respond --15 and formulate a method to respond to the 16 public showing them that we had heard and are taking action on the comments that they made 17 publically. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I agree. Any comments made at this meeting can also be made 20 at the full Board meetings. 21 Every Work Group has 22 MR. KATZ:

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

its own public interaction of a different form
 and that's really the business of the Work
 Group.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Of course, just thinking out loud, this is a worker outreach Work Group, so do we take that into anymore consideration than we would take any other Work Group?

I would not think 9 MEMBER MUNN: 10 so. Not for this particular action. The question of scope though is a good one. 11 And from my perspective it needs to be as narrow 12 as we can make it at this particular time. 13 Τf the Board as a whole wishes to broaden it 14 15 then, that's a next step.

16 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Another question with respect to scope is 17 at what point do we begin? Do we begin from here on 18 19 out or do we go back a couple of meetings? 20 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: I think we just go forward at this point. 21

22 MR. ZEITOUN: Okay. That's

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 better.

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. Does 3 everybody agree with that? MEMBER MUNN: Yes, beginning with 4 whatever meeting is involved in the proposal. 5 б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I'd like to 7 hope that we can start it at the February Board meeting, being hopeful. And I quess if 8 we all have time on our hands we can go back, 9 10 but I don't see that happening. 11 Okay, we agree from forward SO 12 meetings. 13 MR. KATZ: Presently. 14 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. All So Kathy any other scope questions? 15 right. 16 Are you pretty clear on that? 17 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Nothing. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 18 Okay. And 19 then the second bullet point was raised, what 20 is the purpose for collecting and tracking 21 comments? 22 MEMBER MUNN: We know that it's to

reassure the pubic that they're being heard
 and acted upon.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I agree with that. Anything else we need to add for that? MR. KATZ: Well it's to ensure the public, it's to ensure yourself.

7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yourself,8 yes.

9 Okay. The next bullet was how are 10 the comments going to be used to improve the 11 dose reconstruction and the SEC process? 12 That's a good question.

MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I mean we asked that question to OCAS now when they get working on this. We should be asking the same question to ourselves.

MEMBER MUNN: How are they going to be used to improve it. Not all of the questions -- not all of the comments that are made are comments that would in any way improve the program.

22 Many of the comments are personal

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

110

1 observations applicable to single sites or are 2 applicable to one specific type of operation 3 within the claimant's process. MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Can I throw 4 5 out an example? б MEMBER MUNN: Yes. 7 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: It would be kind of a generic thing. 8 9 MEMBER MUNN: Sure. 10 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** Т don't. know how many times I've heard the SEC process 11 12 takes too long. And that may be a comment --13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: That's kind 14 of beyond our scope I think at this point. 15 MR. KATZ: But just -- I mean, I 16 think the answers, the responses to comments will be what they are and that's what the 17 Board will formulate. So I don't think it's 18 19 not that it's -- I just don't think it's necessary to address that question for what 20 the Board has asked you to do. 21

22 Because as the Board responds to

1 comments, it will be answering questions like 2 that or other. So if the Board has a comment 3 about how long the SEC process is taking, then the Board will have a response for that and 4 that sort of takes care of itself. 5 б MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes. Ι 7 threw that out as an example --MR. KATZ: No, but I mean --8 9 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** -- of а 10 comment that may affect a process. 11 MR. ZEITOUN: Actually, the 12 process itself and being responsive, trying to

13 track the comments would improve the process 14 automatically.

15 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes.

16 MR. ZEITOUN: So everybody would be working together from our end and their 17 ends to make things happen. So to answer this 18 19 for the Board that we are complicating, we are deviating a little bit for making a simple 20 approach to the Board. I think the first two 21 in responsibility, accountability, being sure 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 that we heard you, this is the concept.

2 But getting into the rest, the 3 responses that you're getting to the public actually is taking care of this, improving 4 5 everything, I think. б MEMBER MUNN: Now that's an internal question of the contractor --7 MR. ZEITOUN: 8 Yes. 9 MEMBER MUNN: -- to the agency. 10 Not the --ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 11 Okay. Next bullet is how will the Advisory Board and/or 12 its contractor catalog and consider worker 13 input provided by the public? 14 MR. ZEITOUN: This is a procedures 15 16 thing, anyway. This is procedures. 17 DR. MAKHIJANI: I think this kind of format to start, you know, as a base --18 19 MR. ZEITOUN: Procedure. 20 DR. MAKHIJANI: -- starting point. 21 MEMBER MUNN: Simple, straightforward and verifiable. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

1 MR. KATZ: For the record for the 2 people on the phone, what Arjun's indicating 3 is that focus for what it discussed it has a 4 log of the issues and that's what Arjun's 5 indicating is that you would have some sort of 6 log that would track the issues --

-- and it could be 8 MR. KATZ: have expanded note about what 9 to а the 10 response was or not since these are going to be discussed at the Board meeting, or they 11 12 could as one venue, one approach.

MR. ZEITOUN: Could be expanded.

13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okav. So I 14 guess, I think part of this question is, this 15 is a log, it's on a piece of paper, will this 16 be read into anywhere, will this be documented 17 anywhere so that the public can go look at it? Will we track it? Will -- maybe we assign 18 19 Nancy to keep -- how -- or will we just get a 20 sheet of paper that we file away --

21 MEMBER MUNN: No, I think we'll 22 get a sheet of paper and it will be reported

NEAL R. GROSS

7

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to the Board. That's what we were just 2 discussing that it would be an agenda item. 3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay, so --4 MEMBER MUNN: And at each agenda at each meeting for example, had this been 5 б started in July when this particular meeting occurred that was the basis for the brief list 7 that we have now, then the following Board 8 meeting, which would have been what, September 9 10 or something like that. MR. KATZ: October. 11

12 MEMBER MUNN: October. The 13 October Board meeting, this list would have 14 been read and the assignment of action would 15 have been incorporated.

And that should be the end of this 17 list because at that time, whoever has the 18 action knows that they have the action and it 19 should be going to a Work Group, to the 20 agency, the subcontractor, whoever is going to 21 have any -- if there is an action, then it 22 should be obvious by that time.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KATZ: I mean the action may 2 have already been taken care of. It's not 3 necessarily an assignment of action.

But I mean, the question I think part of what Josie's raising is the question of whether you will keep online on the Board's website or whatever, a log just so that historically you have a log of these were the issues and these were --

10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I would 11 prefer that and now I'm not speaking for OCAS 12 because your issues you will handle, we won't 13 have any direction.

We would not give you direction on how you handle them, but for the Board, I think part of our plan needs to be what our log is going to look like and what -- if we're going to keep it and where it will be kept or documented. That's something we need to discuss.

21 MR. ZEITOUN: I thought everything 22 is presented to the Board as part of the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 record?

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: It is part of 3 the record. But it's nice to have a tracking sheet maybe and I don't know, that's something 4 we can ask Nancy to track or -- so that we can 5 б pull it up and go, okay, this is, you know, 7 and that's -- I'm just speaking out loud. Arjun? 8 DR. MAKHIJANI: 9 Well I suggested 10 earlier that, you know, NIOSH is going over the record to make its own list and maybe as 11 12 the comments are being made, someone, NIOSH, 13 SC&A, someone from the Board can make a preliminary draft list of the issues that were 14

15 raised as they were raised.

16 And that can be a common thing that the Board has and OCAS has and SC&A has. 17 There probably need to be two more columns in 18 19 this, you know, of assignment, who's going to deal with it and then NIOSH could take those 20 and mark out which it feels would be -- and 21 22 there would Department of Labor be some

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 issues.

2 Actually a lot of comments are 3 Department of Labor issues and I don't know how you want to bring them into the process. 4 5 MEMBER MUNN: There isn't much you say this б can do other than just is а 7 Department of Labor issue. DR. MAKHIJANI: No. Yes. And I 8 think that is an indicator. 9 10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Which is perfect though because then --11 12 Then DR. MAKHIJANI: the rest 13 would be your issues and then there would be another column like it's 14 kind of а 15 disposition, NIOSH, you know, said the 1972 16 thing was DOL extension problem and that would 17 be the final product. things will be sequential 18 Some 19 because if NIOSH -- most thing that it will be probably 20 sequential because NIOSH will consider most of the comments and they'll come 21 to the Working Group and if you feel the 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

response isn't right then you may want to take
 some action.

3 So it's not -- it's going to be in one bin or another it may be -- or even the 4 DOL bin like the neutron issue at Rocky Flats, 5 б DOL is considering whether it's going to 7 expand this thing and then depending on what they do it will come back to the Board and to 8 the Working Group and you'll have to decide 9 10 what to do with it.

11 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Correct.12 Kathy had a comment?

13 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I just 14 wanted to say that this format is already 15 available in the WISPR database.

16 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: But WISPR is17 not available anymore.

MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: T mean this 18 has been done for some of the public comments 19 20 that database and it's fairly in а qood 21 I mean as far as providing comments, format. 22 the response.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

DR. MAKHIJANI: So are we adopting 1 2 a similar thing and making --3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: We haven't decided. 4 DR. MAKHIJANI: 5 Okay. just б MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I'm 7 saying that we may be reinventing the wheel. MR. HINNEFELD: Here, let me just 8 comment on that. The WISPR database was 9 10 written in what programmer people compilable code, okay. 11 12 And so it was written by our other 13 contractor in compilable code and thev essentially retained the source code. 14 And in fact, and we don't mess around with source 15 16 code write applications in web-based we applications. 17 And I don't know the difference, I 18 19 can get somebody down here to explain it, but 20 this has been explained to me several times, we don't write compilable code applications we 21 write web-based applications. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

120

1 This is a straightforward, easy 2 application, you know, so I don't propose that 3 we would try to incorporate the WISPR database we would then do something that looked like 4 WISPR but it would be over here, you know, it 5 б would be in a web-based application. 7 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** Т think we're saying the same thing. 8 9 MR. HINNEFELD: We're saying the 10 same thing, yes. We're saying the same thing. 11 MR. ZEITOUN: We're seeking 12 information not what the programmers -- the 13 information, yes.

14 HINNEFELD: And what MR. Ι 15 envision and what I asked ATL to do for this 16 and what I've essentially told them, planned to do it for the October meeting before 17 February is that we would have another thing 18 19 like this at this point.

If the Board decides this is an action that the Board is going to follow up on in some fashion and however else -- and I

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

agree there needs to be more columns, however
 -- whatever other columns you're going to put
 on there.

If you decide you're going to do 4 that, we'll build the app and it will be 5 б available for the Board members and everybody 7 working on this project that they can look at that and you can have responsibility once it's 8 9 in an app, you can have the app pull up only 10 Board responsible ones or all of them or things like that. 11

You could do, you know, there's a lot of stuff you could do. You could generate keyword, a keyword essentially inventory for each of them and then later on you can search on those keywords to get all the comments that anybody made on those.

18 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: That's19 perfect. Perfect.

