



In-Depth Survey Report

Concrete Surface Preparation Tools Machine 6

ALBERTO GARCIA, MS

DAVE MARLOW

ALAN ECHT, DrPH, CIH

AUSTIN STELTZ

**Division of Applied Research and Technology
Engineering and Physical Hazards Branch
EPHB Report No. 368-15a
Concrete Contracting Solutions
Columbus, Ohio
October, 2017**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health**



Site Surveyed:

Vance Outdoors
Columbus, OH

NAICS Code:

238340 Tile and Terrazzo Contractors
238110 Structure Contractors

Survey Dates:

September 09-10, 2014

Surveys Conducted By:

Alberto Garcia, NIOSH/DART/EPHB

David Marlow, NIOSH/DART/EPHB

Employer Representatives Contacted:

Chris Paisley
Concrete Contracting Solutions
10690 Allen Rd NW
Washington Court House, OH 43160

Employee Representatives Contacted:

No employee representatives

Analytical Work Performed by:

Bureau Veritas North America

Disclaimer

Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by NIOSH. In addition, citations to websites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products. Furthermore, NIOSH is not responsible for the content of these websites. All Web addresses referenced in this document were accessible as of the publication date.

Table of Contents

Disclaimer	iii
Abstract	v
Introduction	1
Background for Control Technology Studies	1
Background for this Study	1
Site and Process Description.....	4
Introduction.....	4
Process Description.....	5
Occupational Exposure Limits and Health Effects	6
Crystalline Silica Exposure Limits.....	7
Methodology.....	8
Sampling Strategy.....	8
Sampling Procedures	9
Control Technology	10
Results	10
Silica Content in Air and Bulk Samples	11
Respirable Crystalline Silica (Quartz) Results	12
Discussion.....	13
Conclusions and Recommendations	13
Acknowledgements	14
References.....	14

Abstract

Workplace exposure to respirable crystalline silica can cause silicosis, a progressive lung disease marked by scarring and thickening of the lung tissue. Quartz is the most common form of crystalline silica. Crystalline silica is found in several construction materials, such as brick, block, mortar and concrete. Construction tasks that cut, break, grind, abrade, or drill those materials have been associated with overexposure to dust containing respirable crystalline silica. Colored, stained, and polished concrete floors are increasingly popular for use in homes, offices, retail establishments, schools, and other commercial and industrial settings. Some businesses specify integrally-colored concrete floors in new stores in place of vinyl composite tile. Polished concrete floors are durable, sanitary, and easy to maintain. NIOSH scientists are conducting a study to develop and evaluate engineering control recommendations for respirable crystalline silica generated from concrete polishing operations. This survey was part of that study.

NIOSH staff visited the Vance Outdoors facility in Columbus, OH on September 9th and 10th, 2014. During the site visit, personal breathing zone (PBZ) air samples were collected to measure the respirable dust and respirable crystalline silica exposures of the operator while he used a concrete polisher (HTC, HTC Inc., Sweden). Additionally, area samples were collected on top of the machine during the completion of the task.

The HTC 800HD Classic floor polisher was outfitted with a local exhaust ventilation (LEV) system consisting of two exhaust ports located on the back of the shroud that encased nine polishing tools. The exhaust from both ports was connected to a vacuum system with a manufacturer-rated exhaust airflow of approximately 10,000 liters per minute (L/min) (353 cubic feet per minute (cfm)). The vacuum was equipped with a pre-separator. Once through the pre-separator, the air stream passed through a High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filter and then recirculated to the room.

The aim of this survey was to collect emissions data from the concrete polisher during the use of different polishing grits while operating the dust collection system provided with the machine. Sample times ranged between 41 and 75 minutes with an average sample time of 60 minutes.

A bulk sample was collected from the bag filter of the concrete polisher's vacuum system and was found to contain 21% quartz. No cristobalite or tridymite were detected in the air samples or bulk sample. Therefore, for the purposes of this report, the terms respirable crystalline silica or respirable quartz may be used interchangeably.