20 MR. HINNEFELD: There's all sorts 21 of stuff you can do on that. This is -- and 22 this is actually -- this will be pretty simple

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

compared to what our TST guys normally do.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So at this 2 3 point, excuse me for just a sec Wanda. At this point we will develop a log sheet to move 4 5 forward and that will come online? б MR. HINNEFELD: It depends on the 7 Board. You see what I'm saying is this came full Board discussion. Okay, we're 8 up in qoinq back, 9 to go you know, and we 10 essentially, I believe from this Work Group were asked about this, and so we've done this 11 12 for this Work Group. think 13 And Т it. would be 14 appropriate to do it for a second, that way we would have two meeting examples and then we 15 16 can go to the full Board, you know, it can be an item that the Work Group recommends the 17 full Board, a full Board discussion. 18 19 something like this what Is you

20 know you could flesh out want? You the remaining columns here, do some things like 21 22 that. Make that part of the recommendation to

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

123

the Board and say, okay here's something that
 we think will work.

3 This is OCAS an an app, publication, OCAS could build and 4 it's а fairly straightforward method to put in there 5 б and proceed from that point on. And to 7 Kathy's point about this being done at some old meetings, we could find those and capture 8 9 those and do the thing with those same 10 comments. I mean that could be done.

Well, we'll have 11 to what see 12 actually the data is in WISPR. We could find 13 those and we could pull those into this 14 application too. But this is not, you know, 15 this doesn't, from our standpoint, this is an 16 eminently manageable thing to do once the Board decides what it wants to do. 17

And if this group can bring, like In I said, maybe specify the initial columns, there's a lot that can be done and I don't necessarily think we need to design the app today --

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you.
 Wanda had a comment.

3 MEMBER MUNN: Yes. I'm really 4 concerned here that we are building an of mountain what Т 5 enormous out had б anticipated would be а simple and 7 straightforward response to a Board request.

hear, and perhaps Ι 8 What I'm but what I'm hearing here 9 wrong, is I'm 10 hearing from Josie and I'm hearing from at least two other people the possibility of 11 12 building an entire database here.

13 And I -- what I had recommended, 14 Ι think it ought to be of the and one 15 recommendations to the Board, is because these 16 things do appear in the public record, that we 17 respond to what has been raised at each meeting at the following meeting, but that we 18 19 not continue -- you're indicating that you want to continue to track it forever. 20

21 And I'm suggesting that the 22 tracking system is outside of the scope as I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

saw it originally and I don't know how other
 Board members feel about it.

But it seems to me that we need to present at least that option to the Board that they hear the following meeting what has been done to disposition these things, but that we not continue to track them.

8 If you want to set up an entirely 9 new database, then that's a different question 10 entirely that is being proposed to the Board I 11 do believe.

12 I would just have you MR. KATZ: 13 consider that there's some issues that may not 14 between Board meeting and the one next 15 meeting, may not have -- you may not have the 16 time to handle yet.

And for those you'd be silent until you were ready and having a tracking system would just allow you to realize that that's still a comment sitting there that the Board hasn't spoken back to the public yet about.

NEAL R. GROSS

And it sounds like from what Stu's 1 saying, it's not -- we're not talking about a 2 3 lot of resources just to set up this template on there for people to type these things in. 4 MR. ZEITOUN: You see I am not a 5 б computer expert, but sometimes I sit down on Excel, I make a database just by typing it. 7 You know what I mean? So it's I don't think 8 9 what Ι understand from Stu, it's not 10 sophisticated, it's just a tracking database,

11 simple program anyway.

12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And I agree 13 in part, Wanda, that we should report to the Board at the next meeting on those cases that 14 we can or those questions we can readily 15 16 report on, but I am in wholehearted agreement 17 with the tracking system, especially with Stu's description of it being so easy to 18 19 implement and I see no reason why we shouldn't 20 go forward with that.

21 MEMBER MUNN: I'm very concerned 22 with sunset clauses. We never establish any

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

sunset clauses in this process that we set up.
 We are building an enormous bureaucracy and
 we are adding to our own workload every single
 meeting that we have.

5 I know that this program is going 6 to go on for a very long time and I know that 7 many of the people sitting around this table 8 are going to be here 10 years from now still 9 doing this same thing.

But it is of real effect for how 10 that proceeds for us to provide more than one 11 12 option when we present this to the Board in my 13 view. It will undoubtedly go your direction 14 you're proposing, we're undoubtedly that 15 setting up another tracking system here that 16 will go on to eternity.

But it does need to have an optionto that presented.

MR. KATZ: I think the Work Group, as I said earlier, it should present as many flavors or alternatives as it can imagine. There's no reason to limit yourselves at all

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

and you can raise the issues that relate to
 each option as to workload, et cetera to be
 sure.

I think that will all be helpful
to the full Board in giving good consideration
to how -- the path forward.

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Tt. would. 7 Т mean, we don't really know when a lot of these 8 questions are raised at Board meetings from 9 Think back five or six 10 the public and stuff. Board meetings, how many of the questions do 11 12 you even remember or the comments that are 13 made.

14 MEMBER MUNN: Most of them.

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Well then, you know, how were they addressed? Were they addressed or not? You know, I mean in some cases we don't need to all detail how they're addressed, just whether they're addressed, you know.

Like you said, in some cases thiswill be returned to the Department of Labor,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that's all that needs to be in there because 2 it's not a question for the Board to answer, 3 but it was forwarded to the Department of 4 Labor, DOE, wherever it is.

So we don't need huge volumes of 5 б data in there as far as а lot of the 7 responses. It can, you know, it can just be acknowledged that it was dealt with. 8

9 MEMBER MUNN: So you can look back 10 on it 10 years from now and look at your 11 tracking system and say yes, that's a DOL 12 issue.

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Well, mostly it's that way, if it comes up again we can sit there and say, hey, you know, this issue has been dealt with, there's already an answer out there and we can, you know, guide them to that particular answer.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So we have about 10 minutes before lunch so I'm going to cover these last two bullets and if we need to, we'll readdress this at the end of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 meeting.

(202) 234-4433

What criteria will be used to 2 3 identify comments that deserve consideration, formal response or action by the Advisory 4 5 Board and its contractor? б MEMBER MUNN: Or OCAS. 7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Or, yes, or Are we going to identify a criteria? 8 OCAS. that another 9 Is MEMBER MUNN: 10 internal QA question between the agency and --MR. ZEITOUN: Does the Board even 11 12 respond formally to issues in letters? ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 13 No. They do If they get a letter from a 14 to letters. petitioner, they respond with the letter, yes. 15 16 MR. ZEITOUN: So this is already occurring? 17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 18 Yes. 19 MR. ZEITOUN: It doesn't need to 20 be tracked or anything? It's going to happen automatically? 21 22 Not for comments in MEMBER MUNN:

www.nealrgross.com

131

public sessions. 1

2	MR. ZEITOUN: Okay.
3	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. So is
4	that something we need to discuss? Do we need
5	to come up with a criteria or, I mean we've
6	already discussed some aspects of that.
7	MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: We can
8	propose criteria, but it probably needs to be
9	discussed by the Board.
10	DR. MAKHIJANI: You know I don't -
11	- you need to know the public comment. I mean
12	the if we're making a list of public
13	comments, it should become we should make a
14	if we're going to list public comments my
15	suggestion is that we list them.
16	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I agree.
17	DR. MAKHIJANI: Everything that is
18	involved either an individual dose
19	reconstruction every substantive comment
20	should be listed. And then I think once you
21	list them it will become obvious, you know, I
22	would say half of the comments are about

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

people's own dose reconstruction or how it went wrong according to them or something wasn't taken into account that they said.

And those are all clearly in NIOSH's bailiwick and they need to go back and, you know, look at their tracking number of the person and see what happened.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So would you 8 agree that we're going to comment on all --9 10 DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes, I think we should compile all of them and my feeling is 11 12 that their disposition will become transparent 13 in almost all cases. I can't think of 14 anywhere the disposition wouldn't be 15 transparent.

MR. KATZ: I would just -- Josie what you just said is that we would comment on all of them. And I wouldn't suggest, although you can do whatever you want, but I wouldn't suggest that the Board be commenting back on every comment.

22 Because again, as Arjun just said

1 about 50 percent of them deal with individual 2 claims and their issues and really it's not 3 even the appropriate forum for them to have a 4 response from the Board.

5 But it really, I think the Board 6 would want to respond on those issues that are 7 Board issues or to provide assurance for 8 issues of generic issues that OCAS addressed 9 that those were addressed somehow. Whether it 10 was the issue was referred to DOL or what have 11 you. So it would be selective.

12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Point well 13 taken.

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: I think that'sa good way to go.

16 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And I think17 the last bullet we've already addressed.

18DR. MAKHIJANI:Yes, if you're19going to make the tracking public.

20 MR. KATZ: Yes, just for the 21 record the question on the paper is how will 22 feedback be provided to the workers in

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 response to their comments.

2	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you.
3	Are there any other topics that have not been
4	listed in these bullets that need to be
5	addressed or talked about?
6	MR. KATZ: I think just I think
7	you might just want to sort out I mean, I
8	just suggested a process but it didn't it
9	wasn't discussed which is that this Work Group
10	one way to go about this is for this Work
11	Group to be in a sense responsible for the
12	preparatory work and to have a meeting prior
13	to an upcoming Board meeting where it would go
14	over these materials with OCAS and make
15	certain that it had in hand responses or
16	suggest for issues that Work Group believes
17	ought to be responded by the Board and so on.
18	You still have to think about
19	there's still a step between that, this Work
20	Group doing that work and the Board actually
21	deciding it wants to respond on all those
22	issues, because I think it's probably a little

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

bit weight in certain circumstances for this
 Work Group to make that decision where it
 might be a big issue.

So you'll have to think about how you get feedback from the full Board on -- so that when you go into a Board meeting you're reporting out on issues that the Board wants to report out on.

9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Did you have 10 something Abe?

11 MR. ZEITOUN: No. No, I'm --

MR. KATZ: So one possibility is if this Work Group does the homework, in a sense, for the Board and lays material out, you could have email communications among the Board to sort of organize that as to what the Board would like to present.

I'm just -- I guess it's going to have to be something fairly efficient if this is going to work given that, you know, even though there's three months between Board meetings, those three months go so quickly

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

```
www.nealrgross.com
```

136

and, you know, the first 30 days you don't have the transcript until, the draft transcript until, you know, 35 days out from the first -- from the Board meeting, last Board meeting.

6 MEMBER MUNN: It would need to be 7 very brief and not time consuming for the 8 Board members.

9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well I think 10 that's something we need to keep in mind and 11 we will come back to that under the actions 12 and assignments at the last part of the day of 13 how we're going to assign the work and move 14 forward.

DR. MAKHIJANI: I have some notes that I made about the salient points that I thought.

18 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay.

DR. MAKHIJANI: If you want me toshare those with you now.

21 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Sure.

22 DR. MAKHIJANI: One was the

137

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS

Working Group recommends to the Board that the
 Working Group take on tracking of comments and
 their disposition.

Working 4 And the Group should report to the Board that we looked at tracking 5 good б as а idea and different levels of tracking and how big it should be should be 7 discussed by the Board for their further 8 direction. 9

10 And that NIOSH can easilv establish a tracking system similar to this 11 that would contain a couple of other columns 12 13 and that suggested process by Ted, that could be adopted by the Working Group would be for 14 the preparatory work of the disposition of the 15 16 comments to be done by a Working Group meeting prior to the Board and presented to the Board 17 for action. 18

19 I think action would then be quite 20 important because many of the things that 21 might come up may not be the province of this 22 Working Group at all, they'll be the province

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of some other Working Group, mostly actually 2 that will be the case. 3 So if the Board want to take further action it won't come back here, it 4 5 will go back to, you know, Hanford or Savannah б River or --7 That's what was in my notes. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Would you 8 mind emailing those to me? 9 10 DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes, I'11 formalize them a little bit and send them to 11 12 you. 13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you. 14 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Can you send them out to the whole Work Group? 15 16 DR. MAKHIJANI: Sure. 17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Any other comments at this point? 18 DR. MAKHIJANI: And that the scope 19 was limited to the oral comments. 20 21 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Yes. 22 DR. Sorry, I didn't MAKHIJANI:

www.nealrgross.com

139

read that, my notes are a little bit
 scattered.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: John, anybody
on the phone have any comments before we break
for lunch?

DR. MAURO: No, I'm listening very carefully and I think you're making incredible progress in terms of really getting your arms around this. This is great.

10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Great. So I 11 propose we break for lunch until 1:00. Thank 12 you.

MR. KATZ: Thank you everybody forhanging in there with us on the phone.

15 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off 16 the record at 12:02 p.m. and 17 resumed at 1:03 p.m.)

18

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N 2 (1:03 p.m.) 3 MR. KATZ: Good afternoon, this is the Advisory Board on Radiation Worker Health, 4 the Worker Outreach Working Group and we are 5 б just reconvening after a lunch break. And 7 it's up to you, Wanda -- I mean, Josie. For the folks on the phone, if 8 you're not addressing -- I notice we have a 9 bunch of people on the line now, if you're not 10 addressing the group please keep your phone on 11 mute. Use *6 if you don't have a mute button 12 13 and then *6 again, if you press it again that 14 will un-mute you so that you can speak to the 15 group. 16 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. And Mike has allotted one hour from 1:00 to 2:00 17 p.m. for workers, worker representatives and 18 19 advocates for comments. And I'd like to go 20 ahead and open up the lines for that, if anybody wishes to make a comment. 21 22 MS. Yes, this is Bonnie KLEA:

www.nealrgross.com

141

1 from -- Bonnie Klea from California, Santa 2 Susana Field Lab. And I was wondering with 3 the next class proposed on my original 4 petition, 93, why the next class has been 5 renamed petition number 153?

6 MR. HINNEFELD: Bonnie, this is 7 Stu Hinnefeld.

8 MS. KLEA: Yes, Stu.

9 MR. HINNEFELD: I'm trying to 10 remember the specifics of this. Were you --11 did we send you a Form A to sign for extending 12 those years?

13 MS. KLEA: Yes, you did and I 14 signed it and I got back a letter from NIOSH 15 stating that they cannot do dose 16 reconstruction and then they renamed the new class from 59 through 64 or 65, I'm waiting to 17 find out, 0153. And I was wondering if that 18 19 will be linked to the original petition with all the data on the OCAS website. 20

21 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, there are --22 I think there will be some sort of linkage

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 there. The reason for that, we were advised 2 in that case that it would be beneficial for 3 us, rather than to continue on with the original petition, it would be beneficial to 4 initiate an 83.14 process for this addition, 5 б which installs another petition and therefore -- and that's why we sent you the Form A and 7 that's why it has the new petition numbers. 8

9 The petitions are just numbered 10 sequentially as we receive them and so that's why it got a new petition number. But all the 11 information from the 12 first, Ι think is 13 probably relevant for the second as well. So 14 there should be some linkage there in some fashion. 15

16 MS. KLEA: Okay. And will there 17 be another outreach out here for that new 18 group?

19 MR. HINNEFELD: I don't know for 20 See, we do different kinds of these sure. things. The outreach meeting that 21 we initiate, the SEC outreach meeting we initiate 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is sort of information-gathering, help us understand the situation and the information 2 3 in this petition and get us a more full view. I don't know that we're planning 4 to do that, although I don't know that we're 5 б not planning to. So the short answer there is I don't know. Then there is another meeting 7 that usually SEC classes are added that the 8 9 Department of Labor organizes.

10 And we typically attend those 11 because they ask us to in case questions come 12 up, you know, that they want us to answer.

MS. KLEA: Okay. So nobody knowsyet.

MR. HINNEFELD: Right. But I don't know if there's going to be one. So I don't know, yes.

MS. KLEA: Okay. Stu, on the Canoga Petition, you know, you did tell me you would bring in Canoga, De Soto and Downey, but someone independently sent in a petition, but he didn't include the full time period for

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Canoga, which is 55 through the end of 60.

2 Now will you override that and 3 give that Canoga petition the full time period as approved, you know, for coverage? 4 HINNEFELD: Т don't. 5 MR. know б exactly what we're doing in Canoga, I haven't 7 talked to the people working on that. But I can tell you in general what 8 we do is when we get a petition, that petition 9 10 for a certain period of time and we find that feasible for 11 it's not to do dose us 12 reconstruction during that period of the 13 petition, as a general rule we'll look beyond 14 the period of the petition and say, how long does this condition extend that prevents us 15 16 from doing feasible dose reconstruction. Okay. 17 MS. KLEA: Now I'm --18 MR. HINNEFELD: And so we may 19 actually --20 I'm hoping and assuming MS. KLEA: that you will cover all of 1960, because the 21 petitioner instead of including 55 through 60 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

he included a half year in 55 and only a half year in 1960 and that will exclude a lot of workers that I have that worked, you know, from January 1 of 60 through the end of December.

And if you only give a half year, a lot of the workers will be excluded for their time period.

9 MR. HINNEFELD: Well I'll have to 10 find out. I don't know for sure. What you 11 could do is if you wouldn't mind asking Laurie 12 -- I know you've been in contact with Laurie 13 or somebody --

14 MS. KLEA: Yes.

MR. HINNEFELD: -- our website, you can send that there and they'll probably get you an answer, but I can't answer it sitting here today.

MS. KLEA: Okay. Yes, Laurie has been actually really very helpful and she responds fast, so I will keep, you know, be in contact with Laurie. Is she still there?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. HINNEFELD: Well she still 2 works for us. She's not at this meeting 3 today.

4 MS. KLEA: I thought I heard her 5 on the phone this morning?

6 MR. KATZ: No. You might be 7 thinking of Denise Brock who was on the phone 8 this morning.

Oh, okay. All right. 9 MS. KLEA: 10 And then one more question that's unrelated to worker outreach, are you looking for any 11 12 new data on your covered cancers, because as 13 you know, I had bladder cancer and 97 percent of the bladder cancers in the United States 14 15 are being denied because Larry Elliot told me 16 that it's not considered radiosensitive.

17 And each -- a lot of the sites health studies done 18 have had bv the 19 universities and they have shown that bladder 20 cancer is very high as is shown in our site that it is second only to lung cancer onsite 21 with offsite 22 the workers and with the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 residents who live the closest.

2 And so I'm wondering if there's 3 going to be any update on your research. Well, as a matter 4 MR. HINNEFELD: of course, we are charged with evaluating 5 б research as it develops and determining if there's an impact -- that research has an 7 impact on essentially the dose models, that 8 would be the case we'd be asking here, on the 9 10 dose models that are utilized. So that's usually a fairly lengthy 11 12 and complicated process because one study is 13 not usually considered definitive in the world 14 of epidemiology, and beyond just having an observed excess of the -- of a particular 15 16 disease, usually there has to be some epidemiology done that sort of shows the dose-17 response relationship, that 18 the increased 19 disease then correlates or corresponds with 20 increasing doses of some exposure agent, whether it be radiation or something else. 21

And so, normally that, you know,

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

22

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

the complete set of that work would have to be, you know, would be considered evidence, but it would be one piece of evidence that's considered in the totality of other similar pieces of evidence.

6 So to the extent that you want to 7 inform us about studies that you're aware of 8 that show an excess of bladder cancer or some 9 other cancer, certainly we would be willing 10 and interested in hearing about that, you 11 know, through our --

MS. KLEA: Well we have our own
UCLA study --

14 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes.

15 MS. KLEA: -- and you haven't used 16 that in the research for Santa Susana; you're 17 using Boice study, which was commissioned by Boeing. But the UCLA study shows very high 18 19 levels of cancers that weren't previously sensitive 20 thought radio to be including bladder. 21

22 MR. HINNEFELD: Well we're --

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 let's to be clear on what an epidemiology 2 study would inform us about is we would 3 generally not make a site-specific adjustment 4 for the risk models.

What we would say is that if there 5 б is epidemiology that shows that the risk of 7 leukemia, for instance, is greater than thought up until this point or the latency 8 period is different then at that -- and there 9 some consistency of that message, you 10 was know, it all -- it does not -- like I said, 11 12 one study does not necessarily tip the balance of evidence. 13

14 So if there was, you know, some 15 consistency of that then it would be an 16 adjustment to the overall risk models that are 17 used in the program because we don't, as a 18 matter of course, make site-specific 19 adjustments to risk models.

20 MS. KLEA: Right. Well in my 21 research, I've read about a lot of the other 22 sites that had large tritium exposures and

(202) 234-4433

even in the Great Lakes area which I mentioned
 once before that the Canadian reactors release
 much more tritium than is allowed here in the
 United States and the Great Lakes area has a
 lot of bladder cancer.

6 And some of the other DOE sites 7 around the country are also showing large 8 numbers of bladder cancer.

Well I quess all I 9 MR. HINNEFELD: 10 can say is that if you can cite the specific studies to us that might help us in that. 11 I 12 mean we are supposed to be keeping up with 13 that. But if you have site specific studies 14 that would make sure that we at least are 15 aware of those.