The evaluated HTC floor polisher was outfitted with an Ermator (Tampa, FL) dust collection system intended to control and remove dust particles generated during the concrete polishing process. PBZ samples ranged between 24 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) and 61 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ with an arithmetic mean concentration of 44

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Five of the eighteen samples exceeded the NIOSH Recommended Exposure Limit (REL) for respirable quartz of $50 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as a time weighted average (6% – 22% over the NIOSH REL).

The dust control system, as described in this report, did not adequately control worker exposure to respirable crystalline silica during this site visit. Additional evaluation is recommended to collect repeated samples using the same equipment to quantify the actual air flow of the vacuum system and establish a correlation between the actual and the listed airflow.

Introduction

Background for Control Technology Studies

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) is the primary Federal agency engaged in occupational safety and health research. Located in the Department of Health and Human Services, it was established by the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970. This legislation mandated NIOSH to conduct a number of research and education programs separate from the standard setting and enforcement functions carried out by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) in the Department of Labor. An important area of NIOSH research deals with methods for controlling occupational exposure to potential chemical and physical hazards. The Engineering and Physical Hazards Branch (EPHB) of the Division of Applied Research and Technology has been given the lead within NIOSH to study the engineering aspects of health hazard prevention and control.

Since 1976, EPHB has conducted a number of assessments of health hazard control technologies on the basis of industry, common industrial process, or specific control techniques. Examples of these completed studies include the foundry industry; various chemical manufacturing or processing operations; spray painting; and the recirculation of exhaust air. The objective of each of these studies has been to document and evaluate effective control techniques for potential health hazards in the industry or process of interest, and to create a more general awareness of the need for or availability of an effective system of hazard control measures.

These studies involve a number of steps or phases. Initially, a series of walk-through surveys is conducted to select plants or processes with effective and potentially transferable control concept techniques. Next, in-depth surveys are conducted to determine both the control parameters and the effectiveness of these controls. The reports from these in-depth surveys are then used as a basis for preparing technical reports and journal articles on effective hazard control measures. Ultimately, the information from these research activities builds the data base of publicly available information on hazard control techniques for use by health professionals who are responsible for preventing occupational illness and injury.

Background for this Study

Crystalline silica refers to a group of minerals composed of silicon and oxygen; a crystalline structure is one in which the atoms are arranged in a repeating three-dimensional pattern [Bureau of Mines 1992]. The three major forms of crystalline silica are quartz, cristobalite, and tridymite; quartz is the most common form [Bureau of Mines 1992]. Respirable crystalline silica refers to that portion of airborne crystalline silica dust that is capable of entering the gas-exchange regions of the lungs if inhaled; this includes particles with aerodynamic diameters less than approximately 10 micrometers (μm) [NIOSH 2002]. Silicosis, a fibrotic disease of

the lungs, is an occupational respiratory disease caused by the inhalation and deposition of respirable crystalline silica dust [NIOSH 1986]. Silicosis is irreversible, often progressive (even after exposure has ceased), and potentially fatal. Because no effective treatment exists for silicosis, prevention through exposure control is essential.

Crystalline silica is a constituent of several materials commonly used in construction, including brick, block, and concrete. Many construction tasks have been associated with overexposure to dust containing crystalline silica [Chisholm 1999, Flanagan et al. 2003, Rappaport et al. 2003, Woskie et al. 2002]. Among these tasks are tuckpointing, concrete cutting, concrete grinding, abrasive blasting, and road milling [Nash and Williams 2000, Thorpe et al. 1999, Akbar-Khanzadeh and Brillhart 2002, Glindmeyer and Hammad 1988, Linch 2002, Rappaport et al. 2003].

Colored, stained, and polished concrete floors are increasingly popular for use in homes, offices, retail establishments, schools, and other commercial and industrial settings. For example, a major chain store has specified integrally-colored concrete floors in its new stores in place of vinyl composite tile. Polished concrete floors are durable, sanitary, and easy to maintain (Figure 1).