16 But I do want to say again that usually that kind of is fairly 17 process It takes -- it's a deliberative 18 lengthy. 19 process, a consensus process of a number of scientists and we don't do that on our own. 20 We will usually get outside experts to weigh 21 in on things like that. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

And we in fact hire a company that 1 2 is a risk evaluation and risk modeling company 3 that is one of our contractors and they help us on things like that a lot, too. 4 5 KLEA: MS. Okay. One more б question and then I'm done. Do you have a date and a place for your February Board 7 meeting out here in California? 8 9 MR. HINNEFELD: The dates are February 9th, 10th and 11th, I believe. 10 MR. KATZ: I believe. I don't 11 12 have it in my head. 13 MR. HINNEFELD: It's the Tuesday, 14 Wednesday and Thursday of the week that contains February 9th. 15 16 MS. KLEA: Okay. Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday. 17 MR. HINNEFELD: And I don't know 18 19 its location. 20 MR. KATZ: Well I don't know the name of the hotel, but it's at Manhattan 21

22 Beach.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. HINNEFELD: I've heard the 2 It's in Manhattan Beach and I don't name. 3 know the name of the hotel. MS. KLEA: I wonder if that will 4 be close for me than Redondo Beach. 5 б MR. HINNEFELD: I don't know. Ι 7 was hoping you knew the geography better than I do. 8 MR. KATZ: I think Manhattan --9 10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Manhattan Beach and 9^{th} , 10^{th} , 11^{th} . 11 MR. HINNEFELD: So it's the 9th, 12 10th and 11th of February at Manhattan Beach 13 14 and I don't know where that is myself. 15 MR. KATZ: I mean it's not that 16 far from Redondo, it's not that far from LAX. 17 MS. KLEA: Okay. MR. HINNEFELD: But it's not that 18 close to Santa Susana. 19 20 MR. BARAK: Bonnie? MS. KLEA: Yes. 21 MR. BARAK: This is Bob Barak. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	MS. KLEA: Hey Bob.
2	MR. BARAK: Yes, I don't want to
3	interrupt the meeting, but I just want to let
4	you know I'm here and I happen to be sitting
5	in my office in Manhattan Beach so I can tell
6	you that Redondo Beach is rather close.
7	MS. KLEA: Thank you, Bob.
8	MR. KATZ: Thank you.
9	MS. KLEA: Bob, did you want to
10	ask them a question about the Canoga facility?
11	I already brought it up.
12	MR. BARAK: Oh, no. Just I
13	just wanted to let everybody know that I am an
14	employee that worked right next to the
15	Department of Energy employees and they're
16	getting reimbursed and I ain't.
17	MS. KLEA: And Bob will be
18	excluded from the compensation program if you
19	cut off 1960 in the middle.
20	MR. BARAK: Right. Oh it has,
21	okay.
22	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Bonnie.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

MS. KLEA: Stu didn't you tell me 1 2 that all the buildings -- I guess it was --3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Bonnie, can I stop you for just a second? 4 5 MS. KLEA: Sure. б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Can you quys take that conversation off to the side --7 MS. KLEA: Oh, okay. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: -- so that we 10 can continue with our Board meeting? 11 MS. KLEA: Okay. 12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Sorry to 13 interrupt, but thank you very much. And are there any other workers on the line that would 14 15 like to make a comment to the Board, to the 16 Work Group? 17 BONSIGNORE: Yes, this is MS. Antoinette Bonsignore for the Linde Ceramics 18 19 Facility. 20 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Hello, Antoinette, please make -- welcome. 21 22 MS. BONSIGNORE: Thank you. Ι

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

have two issues that I'd like to raise today.
 The first being related to the issue that you
 were just discussing about tracking public
 comments in a database.

I've been raising an issue with 5 б the Board and with OCAS for quite some time 7 now in public comments and in written letters and documents regarding the provision of 8 technical assistance for petitioners and for 9 10 dose reconstruction claimants in helping them to understand petition evaluation reports and 11 12 dose reconstruction reports, respectively.

I've raised this 13 And issues а 14 number of times, specifically regarding the 15 SEC petitioning process providing and 16 petitioners with technical assistance in understanding petition evaluation reports in 17 OCAS ' 18 advance of presentation of those 19 petitions to the full Board for review.

And I'd like to know what the Board will be doing specifically to address this issue in the coming months and I'd also

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

like to ask Mr. Hinnefeld what OCAS plans to
 do with respect to providing technical
 assistance to claimants in helping them
 understand dose reconstruction reports.

HINNEFELD: Well, I 5 MR. can I б guess speak to the OCAS role. To be honest, I've been on the job about a month and I 7 haven't addressed the question yet. I think 8 that the -- it will be something I will take 9 10 into consideration.

11 Now help me remember, did you make
12 that comment at the October Board meeting?

MS. BONSIGNORE: I made it at the February meeting, I made it at the last Worker Outreach meeting where Mr. Elliot was still the director and then I made it at the October meeting, as well.

18 MR. HINNEFELD: You did make it at19 the October Board meeting, right?

20 MS. BONSIGNORE: Yes.

(202) 234-4433

21 MR. HINNEFELD: Okay. The reason 22 I ask specifically about the October Board

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

meeting is because our earlier discussion we 1 2 did say that we would excerpt comments from 3 public comment, the public comment session at the October Board meeting and we would have 4 those presented to the Board at their next 5 б meeting as part of this process that we were asked to do, essentially worry about these 7 comments that are made. 8

And in that process, I think we'll 9 10 -- there will be some sort of discussion and some sort of resolution. And I hate to offer 11 12 what that will be today, because again while my title is Interim Director, it's not like 13 it's fuhrer or anything. And so I have to --14 15 MS. BONSIGNORE: I certainly hope 16 not.

MR. HINNEFELD: -- I have to work 17 in conjunction with my colleagues and others 18 19 to make sure that we have a path that we think 20 is -- continues to be doable. So, I think it's a little premature for me to offer an 21 22 opinion kind of or offer any thing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

definitively about what we might do at this
 point.

3 MS. BONSIGNORE: Well just to follow up on that point, I understand that 4 NIOSH has been providing the GAO with some 5 б templates on revised dose reconstruction Will that 7 reports to address this issue. issue be raised at the next Board meeting? 8

I don't know if 9 HINNEFELD: MR. 10 that's going to be on the next Board meeting We have in fact -- we are in fact --11 or not. 12 I have been on a long-standing process to try 13 to write a dose reconstruction, you know, develop a template for dose reconstructions 14 15 that is more readily understood by the 16 audience than the dose reconstruction report we currently write. 17

And to be honest I don't know if that's on the Board's agenda and I don't know of a planned roll-out date for that template either right now.

22 MS. BONSIGNORE: Has there been

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

any consideration, not by you specifically,
 but perhaps by Mr. Elliot regarding providing
 technical assistance to claimants that are
 independent of OCAS?

5 MR. HINNEFELD: I'm not -- I don't 6 know. I don't know, but I can find out after 7 this conversation and may be able to offer 8 something at some point in the future.

9 MS. BONSIGNORE: Okay. And 10 regarding the SEC petitioning process, if 11 perhaps somebody on the Board could address --12 if that issue was going to be discussed at the 13 February Board meeting?

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Antoinette, did you make that in -- was that put in writing, that question, or was that just a qeneral comment?

18 MS. BONSIGNORE: It was put in 19 writing in February of this year and in 20 October of this year.

21 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And you gave 22 it to the Board?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MS. BONSIGNORE: Yes. And I also made a public -- a rather lengthy public comment during the October Board meeting.

4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Right, I do 5 remember that.

6 MR. KATZ: Do you think -- I think 7 the transcripts are up now for the October 8 Board meeting, I think they should be, the 9 uncertified version of them should be up on 10 the web.

Antoinette you may want to look on the third day, because if I'm not remembering incorrectly, which I could be, Dr. Ziemer as you had requested, held a discussion on that third day on that issue.

16 MS. BONSIGNORE: Oh, okay. I 17 didn't realize the transcripts were up yet, 18 okay.

MR. KATZ: I think they should be up because I know they've been delivered and they've been PA-cleared. If they're not up now, they should be up shortly.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 MS. BONSIGNORE: Okay. 2 KATZ: So look on the third MR. 3 day for that issue, because I'm almost certain, unless my memory is messing with me, 4 that we discussed that on the third day. 5 б MS. BONSIGNORE: Okay. Thank you. And then the second issue I wanted to raise 7 is an issue regarding the SEC qualification 8 process and the administrative review board 9 10 reports that are issued when a petitioner appeals a denial for qualification. 11 I had filed two petitions for the 12 13 Linde Ceramics facility back in March of 2008, one of those petitions failed to qualify. 14 Ι 15 had appealed that decision from OCAS and there 16 was an administrative review board that was assembled and they issued a report in August 17 of 2008. 18 19 When I put in a FOIA request for 20 that report, that request was denied under

22 of pre-decisional documents.

NEAL R. GROSS

Exemption 5 of the FOIA regarding the release

(202) 234-4433

21

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

I appealed that decision and just 1 2 a couple of weeks ago, I believe on November 3 16th I finally received the administrative review board's report, which after reviewing -4 - I can't really call it a report, it's more -5 б - it's a one-page memo, it was a rather perfunctory memo on affirming OCAS' decision 7 to deny qualification for that petition. 8 And what I'd like to know is that 9 10 in the future will OCAS be reviewing this withholding 11 policy of these from memos 12 petitioners and whether these memos will be 13 released to petitioners in the future without 14 any undue delay? 15 Because it took me over a year to 16 get a copy of a one-page memo that, quite

17 frankly, I don't understand after reviewing it 18 why it was withheld from me in the first 19 place.

20 MR. HINNEFELD: Well again, I 21 can't speak authoritatively here about what 22 would happen because a number of entities get

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

involved in those decisions and the evaluation
 of documents like that.

3 And so I quess I'm a little at a loss to say much more than that at this time. 4 BONSIGNORE: Well, 5 MS. Т mean 6 there -- the denial that I had for the FOIA 7 was just a boilerplate letter saying that since this was a pre-decisional document they 8 weren't going, you know, CDC would not release 9 10 it to me.

11 So, these are documents that are 12 produced by NIOSH and by OCAS, you know, I 13 think petitioners would like to know what 14 their rights are with respect to receiving 15 these documents. I mean, these are memos that 16 explain why petitions are failing to qualify 17 for review.

Quite frankly, I'd like an explanation as to why OCAS or NIOSH believes that these documents should be withheld from petitioners.

22 MS. HOWELL: Antoinette, this is

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 Emily Howell with the general counsel's 2 office. Those administrative review panel 3 findings are not through OCAS; they're through 4 the NIOSH Office of the Director and they're 5 not within the purview of this Board or, 6 really, OCAS.

7 And so this question, while I 8 appreciate your question and concerns about 9 this, this is probably not the proper venue 10 for getting you any kind of answers to those 11 questions.

think 12 And Ι there have been 13 multiple communications with you about this 14 issue and we're happy to communicate with you further about it, but again, it doesn't have 15 16 anything to do with the Board or OCAS; it's a 17 NIOSH document.

18MS. BONSIGNORE:So who would I19address this to?

20 MS. HOWELL: You know, you can 21 certainly send a letter to Dr. Howard. I 22 think you've been in communication with him

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 previously on this issue or with Dr. Branch. 2 MS. BONSIGNORE: Okay. Thank you. 3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you, Antoinette. Are there any other people who 4 wish to make a comment? 5 б MS. VLIEGER: This is Fay Vlieger from the Hanford site. 7 MR. KATZ: Could you restate your 8 name please? 9 10 MS. VLIEGER: Fay and I'll spell the last name it's V like victory, L-I-E-G-E-11 12 R. 13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Welcome, Fay. 14 MR. KATZ: Thank you. 15 16 MS. VLIEGER: Hi. I just have a couple of questions. I would like to know the 17 status of the Hanford SEC, it's been broken 18 19 out differently from the initial petitions that were filed and I just would like to know 20 -- we just had, I don't want to seem greedy, 21 we just had, you know, one portion of it 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

approved and I just wanted to know what the
 status is of the remaining petitions.

3 MR. HINNEFELD: This is Stu Hinnefeld, and I'll have to speak from memory 4 here or from what I know on the OCAS side. 5 6 The recommendation for approval for Hanford, there was -- the Board voted to recommend 7 additional years at the October meeting. 8 Is 9 that the recent approval you're talking about? 10 MS. VLIEGER: That's the recent The initial petitions requested SEC 11 approval. 12 status through 1990.

13 MR. HINNEFELD: Right.

MS. VLIEGER: The recent petition approval was through 1968 and at this rate it's going to take us 16 years to get there.

MR. HINNEFELD: I think the one voted in October might go through `72. But then I guess the remainder of the SEC then will be open for discussion and I suspect that discussion would resume at some point after the new year.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. VLIEGER: So will that be on 2 the February agenda? 3 MR. HINNEFELD: I don't know. Ι don't think --4 This is Ted Katz. 5 MR. KATZ: Т б don't think it will be on the -- I'm trying to 7 remember what Working Groups we have scheduled, Hanford --8 9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I haven't 10 seen it listed. MR. KATZ: -- is not one of the 11 12 Working Groups scheduled. So the Work Group 13 has more work to do on this topic. Arjun, are 14 you --15 DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes. I can give 16 you a little more information. This is Arjun Makhijani. I'm the SC&A staff manager for 17 this. Sam Glover said that NIOSH is updating 18 19 the site profile. So presumably it will be 20 from July 72 onward. I was directed to prepare an update of the matrix of SEC issues, 21 given that many of them have been resolved and 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 some of them are still outstanding.

2	Dr. Melius, who is the Chair of
3	the Working Group said that he would want to
4	have a technical call just to sort out a
5	schedule in the middle of December. And he
6	may or may not call a Working Group meeting in
7	January or before the next Board meeting.
8	That's as much as I know.
9	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you.
10	MS. VLIEGER: Okay. And you're
11	right, the last one that was recommended was
12	from 68 to 72 and like I said at the rate that
13	we're going it's going to take 16 years to get
14	to 1990. It's taking, you know, two and three
15	years to get to approval.
16	So is there any chance that this
17	is going to be expedited because you now have
18	a database of material?
19	MR. HINNEFELD: Well I hate to
20	venture a guess about progress in this because
21	every guess I've made so far has been wrong.
22	MS. VLIEGER: Okay. Well I can

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

understand not wanting to continue a bad track
 record on guessing. So I understand that.

3 My only other question that I had, and I don't know if this has been addressed 4 previously, the conflict of 5 interest б statements that members of the Board have to 7 fill out, and I poised this question before to DOL and didn't get an answer, because they 8 9 said, well, gee, we don't know the answer.

10 The Board members have to fill out conflict of interests. What is happening with 11 12 for dose contractors reconstruction and 13 radiation exposure records is that you're 14 having the same people who pull the records 15 and make judqments for state labor and 16 industry injury cases are the same people doing dose reconstruction on the EEOICPA side. 17 I was wondering if there's 18 And ever any look at -- I understand that there's 19 -- that the rationale is that there are too 20 people with few of these the technical 21 22 knowledge to not use people that have worked

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 at the sites. I understand that rationale.

2 I would hope there is some work on 3 segregating what files they handle. Because from the Hanford site, the same personnel at 4 PNNL who pull the records and make dose 5 6 determinations for labor industry cases are the same people that turn around and work on 7 dose reconstructions for NIOSH and I would 8 think that that is a conflict of interest. 9

10 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, ma'am. And 11 in fact that should not be happening. There 12 should not be anyone who worked at the Hanford 13 site whether PNNL or Hanford who is doing dose 14 reconstructions for Hanford.

15 MS. VLIEGER: Well it happens when 16 they subcontract their weekends and evening to And so it doesn't look like 17 Dade Moeller. they're working at the Hanford site, it looks 18 19 like they're working for your other 20 subcontractors.

21 MR. HINNEFELD: You mean if they 22 - no. No. If they work at the Hanford site

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

1 or they used to work at the Hanford site, they 2 should not be doing dose reconstructions for 3 Hanford. They might be just --

MS. VLIEGER: I agree with you. HINNEFELD: Well that's 5 MR. а б fact. There is a policy against that. If you 7 would like to, rather than speak names over the phone, if you would like to send the names 8 of someone you think is doing that to the OCAS 9 10 inbox.

MS. Ι will 11 VLIEGER: most 12 certainly do that because I've had experience 13 with this person and his office, and it's not 14 just him; it's the other personnel in his 15 office.

16 HINNEFELD: If you would do MR. that, then I will check into it. Because the 17 18 policy forbids someone who works or used to 19 work at Hanford from doing dose reconstructions for Hanford. They might do 20 dose reconstructions for some other sites, but 21 not for Hanford. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

4

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. VLIEGER: Okay. And does that 2 include -- many of the workers at the Hanford 3 site have roamed around the country, they've been at Oak Ridge, they were at Rocky Flats, 4 they are here, they were in Ohio, and so does 5 б that include if their file has anything from a different site? So like if it's the Hanford 7 site and multiple other sites? 8 9 MR. HINNEFELD: Now are you 10 talking about someone who's doing dose reconstructions? 11 12 MS. VLIEGER: Correct. 13 MR. HINNEFELD: If someone who is 14 doing dose reconstructions is not to be doing 15 dose reconstructions for any of the sites that 16 they worked at. 17 MS. VLIEGER: Okay. If someone worked 18 MR. HINNEFELD: 19 for them in radiation safety capacity, you 20 know, I quess --Well I don't know 21 MS. VLIEGER: 22 what you're calling radiation safety.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

Well I think we 1 MR. HINNEFELD: 2 try to cast a pretty broad net for that. 3 MS. VLIEGER: Okay. I will send you an email and try and get this cleared up. 4 5 MR. HINNEFELD: Okay. б MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Can I ask a 7 question? Say, is it that you're concerned about the people doing the dose reconstruction 8 or the people who are pulling the records? 9 10 MS. VLIEGER: I'm concerned about the person who looks at the records, makes a 11 determination, writes letters and is a witness 12 13 for the contractor in state labor and industry 14 and injury claims. 15 MR. HINNEFELD: Okay. More to 16 that point. If that -- if a person who's 17 doing that is also responding to our records requests, that I don't know about. That would 18 19 be -- that is the Department of Energy's 20 responsibility --21 MS. VLIEGER: Right. But this is

22 --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

MR. HINNEFELD: -- to provide us
 with their exposure.

3 MS. VLIEGER: But that's his day 4 job at PNNL and weekends and evenings he does 5 dose reconstruction for Dade Moeller.

6 MR. HINNEFELD: Should not be 7 happening, not if he's doing -- he should not 8 be doing Hanford dose reconstructions.

MS. VLIEGER: Okay.

9

10 MS. KLEA: Hi, this is Bonnie, I'd 11 like to add another comment on Dade Moeller. 12 Many of the claimants from Santa Susana Field 13 Lab have had dose reconstruction done by Dade 14 Moeller.

15 And Dade Moeller was contracted by 16 a home builder to test land adjacent to the 17 nuclear reactors to report to the city council and they found it was clean and safe, whereas 18 19 previous studies on that same property show 20 very high readings of samples that were taken. Now wouldn't this be a conflict of interest? 21 22 MR. That has been HINNEFELD:

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

raised and investigated and I don't believe it
 is. I believe the judgment was it's not.
 Dade Moeller's work was at a -- for a -- well,
 I better not say too much because I don't know
 the specifics.

6 But I remember that that case was 7 raised, investigated and decided it's not and 8 it was not a matter that they were not -- they 9 were not pronouncing any opinion on the 10 immediate vicinity of Santa Susana.

And in fact for this program, a determination that would be made anywhere in the neighborhood would not really be relevant because this program pertains to Santa Susana only.

16 And while you can say, well, a contamination offsite would indicate something 17 about the conditions at Santa Susana, I think 18 19 we have other information that it informs us 20 just fine about the conditions at Santa Susana discount 21 and don't information of we contamination offsite just because somebody 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

said, well for this particular housing 1 2 development, it's okay. 3 But that's been investigated and I'm pretty sure it's been done. 4 5 MS. KLEA: Okay. б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Thank 7 you. Are there any other comments from anyone who hasn't had a chance to make a comment just 8 9 yet? 10 (No response.) 11 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So I'm taking 12 that silence to mean that we are completed 13 with this portion of the public comment 14 section. Thank you. 15 DR. MAURO: Josie, this is John. 16 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Hi, John. 17 MR. HINNEFELD: No fair, he's not a member of the public. 18 19 DR. MAURO: I'm not offering a 20 An interesting observation. comment. One of the things that just happened is we just heard 21 comments from a number of informed petitioners 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

and interested parties. Is this -- is part of the scope of this Work Group to take ownership of those and track them?

4 It's almost like a subset of the 5 full Board only this is now -- these are 6 comments that are coming to the Work Group.

7 So it's a scope question. Is this within the scope -- do you feel, I guess this 8 is a discussion for the Work Group, that the 9 10 comments that we just heard, some of which were answered, some of which are being passed 11 12 on, et cetera, et cetera; is this something 13 that's going to be tracked by this Work Group? At this time 14 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 15 we -- I know that Kathy has been taking notes 16 and it hasn't -- well, early on we decided So John that we weren't going to track this. 17 I do think that you've raised a good question 18 19 and I think that it bears more discussion from the Work Group. 20

21 MR. KATZ: What we discussed 22 earlier was not that this Work Group wouldn't

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 track its own issues, what we discussed is 2 that issues brought to this Work Group would 3 not all the sudden become the responsibility of the Board, the full Board, so. 4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Correct. 5 б MR. KATZ: That's --7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Correct. MAURO: that 8 DR. And was my question, whether or not this Work Group may 9 10 want to have its own tracking system and take, you know, take ownership of these issues. 11 Ι 12 quess I just put that before the Work Group as 13 something that we probably should discuss. Well I think 14 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: we have time to discuss that right now. 15 Does 16 anyone wish to make a comment? 17 I wish to make a MEMBER MUNN: 18 comment. 19 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. 20 What a surprise. MEMBER MUNN: Ι think we should not address this until our 21 Chair is available. I would hate to have a 22

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

discuss what is and is not going to be the
 purview of this Work Group without the Work
 Group's Chair in attendance.

4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Any 5 other comments?

6 (No response.)

7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And I'm okay with waiting until our Chair is available, I 8 9 have no problem with that. I think that if 10 we're qoinq to open our time to public comments then we should track those comments, 11 12 that's my opinion.

DR. MAKHIJANI: Josie? Just FYI, I just sent the notes regarding Board tracking as a Word file to the Work Group members and the lawyers and our SC&A people who are here.

17 MR. KATZ: Thank you.

18 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you.

MR. ZEITOUN: I have one comment. I believe you are correct that, since the public were given the opportunity, this can be discussed later with their Work Groups, given

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

the opportunity to present their inputs, these have to be looked at in a matter responsible for whoever receives it.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. So if there's no more comments, the next item on our agenda is protocol for authorization and attendance of Worker Outreach meetings.

8 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Josie, before 9 we go there, could we get back to Objective 3 10 for just a minute?

11 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: In the 12 implementation plan?

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Yes, in theimplementation plan.

15 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Sure.

16 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: An issue has been brought up that I should have 17 said something then, and that is a number of the 18 19 claimants, the activists, they want to know 20 what the criteria is for site experts to DOL, NIOSH, whoever, how they choose their site 21 22 experts.