Figure 1: A polished concrete floor (Courtesy of the Concrete Polishing Association)

Concrete floor finishing work is performed by employees of tile and terrazzo contractors (NAICS 238340) and poured concrete foundation and structure contractors (NAICS 238110). In 2007, there were 11,180 tile and terrazzo contracting firms and 24,303 poured concrete contractors in the United States, employing nearly 312,000 construction workers [Census 2012]. The Bureau of Labor Statistics [2012] reported that there were 206,600 cement masons, concrete finishers, and terrazzo workers (SOC 47-2050) employed in 2008. Only about 5 percent of cement masons, concrete finishers, segmental pavers, and terrazzo workers were self-employed, a smaller proportion than in other building trades. Most self-employed masons specialize in small jobs, such as driveways, sidewalks, and patios [BLS 2012]. The number of cement masons, concrete finishers, and terrazzo workers is projected to be 234,500 in 2018 [BLS 2009]. The increasing number

of workers and the growing popularity of concrete as a flooring material will only add to the number of workers exposed to silica from the tasks involved in their construction. Sentinel Event Notification System for Occupational Risk (SENSOR) surveillance data from Michigan, New Jersey, and Ohio identified 7 cases of silicosis in concrete and terrazzo finishers from 1993-2002 [NIOSH 2007]. The success of the NIOSH engineering control research effort will be evident by the reduced number of cases of silicosis among the growing ranks of these workers.

Many walk-behind concrete surfacing tools are sold by the manufacturers with dust controls as original equipment (Figure 2). Other dust controls are offered as after-market options. However, there is little research available that demonstrates that either the original equipment or after-market controls are effective in limiting worker exposures to respirable dust or respirable crystalline silica. Flanagan et al. [2003] reported that seven of nine samples collected during concrete floor sanding exceeded the ACGIH® TLV® for respirable quartz (at that time 0.05 mg/m³, identical to the 10-hour TWA NIOSH REL; however, since then the current TLV® has been reduced to 0.025 mg/m³). The geometric mean and geometric standard deviation for those nine quartz samples were 0.07 mg/m³ and 2.62 mg/m³, respectively. These exposures demonstrate the need to identify effective dust controls for walk-behind concrete surfacing tools to reduce silica exposures among workers using these tools.



Figure 2: Floor polishing tool with water control (Courtesy of the Concrete Polishing Association)

The lack of data demonstrating the effectiveness of dust controls and the increasing popularity of polished concrete floors prompted our partners (the equipment manufacturers and the union that represents the users of these tools and dust controls) to request that NIOSH examine the efficacy of the dust controls used with walk-behind concrete surface preparation equipment.

Research methods are readily available to conduct a study of dust control effectiveness for these tools. Examples include a study by Hallin [1983] and BG Bau [2006]. Working in Sweden, Hallin examined the performance of dust controls for percussion drills, drill hammers, ceiling, floor, and wall grinders, scaling machines, floor-milling machines, and concrete channel-cutting machines. The tests were conducted in a 5x6x2.4 meter room erected inside a large factory. Personal breathing zone (PBZ) and area samples for respirable dust and quartz were collected while a laborer operated the equipment with and without the dust controls, and with and without ventilation to the room. Hallin tested 10 floor

grinding machines. PBZ quartz results ranged from 0.08 mg/m³ to 0.24 mg/m³ for tools used with dust controls.

In a series of experiments in Bavaria, BG Bau [2006] examined dust emissions from hand-held tools such as wall chasers, diamond cutters and drill hammers operated in a 6.9x6.7x4.3 meter test room at a worker training center (Bavarian BauAkademie). Tests were conducted with the dust controls operating while the tools were used by a skilled operator. The test room was unventilated during the tests, and the operator wore appropriate respiratory protection. PBZ and area samples of inhalable and respirable dust were collected during the tests. Video exposure monitoring was performed for distribution among the tool manufacturers to generate ideas regarding improvement of the dust collection systems.