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 181

Because the general consensus among a lot of these people is that when they get up as a worker or as a claimant and testify or give -- that their testimonies do not carry as much weight.

And so in number three, we really got to make sure that their comments are incorporated and that they -- we need some way to assure them that whatever they tell us is being looked at under Objective 3.

11 MR. KATZ: Phil, that's very 12 substantially addressed under Objective 3. 13 That's the whole point is to look at work 14 input and how it's being handled.

MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Right. But we're going to also have to have something under Objective 3 some way it just might only take a paragraph, to let these people know that your comments have been received, they have been incorporated.

21 Because a lot of times you will 22 see a clash between the site experts and the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

workers as to materials that were used,
 quantities of materials that were used and
 where they were used. A lot of times they
 don't mesh at all.

5 And this is where this really big 6 conflict comes in, what happened down in the 7 lab or on the floor is different than what 8 happened up in, you know, the third-floor 9 office.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So Phil, you don't think that that is covered adequately in 3 and if not, have you worked up paragraph, maybe, to add?

14 DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes, Josie, can I 15 say something?

16 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Sure.

DR. MAKHIJANI: Actually, you know, was a big part or a significant part of the review that Kathy and I did of Procedure 97. We said that there's a kind of a dual track for site experts.

22 And I think our observation was

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 that there shouldn't be, at least it was 2 implicit. And this is actually will come up 3 outside of this in the review of even Procedure 12, well, Kathy and I already talked 4 5 about this.

6 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: The dualtrack system is still an issue with Procedure 7 12. By the dual-track system, we mean the 8 worker outreach meetings that are held and 9 10 tracked in the OTS system and then there's site expert interviews which are tracked --11 in the 12 which documented documented are 13 communications which are available through the 0: drive and that would be your, for lack of a 14 15 better term, site expert interviews.

And I believe our general feeling from the last review was that they should not be tracked separately, they should be tracked together.

20 DR. MAKHIJANI: When NIOSH is 21 getting technical information from workers 22 whether they're on the floor or whether they

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

are health physicists with a PhD, their
 information as site experts and what they know
 should be treated uniformly.

4 You may or may not use it. I mean document lots of stuff in 5 the SC&A we 6 interview session. It's just there, it's 7 documented. Then we decide what's germane to the particular review at hand. But you can 8 see both, the Board can see what we used and 9 10 what we didn't.

And my point is just 11 MR. KATZ: 12 under Objective 3, I think these questions 13 thoroughly evaluate how NIOSH handles information received from any of these worker 14 15 sources and the worker as it's defined is very 16 broadly defined.

17 So you will be getting into those 18 issues as you actually evaluate those, but 19 it's thoroughly covered in a sense by this 20 framework under Evaluation Objective number 3. 21 I don't think there's anything to add to it, 22 but -

NEAL R. GROSS

1 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Can I ask 2 NIOSH one question? We've got a site profile 3 development procedure --4 MR. HINNEFELD: Okay. MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: 31, I don't 5 б know that it's ringing a bell. MR. HINNEFELD: Probably our --7 yes, it would be our contractor's procedure 8 9 and an ORAU procedure. 10 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Okay. At the moment, of course that references WISPR 11 and it references Procedure 97. 12 13 MR. HINNEFELD: Okay. 14 ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Are there MS. plans to update that? 15 There will be 16 MR. HINNEFELD: shortly. 17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Phil, are you 18 19 comfortable with that discussion on 3? 20 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: At this time. 21 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: At this time. 22 MEMBER SCHOFIELD: I may bring it

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1 up again in the future.

2	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay.
3	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: At this point
4	I'll
5	ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I think
6	during our assignments that may become one of
7	our first assignments. So, we'll maybe see
8	how that goes further on down. Because
9	there's nothing to say that we can't add to
10	this or change it later on if we feel like
11	it's not meeting our needs.
12	Okay. So the next item on the
13	agenda is protocol for authorization and
14	attendance of worker outreach meetings.
15	Unfortunately, this is Mike's agenda and I'm
16	not sure who is going to cover that.
17	MR. ZEITOUN: Actually this is
18	John? John Mauro?
19	DR. MAURO: Yes.
20	MR. ZEITOUN: This is the item
21	that you and I discussed and Mike adopted that
22	as a point of discussion for this work. Could

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you go through the process of our attendance 2 to the meetings, worker outreach meetings? 3 DR. MAURO: Oh, well right now I've been operating on the assumption that Stu 4 is going to be keeping the Work Group informed 5 б _ _ 7 MR. HINNEFELD: Right. MAURO: of when 8 DR. _ _ the outreach and various other types of meetings 9 10 are scheduled once they make it to a calendar. I'm not sure if there's actually a formal 11 12 calendar in place, I believe that there is. 13 And at that time, I quess it was 14 our expectation that we would get direction from the Work Group. 15 There's a little bit of 16 a logistics problem here, you know, let's go -- let's say tomorrow there's an announcement 17 on the calendar that Stu puts up on the site 18 19 where this information is maintained, there will be a meeting at a certain date at some 20 location. 21

22 The questions -- I guess I'm

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

operating under the assumption that, you know,
 we don't automatically go to these meetings.
 We need to get direction from the Work Group
 and from Ted which meetings to attend.

5 And the problem we're having is 6 normally we receive our direction either 7 directly from the Board or doing a Work Group 8 meeting.

9 And since we're not -- since that 10 announcement may come out in between Work 11 Group meetings, the question is how is it, you 12 know, how do we get authorization to go if in fact one of the Board members or Work Group 13 members would like us to attend. 14 And right 15 now I don't know if the machinery is in place 16 to do that.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I believe we discussed it two meetings ago and if SC&A felt they would like to attend the meeting, the authorization was already drafted. That's my understanding, but --

22 MR. KATZ: We discussed this

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

morning that for the evaluation going forward 1 that we would be systematic and not have 2 3 people just ad hoc attending meetings outside of the framework of specifically engaging in 4 elements of this evaluation 5 one or more б framework.

7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: That is true. So, as far as the sort 8 MR. KATZ: of logistical question or whatever, I mean 9 10 between Work Groups, if there is a site visit that's needed, the only way for that to be 11 12 authorized is by me to authorize it between 13 Work Group meetings --14 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Oh, okay.

MR. KATZ: -- which I've explained before, but --

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. Did youhave something Kathy?

19 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** Yes. Α good example of how this comes into play is I 20 informed that there combined 21 was was а meeting, limited worker surveillance, DOL, DOE 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

and NIOSH, I was informed approximately two
 hours before the meeting occurred and it was
 in my own territory, okay.

And I went ahead and went because of that. I didn't charge the government for it, but it was after hours. And this is -- we find out about some of these meetings with that much notice.

9 MR. KATZ: Well, I mean I think 10 there is something in place now, but I don't 11 know what the timing of that was. But I know 12 I'm receiving and I'm seeing that you guys are 13 copied on this calendar that Stu may want to 14 talk more about that --

15 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, Ι believe 16 that they are copied on the meetings that we set up, okay, our worker outreach meetings. 17 These other meetings we may attend, if asked, 18 19 but we don't set up. The one you're talking about is probably a public meeting, because 20 usually when workers monitoring program and 21 the Ombudsman goes to these meetings, they're 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

191

(202) 234-4433

1 normally public.

2	And on occasion they'll ask us to
3	attend either to say a little bit about
4	NIOSH's role or just to be available to answer
5	questions if there are questions that they're
б	not comfortable answering related to our
7	program.
8	So we have not actually gone out
9	of the way to communicate that, you know,
10	those meetings. Now, there's maybe an
11	there may be a mechanism to do that fairly
12	easily.
13	This calendar is put out, you
14	know, slightly in advance of the month, you
15	know, it's kind of a monthly calendar comes
16	out slightly in advance of the effective
17	meeting.
18	And presumably we can distribute
19	it, we get it through email, we could, you
20	know, send it to you and to the Working Group
21	members and to the SC&A staff. I guess we
22	could probably do that. There's no guarantee

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we're going to be there.

2 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Is this the 3 DOE calendar that you're referring to? HINNEFELD: I don't 4 MR. know. 5 It's -б MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: By --Well, yes Moriah 7 MR. HINNEFELD: puts out a calendar I think of that describes 8 9 _ _ 10 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Is she on 11 the phone? 12 MR. HINNEFELD: She this was morning, I don't know if she's still there or 13 14 not. 15 MR. KATZ: Moriah, are you still on the line with us? 16 17 MS. FERULLO: Here. Yes. I was just coming off of mute. What's the question 18 19 about the calendar? I've been listening, but 20 I don't know if there was really a question. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Well it 21 MS. sounds like you're providing the DOE meeting 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 1 calendar to NIOSH for these --

2	MS. FERULLO: Actually it's on the
3	website on the HSS website there's an outreach
4	calendar and it lists all of the joint
5	outreach task group events, which is when we
6	go out with the Ombudsman's Office.
7	And we've also asked everybody on
8	the joint outreach task group be it NIOSH and
9	the Ombudsman for NIOSH and for DOL as well as
10	the former worker programs and the Department
11	of Labor and the resource centers if they're
12	having any events to post it on there and then
13	we can make that accessible for everybody so
14	we're not, you know, duplicating efforts.
15	If somebody happens to be going
16	out to do an event, then maybe, you know,
17	another party could team up with them.
18	MR. KATZ: Thank you Moriah.
19	MS. LOUIE: Although we were
20	interested Moriah, this is Terese, on just
21	putting public meetings on that calendar.
22	MS. FERULLO: Yes, exactly. And

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 we, you know, made that clear to everybody on 2 the joint outreach task group that, you know, 3 if you submit something that it is going to be up there and it will be public. 4 MEMBER MUNN: 5 May we request that б that URL be sent to the Work Group? 7 MS. FERULLO: Oh, no problem. MEMBER MUNN: 8 Thank you. 9 FERULLO: The other thing is MS. 10 we are, you know, we ask for everybody to send the events even as they find out about them 11 12 trying to make it 60 because we're dav 13 calendar, you know, having events two months 14 in advance to give everybody enough time. And who specifically should I send 15 16 that to? 17 MR. KATZ: You can send it to me I'11 qlad distribute it. 18 and be to to 19 everybody. So that's -- this is Ted Katz 20 speaking and you can just send it to --21 FERULLO: Okay, yes I'll get MS.

22 your info.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KATZ: Thank you.

2 DR. MAURO: Ted, this is John.

3 MR. KATZ: Yes.

The logistics on this 4 DR. MAURO: sound to be one where since reality is between 5 meetings, you're really the only individual б 7 that can authorize SC&A to do work, to go to a meeting or to do work that we were not 8 9 explicitly authorized to do either during the Work Group meeting, such as today or a full 10 11 Board meeting.

12 So really I quess it sounds to me 13 that the sequence of events is once a given meeting is noticed and the members of the 14 15 Board, and it may go beyond just this Work 16 Group, I don't know, this is I quess the 17 question, if in fact there is interest in having SC&A members attend, I guess the next 18 19 step in the process is for that Board member 20 or Work Group member on the Board, to inform 21 you.

22 I'm not sure, you know, if that's

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

_ _

2 MR. KATZ: So John --3 DR. MAURO: Ι quess I'm really asking, in the end I guess we don't take any 4 5 action unless we're requested to do so by Ted. б MR. KATZ: Right. That's the way 7 DR. MAURO: our contract is written right now. 8 Well John 9 MR. KATZ: let me 10 explain what I was saying earlier this morning which was suggested as an approach. 11 12 I mean if this Work Group is going 13 to go the approach at which there seemed to be some consensus about of taking on one or two 14 15 objectives at a time, parallel maybe as the 16 way Arjun was saying and being very systematic 17 about how they go about the evaluation, which means the Work Group decides exactly what 18 19 pieces of information it wants to evaluate and how to do that. 20 The Work Group would make those 21 decisions of the Work Group, this is what we 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 want to do, and maybe one of those elements 2 would be, listen, we really want to go see how 3 this particular kind of outreach meeting is held or what have you. That would all be 4 5 decided at the Work Group meeting. б DR. MAURO: Yes, I'm less

7 concerned about --

8 MR. KATZ: Let me just finish 9 John.

10 DR. MAURO: Okay, I'm sorry.

MR. KATZ: And so there would be a plan, an implementation plan under one or two of these objectives for going forward over the next two or three months say, in front of the Work Group.

And then we would know, okay well so we want to attend -- as part of this evaluation, we want to be able to review what's going on at this kind of Work Group meeting, I mean this kind of outreach meeting and that kind of outreach meeting and we also want to look at these documents, et cetera, et

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cetera.

2	Then we would have a charge, an
3	authorization. And then when it comes up,
4	okay, so now there is going to be this kind of
5	meeting coming up next month, you would have
б	your charge already because there would
7	already be a plan.
8	But it would be different than you
9	sitting there and just these meetings popping
10	up and you thinking, well should I attend
11	that. It's willy-nilly, that's just that's
12	what I was saying, it would be better for it
13	to be systematic, there to be a plan, the Work
14	Group has to establish that plan with very
15	clear to do list.
16	And then it's easy to know, okay
17	of course you're authorized to do that because
18	we wanted you to cover that kind of meeting.
19	DR. MAURO: Okay.
20	MS FERILLO: This is Moriah and I

20 MS. FERULLO: This is Moriah and I 21 just want to comment. This morning you guys 22 were going over several topics that even the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

joint outreach task group we've been looking at as far as how to evaluate the effectiveness of our program and whether to do some sort of satisfaction evaluation.

And next week we're having an in-5 б person meeting with representatives from -some from NIOSH, DOL, the resource center, the 7 8 former worker programs, the Ombudsman's 9 Offices and we're going to be addressing 10 similar issues.

them, 11 And of actually the one 12 former worker program brought and that you all 13 were talking about is this tracking of the complaints that are brought up and the various 14 15 issues and concerns brought up during our 16 meeting.

17 So, it looks as though we're, you 18 know, both groups are tackling the same 19 problem. So I don't know if you all want to 20 listen in on next week's meeting or if you're 21 around and any of you want to attend, but I 22 can also provide you with the call-in number

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

for that meeting and you're more than welcome
 to join in.

Because it does seem like we all are, you know, looking at the same issues and trying to find a way to, you know, one, track the problems coming in and two, to evaluate, you know, our efforts, so.

8 MR. KATZ: Moriah, do you already 9 have the call-in number?

10 MS. FERULLO: Yes, I do and I have 11 the agenda for the meeting.

12 MR. KATZ: Okay.

13 MS. FERULLO: So you want me to 14 give it to you now?

15 MR. KATZ: Yes, you'd be welcome 16 to email that to me and also I don't know if people want to hear the call-in number now 17 18 they can. But you can email those to me as 19 well and I will distribute them to everybody. 20 MS. FERULLO: Great. I'll email you the information as well as the link. 21

22 MR. KATZ: Thank you, that's

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 really helpful.

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you. 3 MS. FERULLO: No problem. If you 4 guys ever come up with a way to evaluate things, please let 5 me know because I'm б struggling with it. It sounds like we'll 7 MR. KATZ: all be learning together Moriah. 8 It only takes time 9 MEMBER MUNN: 10 and money, Moriah. Thanks 11 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 12 Moriah. Have we covered this topic? Not 13 having spoken to Mike I just want to make sure 14 that everything that he wanted brought out, 15 attendance at the worker outreach, that was in 16 combination with the protocol or was there something more Kathy that you know of to that? 17 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: No, it was 18 19 mainly situations where if we don't have 20 advanced notice, and we're interested in attending, what do we do. 21

22 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. So is

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 everybody clear then --

2 MEMBER MUNN: Who goes in-house? 3 MR. KATZ: Well I was just making -- I'm just a suggestive person here --4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: That's fine. 5 -- but if that's with б MR. KATZ: 7 the Work Group, then I think it's a very workable way to handle this. 8 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I think it's 9 10 good, it's a good way to handle it. If it turns out not 11 MEMBER MUNN: 12 to be effective we can change it. MR. KATZ: Yes. 13 14 MR. JOHNSON: Let me just say that 15 information that's put out on our outreach 16 tracking system calendar is put out there as 17 soon as possible. So once we get a confirmed date, we put that information in our website 18 19 within one or two days of notification. 20 Sometimes there are meetings that 21 happen very quickly and even though the information is put out there in a timely 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

manner, it's still in some cases is not timely
 enough for other folks to either be happy or
 get there.

But the system is set up right now that all the Board members are on the outreach tracking system calendar and quite a few of SC&A personnel. So we try to have that system set up so it's as timely and informative as possible.

10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I actually 11 think it's been working well for us. I've 12 been getting the notifications and I'm glad 13 that we are getting those ahead of time, so.

14 MR. JOHNSON: And even with that, 15 if you feel that you've not been included for 16 whatever reason you can go to the OTS system it's right there in the front, 17 and the calendar of events are on the first page. 18

19 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Are 20 any other that there items need to be I'm considering taking a break and discussed? 21 then coming back for the action items and 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 assignments. I know there's several people 2 that are taking flights early afternoon. So 3 any other items that need to be brought up or discussed at this time? 4 5 (No response.) б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. So --Ten minutes? 7 MR. KATZ: ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Let's take 8 15, we are pretty far ahead of schedule here. 9 10 MR. KATZ: Okay, 2:15, I'm going 11 to put the phone on mute, that's all, but we'll be back on at 2:15. 12 13 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off 14 the record 2:00 at p.m. and 15 resumed at 2:15 p.m.) 16 MR. KATZ: Okay, we're back. This is the Outreach Work Group meeting. 17 We just took a brief break. And we finished the 18 19 public comment session and also another session following it on authorizing attendance 20 at worker outreach meetings. 21

22 But we apparently, the marvelous

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

internet and the airlines we somehow, we got a
 public comment from Terrie Berrie, I believe
 right, is that correct, perhaps while she was
 in the air.

5 But she wanted to -- or she's in 6 the air so she couldn't speak, but she a 7 comment that she wanted read into the record 8 for this meeting, so let me do that.

9 Here's Terrie Berrie and ANWAG's 10 public comments for this outreach meeting.

Section 7384N of 11 the EEOICPA 12 program as amended states that the President 13 of the United States shall establish quote, 14 "Establish an independent review process using the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker 15 16 Health to, " unquote, assess the methods established used by NIOSH to reconstruct dose 17 and verify a reasonable sample of completed 18 19 dose reconstructions.

20 An independent review process is 21 the law, not a wish, not a suggestion, but the 22 law. During the past year or so, OCAS has

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 decided to grasp onto the fact that because 2 the funding for the Board's technical advisory 3 contractor, SC&A, is disbursed by CDC that abide 4 SC&A must by OCAS policies and procedures. 5

6 The most recent example is the 7 policy of providing public access to Board or 8 SC&A records or documents. This document's 9 title begins with "NIOSH's procedures," in 10 quotes.

I'm all for 11 Trust me open 12 government, I would honestly like to see more 13 documents such as the White Papers developed 14 by NIOSH and SC&A be posted to the OCAS 15 website without the need of a FOIA request.

However, to have NIOSH propagate policy that govern the Board and its support contractor flies in the face of the logical interpretation of the law. The Board is independent of NIOSH and therefore so is the Board's support contractor.

22 While the policy may, and I stress

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

the word may, be appropriate and in accordance with President Obama's directive, the fact that the agency whose work is being audited writes the policies clearly means that the Board is not independent as the law requires.

Another example of this lack of б independence is the FOIA policy states that 7 NIOSH will determine which communiques or 8 documents SC&A received or produced will be 9 10 subject to a FOIA request. Yes, they will confer with SC&A and the designated federal 11 official, but the ultimate decision on what to 12 release rests with NIOSH. 13

14 This policy does not make the 15 Board or its contractor independent. There is 16 no procedure in place that I could find that will allow the Board, itself, to object to 17 releasing communiques or documents based on 18 19 the FOIA exemptions.

20 This is not independence. Some 21 documents or communications submitted by 22 claimants or workers were considered by those

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 who offered the information to be 2 confidential.

3 Some who offered this information may not have wanted to have their present job 4 jeopardized while offered 5 some personal б information and had no inkling that this 7 information would be subject to public scrutiny, let alone give NIOSH a heads up on 8 information that may refute original NIOSH's 9 10 findings.

11 This would defeat the purpose of 12 an independent audit of the program. I 13 respectfully request that the Board advise 14 President Obama immediately of this conflict 15 and ask for his assistance in resolving this 16 issue.

Obviously legislative action will also be necessary so that funding for the Board and its contractor is disbursed from an outside, non-partisan agency. Thank you for considering this public comment.

22 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you. So

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 the next item is to task action items and 2 assignments. Before we start with that, does 3 anybody have anything else, any other issues, 4 comments?

5 And feel free -- the ones I've got 6 down are for recommended actions. We've 7 already had Ted schedule time during the 8 February Board meeting to present the -- our 9 implementation plan to the Board.

10 I would like to SC&A to work with 11 Mike and/or myself depending on Mike's 12 availability for the February meeting to 13 prepare a presentation for the Board meeting.

14 MR. FUNK: This is John Funk in 15 Las Vegas. Could I speak to the forum for one 16 second please?

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: John, can you hold off until after we're done with this task and then we'll have time to come back to your comment?

21 MR. FUNK: Sure.

22 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 appreciate that. We're just going to finish 2 our tasking at this time. 3 MR. FUNK: Okay, go ahead. 4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. So that is one action item. 5 б MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Can you 7 repeat that? CHAIR BEACH: 8 ACTING То help either Mike or myself prepare the presentation 9 10 of the implementation plan that we agreed on today for the Board for our February meeting. 11 12 I'm just putting myself in there because with Mike's situation I don't know 13 14 where he'll be in February and I'd be happy to 15 do that for him if he's not available. 16 The other item is to develop a couple of options and I believe Arjun already 17 sent some tracking items around, but to work -18 19 - SC&A work together and through email develop those items to also deliver to the Board the 20 tracking items on -- excuse me I lost my train 21 22 of thought here.