The long-term objective of the current NIOSH study is to provide practical recommendations for effective dust controls that will prevent overexposures to respirable crystalline silica during concrete finishing operations. The specific aims of the project include: 1) To evaluate the effectiveness of the LEV and dust suppression (water) systems sold for use with walk-behind scarifiers, grinders, and polishers and offer research-based recommendations to improve them if necessary; 2) To establish a partnership with manufacturers and users of walk-behind concrete surface preparation equipment; 3) To establish a standard method for evaluating dust controls for tools used in construction in the United States; and 4) To bridge the gap between the pool of available knowledge and the lack of standards and regulations for dust controls in construction and disseminate the information in the form of technical reports, scientific manuscripts, NIOSH Workplace Solutions documents, and trade journal articles.

In 2012, the Association of Equipment Manufacturers (AEM) approached EPHB to request an evaluation of the exposures and controls associated with walk-behind tools used in concrete grinding and polishing operations. The Operative Plasterers' and Cement Masons' International Association (OPCMIA) contacted EPHB with the same concerns. These organizations recognized EPHB's expertise and experience in construction engineering control research. The fact that both the manufacturers and users of the tools are invested in this project from its conception increases the likelihood of success and that any resulting recommendations will be implemented.

Site and Process Description

Introduction

Concrete Contracting Solutions (CCS) started in 2001 and focuses on pouring concrete, concrete foundations, and concrete slabs. In 2008, CCS started a concrete re-surfacing division focused on concrete polishing, concrete staining, and decorative concrete.

Process Description

A polished concrete floor has a glossy, mirror-like finish. The design options for polished concrete are extensive including many colors, patterns created with saw cuts, and aggregates or other interesting objects embedded into the concrete prior to polishing. The reflectivity of the floor can also be controlled by using different levels of polishing.

Heavy-duty polishing machines equipped with progressively finer grits of diamond-impregnated segments or disks (similar to sandpaper) are used to gradually grind down surfaces to the desired degree of shine and smoothness. The polishing process begins with the use of coarse diamond segments bonded in a metallic matrix. These segments are coarse enough to remove minor pits, blemishes, stains, or light coatings from the floor in preparation for final smoothing. Depending on the condition of the concrete, this initial rough grinding is generally a three- to four-step process.

The next steps involve fine grinding of the concrete surface using diamond abrasives embedded in a plastic or resin matrix. Some polishing specialists use even finer grits of polishing disks (a process called lapping) until the floor has the desired sheen. For an extremely high-gloss finish, a final grit of 1500 or finer may be used. Experienced polishing personnel know when to switch to the next-finer grit by observing the floor surface and the amount of material being removed.

During the polishing process, an internal impregnating sealer is applied. The sealer sinks into the concrete and is invisible to the naked eye. It not only protects the concrete from the inside out, it also hardens and densifies the concrete. Some polishing specialists apply a commercial polishing compound onto the surface during the final polishing step, to increase the sheen. These compounds also help clean any residue remaining on the surface from the polishing process and leave a dirt-resistant finish.

In simple steps, the concrete polishing process can be summarized as follows:

- Remove existing coatings (for thick coatings, use a 16- or 20-grit diamond abrasive or more aggressive tool specifically for coating removal).
- Seal cracks and joints with an epoxy or other semi-rigid filler.
- Grind with a 30- or 40-grit metal-bonded diamond.
- Grind with an 80-grit metal-bonded diamond.
- Grind with a 150-grit metal-bonded diamond (or finer, if desired).
- Apply a chemical hardener to densify the concrete.
- Polish with a 100- or 200-grit resin-bond diamond, or a combination of the two.

- Polish with a 400-grit resin-bond diamond.
- Polish with an 800-grit resin-bond diamond.
- Finish with a 1500- or 3000-grit resin-bond diamond (depending on the desired sheen level).
- Optional: Apply a stain guard to help protect the polished surface and make it easier to maintain.