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 So for the documentation tracking 2 for public comments, what our plan is going to 3 look like and possibly have a couple of items 4 for us to look at as a Work Group, but we're 5 going to have to do it via email if that's 6 acceptable to everyone.

7 DR. MAKHIJANI: Could I ask for a 8 clarification on that, Josie?

9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes.

10 DR. MAKHIJANI: I sent the thing 11 around to everyone concerned I think.

12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Right.

DR. MAKHIJANI: Will you all be sending back sort of edit mode changes to the Chair, to you, to me, to everyone? How will that work --

17 CHAIR BEACH: Well it. ACTING depends on who is going to take the lead in 18 19 that, either you or Kathy. I think Kathy would want to look at that and then all of us 20 look at it and then whoever we decide we'll 21 22 send comments to. So is that going to be you

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Arjun or --

2 DR. MAKHIJANI: No, no. Kathy is 3 our lead on this, I was just asking in terms of Working Group process whether you all are 4 going to sort of mark up that document and 5 б send it back --ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. 7 -- to SC&A. DR. MAKHIJANI: 8 Yes, 9 Kathy is our lead for this, obviously for the 10 work around. Well, let 11 MEMBER MUNN: me be 12 clear. Are we suggesting that we are going to 13 make only one suggestion to the Board? MR. KATZ: A couple options. 14 15 MEMBER MUNN: No. We are 16 suggesting that going to develop we are 17 several --18 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: A couple. 19 MEMBER MUNN: several _ _ 20 alternatives, correct? ACTING CHAIR BEACH: I don't know 21 if several, but a couple. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. KATZ: I mean you discussed in 2 effect more than one option here and so the 3 idea I think would be for SC&A to capture just 4 sort of a summary, a concise summary of what's 5 being proposed including as alternatives.

And it probably would be useful to 6 have a little bit of narrative to explain 7 rationale for the alternatives and then the 8 Work Group members review that and make sure 9 10 that it actually accurately accounts for the different ideas that were presented here then 11 12 that's what could be presented to the Board so that they could have a discussion about the 13 14 matter.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: And possibly I will suggest it to Mike that maybe we come together as a Work Group prior to the meeting, if time allows before our February meeting, just to, you know, finalize or hash out any points.

21 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Can I make 22 one request?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Sure. 2 MS. **ROBERTSON-DEMERS:** Can you 3 guys also send the comments to Abe since he's my co-lead? 4 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 5 Sure. б MR. KATZ: Sure. 7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Т believe they should go to Abe, Arjun, yourself and all 8 the Work Group members. 9 10 MR. KATZ: And you might as well, you should copy the OCAS people too so they 11 12 can -- since they sat at the meeting and me. 13 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: So now we're adding Stu and J. T. 14 15 DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes, I mean the 16 list that I sent this thing to would be just a convenient reply all because I think 17 Т 18 included everybody. 19 MR. KATZ: But I think at the head 20 of that document, of course, I mean the Work Group did agree that they thought it was a 21 22 good idea that there be such tracking and

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

response, so that would be sort of the front
 end of the document.

ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well, and the other item was to develop the log and I believe you had some ideas, Arjun on that, and I'm sure Kathy does of what we would use initially until that plan develops further.

8 DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes.

9 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So possibly 10 come up with something like that that we could 11 use to start with.

12 DR. MAKHIJANI: Well iust to 13 respond to Wanda. Wanda what I have in response to the discussion about the extent of 14 15 tracking, which is I think a concern that you 16 raised, if I could just read what I had in the notes was nature of the -- the nature of the 17 responses would be tracked as well. 18

19 There concepts about the were 20 length of the responses to scope and be For instance the comments could be 21 tracked. tracked until the Board feels that response is 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

adequate at one end or just the first step in
 the response could be noted in a tracking
 sheet and the process stop there at the other
 end.

full 5 So Т mean you've got а б spectrum of potential responses for the Board to consider as to how much detail you want to 7 do here. And Ι thought that, certainly 8 9 obviously I wrote notes as I heard it.

10 MEMBER MUNN: And that's to 11 trigger the memory so when we --

12 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes, ves. Ιt 13 is. And I would like to ask that people make comments quickly if at all possible because we 14 15 do want to try to bring this to the Board in 16 February. I know this is an unusual way of 17 doing business, but if we can get comments back and -- that would be great. 18

19 Okay. And the other item I had 20 was to ask SC&A to prepare a status report of 21 the Procedure 12 and have that ready for us at 22 our next Work Group meeting.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 MEMBER MUNN: SC&A status report -2 3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes, we 4 tasked them to review so to maybe give us a status of where they're at or I don't think 5 б you're quite ready for a whole review, but --7 And I did have next steps was to actually assigning work 8 look at on the 9 implementation plan. But I just -- I don't 10 think we're ready for that yet, so I took that off my list. 11 12 anybody Does have any other 13 recommendations or actions that I may have not 14 written down that we need to go forward? 15 MR. KATZ: I don't know if we can 16 plan at this point the next meeting, we don't 17 have Mike. So I think --ACTING CHAIR BEACH: No I didn't -18 19 20 -- we could do that by MR. KATZ: email --21 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes, I didn't 22

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 even suggest that.

2 MR. KATZ: -- plan the next 3 meeting. This is before the 4 MR. ZEITOUN: 5 February Board meeting, right? б MR. KATZ: Possibly before --7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Well that was my thought. 8 9 MR. KATZ: -- or possibly after. 10 It's hard to say. I'm not sure that you need a meeting if you can do these things by email, 11 12 the preparation for the presentations. But there's -- it's unclear to me. 13 14 ZEITOUN: MR. Or we can have a teleconference if you want to get the group to 15 16 do it, that's fine. 17 We can always arrange MR. KATZ: for a teleconference. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Yes. And 20 that's something I was thinking too that we may meet just briefly before the next Board 21 22 meeting. Sometimes there's time in the

(202) 234-4433

morning, but I want to kind of defer that to 1 2 Mike and see what Mike's thoughts are on that. 3 MR. KATZ: Yes, and we'll need to -- someone will need to bring Mike up to speed 4 on it since he's missed today. 5 б ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Any 7 other action items, recommendations? And I believe John Funk, are you still on the phone? 8 9 Yes, I am. DR. MAURO: I was 10 going to ask the question, I presume then 11 there are no meetings that are --MR. KATZ: John Mauro -- it's John 12 13 _ _ 14 it's ACTING CHAIR BEACH: No, 15 Funk. 16 MR. KATZ: Yes. No, no. John Mauro was just speaking --17 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Oh, I thought 18 19 that was John Funk. 20 -- but John Mauro I'm MR. KATZ: 21 just saying John Funk had a comment he wanted

22 to make.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1 DR. MAURO: Oh, I'm sorry, I 2 thought you were questioning me.

3 MR. KATZ: No, it's quite okay.4 John Funk?

Ι 5 MR. FUNK: Yes, heard some б comment, I wasn't quite got the whole drift of 7 it, but something to the effect that possibly Sanford and Cohen might be taking sides or 8 Board members might be taking sides 9 in 10 reference to the White Papers.

And I had an incident myself involved in a White Paper and I'd like to make something really very clear on this and there was a discussion made that somebody's taking sides.

I believe the whole purpose of the EEOICPA and the Board, everybody was to find the truth. I think when an advocate comes to the Board or comes to the working Board with an issue, sometimes it's necessary for that advocate to discuss that issue directly with Sanford and Cohen because by the time it gets

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

through four or five people, you don't get
 what you're really after.

3 So, if in a course of bringing up 4 an issue and Sanford and Cohen get involved, 5 if that issue comes out to be positive for the 6 claimant and -- it doesn't mean anybody is 7 taking any sides, it just means that the truth 8 has been arrived at.

So I think you ought to be very 9 10 careful when you go accusing people of taking sides and that should be left -- be very 11 closely looked at when a claimant has an issue 12 13 and it. turns out that that issue is legitimate, and Sanford and Cohen proves that 14 15 issue is legitimate, I don't think they should 16 be accused of anybody taking sides.

MR. KATZ: John, actually there was really no discussion that I can recall and everyone else is shaking their heads around the table about taking sides.

21 MR. FUNK: Well it wasn't quite 22 said in that effect, but they were talking

NEAL R. GROSS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

about Freedom of Information, the White Papers
 and stuff like that.

3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Was that from4 today's meeting John?

5 MR. KATZ: So John's responding to 6 the letter I read from Terrie is in effect 7 saying that SC&A is -- I don't know what. I 8 don't want to reinterpret Terrie's letter, but 9 John is interpreting Terrie's letter.

10 That was, John, I was reading into 11 the record a letter by Terrie Berrie of ANWAG 12 which was addressing some of her concerns 13 about how things operate in terms of SC&A and 14 the Board and OCAS.

Well 15 MR. FUNK: Okay. I have and I'd like to 16 found one thing, make a comment here for any of the advocates who are 17 I think you'll find that Ted 18 listening in. 19 Katz and Denise Brock are very effective and I have all the faith in the world in both of 20 21 them.

22 MR. KATZ: Thank you John for that

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 vote of confidence.

2 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Thank you 3 John. 4 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Thank you 5 John. б MR. KATZ: I appreciate that and I know Denise does as well. 7 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. John 8 do you have anything else? 9 MR. FUNK: No, that's all. I just 10 misunderstood that. I was a little ways away 11 12 from the phone and I heard something about Freedom of Information and the White Papers 13 and I got kind of concerned about that because 14 as you know, the claimants bring up the issues 15 16 and sometimes the Board charges Sanford and Cohen to investigate them. 17 And I don't think it should be 18 19 looked at as anybody taking sides and that's 20 kind of the way I heard it. But I must have heard it wrong, I'm sorry. 21

22 MR. KATZ: I think Terrie Berrie

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

is all in favor or the Board charging SC&A 1 2 with these evaluations where there's issues. 3 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Okay. Thank And then John Mauro, did you have a 4 you John. 5 comment? б DR. MAURO: It wasn't a comment it 7 was a question. ACTING CHAIR BEACH: 8 Okav. It sounds like 9 MAURO: DR. the 10 action items are being identified and I'm assuming that there's no meetings coming up 11 12 that the Work Group would like SC&A to attend? 13 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: Not at this 14 time. 15 DR. MAURO: Okay. And I am 16 correct in assuming that if one does come up, let's say next week and there is some interest 17 on the part of one of the Board members to 18 19 attend or to have SC&A to attend, what would be the action that would be taken? 20 Well John, I mean what MR. KATZ: 21 we discussed is that we want to have actually 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

an evaluation plan specific what -- before we
 send SC&A off to attend a meeting.

3 DR. MAURO: Okay. That's 4 important to know. So really -- so what we're 5 saying is that until we have that plan in 6 place, we will, you know, we will not be 7 attending any meetings?

8 MR. KATZ: Yes, I think that's 9 correct.

10 ACTING CHAIR BEACH: So if there's
11 no further comments or concerns we'll adjourn.
12 Thank you.

13MR. KATZ: Thank you everybody on14the phone as well. Have a good day.

15 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off

16 the record at 2:34 p.m.)

- 17 18
- ΤO
- 19
- 20

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701