Concrete polishing can be completed using wet or dry methods. Although each has its advantages, dry polishing is the method most commonly used in today's industry because it is faster, more convenient, and environmentally friendly. Wet polishing methods use water to cool the diamond abrasives and eliminate grinding dust. Because the water reduces friction and acts as a lubricant, it increases the life of the polishing abrasives. The main disadvantage of the wet method is the cleanup. Wet polishing creates a slurry that must be collected and disposed of in an environmentally friendly manner. With dry polishing, no water is required. Instead, the floor polisher is connected to a dust-removal system that, in theory, vacuums most of the generated dust from the polishing process. The system tested during this survey used a dry method.

Many polishing specialists use a combination of both the wet and dry polishing methods. Typically, dry polishing is used for the initial grinding steps, when a larger amount of concrete is to be removed. As the surface becomes smoother, and the coarser metal-bonded abrasives are switched to the finer resin-bonded diamond abrasives, the crew generally changes to wet polishing methods.

Occupational Exposure Limits and Health Effects

As a guide to the evaluation of the hazards posed by workplace exposures, NIOSH investigators use mandatory and recommended Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) when evaluating chemical, physical, and biological agents in the workplace. Generally, OELs suggest levels to which most workers may be exposed up to 10 hours per day, 40 hours per week for a working lifetime without experiencing adverse health effects. It is, however, important to note that not all workers will be protected from adverse health effects even though their exposures are maintained below these levels. A small percentage may experience adverse health effects because of individual susceptibility, a pre-existing medical condition, and/or hypersensitivity (allergy). In addition, some hazardous substances may act in combination with other workplace exposures, the general environment, or with medications or personal habits of the worker to produce health effects even if the occupational exposures are controlled at the level set by the exposure limit. Combined effects are often not considered in the OEL. Also, some substances are absorbed by direct contact with the skin and mucous membranes, and thus can increase the overall exposure. Finally, OELs may change over the years as new information on the toxic effects of an agent become available.

Most OELs are expressed as a TWA exposure. A TWA exposure refers to the average airborne concentration of a substance during a normal 8- to 10-hour workday. Some substances have a recommended Short Term Exposure Limit (STEL) and/or ceiling value which is intended to supplement the TWA where there are recognized toxic effects from higher exposures over the short-term.

In the U.S., OELs have been established by Federal agencies, professional organizations, state and local governments, and other entities. The U.S. Department of Labor OSHA Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs) [29 CFR 1910.1000 2003a] are occupational exposure limits that are legally enforceable in workplaces covered under the Occupational Safety and Health Act. NIOSH recommendations are based on a critical review of the scientific and technical information available on the prevalence of health effects, the existence of safety and health risks, and the adequacy of methods to identify and control hazards [NIOSH 1992]. Recommended Exposure Limits (RELs) have been developed using a weight of evidence approach and formal peer review process. Other OELs that are commonly used and cited in the U.S. include the Threshold Limit Values (TLVs[®]) recommended by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH[®]), a professional organization [ACGIH 2015]. ACGIH[®] TLVs are considered voluntary guidelines for use by industrial hygienists and others trained in this discipline “to assist in the control of health hazards.” Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels[®] (WEELs) are recommended OELs developed by the American Industrial Hygiene Association[®] (AIHA), another professional organization. WEELs have been established for some chemicals “when no other legal or authoritative limits exist” [AIHA 2007].

OSHA requires an employer to furnish employees a place of employment that is free from recognized hazards that cause or are likely to cause death or serious physical harm [Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, Public Law 91–596, sec. 5(a)(1)]. Thus, employers are required to comply with OSHA PELs. Some hazardous agents do not have PELs, however, and for others, the PELs do not reflect the most current health-based information. Thus, NIOSH investigators encourage employers to consider the other OELs in making risk assessment and risk management decisions to best protect the health of their employees. NIOSH investigators also encourage the use of the traditional hierarchy of controls approach to eliminate or minimize identified workplace hazards. This includes, in preferential order, the use of: (1) substitution or elimination of the hazardous agent, (2) engineering controls (e.g., local exhaust ventilation, process enclosure, dilution ventilation) (3) administrative controls (e.g., limiting time of exposure, employee training, work practice changes, medical surveillance), and (4) personal protective equipment (e.g., respiratory protection, gloves, eye protection, hearing protection).

Crystalline Silica Exposure Limits

When dust controls are not used or maintained or proper practices are not followed, respirable crystalline silica exposures can exceed the NIOSH REL, the OSHA PEL, or the ACGIH TLV. NIOSH recommends an exposure limit for respirable crystalline

silica of 0.05 mg/m³ as a TWA determined during a full-shift sample for up to a 10-hr workday during a 40-hr workweek to reduce the risk of developing silicosis, lung cancer, and other adverse health effects [NIOSH 2002]. When source controls cannot keep exposures below the NIOSH REL, NIOSH also recommends minimizing the risk of illness that remains for workers exposed at the REL by substituting less hazardous materials for crystalline silica when feasible, by using appropriate respiratory protection, and by making medical examinations available to exposed workers [NIOSH 2002]. In cases of simultaneous exposure to more than one form of crystalline silica, the concentration of free silica in air can be expressed as micrograms of free silica per cubic meter of air sampled (μg/m³) [NIOSH 1975].

$$\mu\text{g SiO}_2/\text{m}^3 = \frac{\mu\text{g Q} + \mu\text{g C} + \mu\text{g T} + \mu\text{g P}}{V} \quad (1)$$

Where Q is quartz, C is cristobalite, and T is tridymite, P is “other polymorphs”, and V is sampled air volume.

The current OSHA PEL for respirable dust containing crystalline silica for the construction industry is measured by impinger sampling. In the construction industry, the PELs for cristobalite and quartz are the same. Since the PELs were adopted, the impinger sampling method has been rendered obsolete by gravimetric sampling [OSHA 1996]. On September 12, 2013, OSHA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) for occupational exposure to respirable crystalline silica. The NPRM was published in the Federal Register and proposes a PEL of 0.050 mg/m³ (consistent with the NIOSH REL) for respirable crystalline silica as an 8-hr TWA exposure [78 Fed. Reg. 56274 (2013)].

The ACGIH TLV for α-quartz (the most abundant toxic form of silica, stable below 573°C) and cristobalite (respirable fraction) is 0.025 mg/m³ [ACGIH 2015]. The TLV is intended to mitigate the risk of pulmonary fibrosis and lung cancer.

For purposes of the current study, sampling results are compared against the NIOSH REL for respirable crystalline silica, which is consistent with the proposed OSHA PEL and twice the ACGIH TLV.

Methodology

Sampling Strategy

PBZ air samples were collected on the concrete polishing machine operator while multiple polishing grits were used during the site visit. Sampling equipment was also placed on top of the concrete polisher and four area samples were collected on the corners of the polishing space. During this survey, the samples were collected approximately every 60 minutes, or when the operator needed to take a break (rather than covering a specific area), while using a 30/40 Metal Bond grit for removal of a stained color on the concrete substrate. After each run, the polished area was cleaned using a Pulse-Bac 1050H (CDCLarue Industries, Inc., Tulsa, OK) portable vacuum cleaner equipped with high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters.

Two TSI SidePak™ Personal Aerosol Monitors AM510 (TSI Incorporated, Shoreview, MN) mounted on a tripod at breathing zone height (1.5 m) at two edges of the grinding area were used to verify that the room was ventilated and cleaned to background respirable dust levels no greater than 0.05 mg/m³. Since there are no direct-reading instruments for respirable crystalline silica, using the crystalline silica REL as the respirable dust background control level ensures that the background silica concentration will be lower than the NIOSH REL.

Sampling Procedures

Air Sampling

PBZ air samples for respirable particulate and crystalline silica were collected at a flow rate of 10 liters per minute (L/min) with a battery-operated sampling pump (Leland Legacy, SKC, Eighty Four, PA) calibrated before and after each work day using a DryCal Primary Flow Calibrator (Bios Defender 510, Mesa Laboratories, Inc., Lakewood, CO). A sampling pump was clipped to the sampled worker's belt worn at his waist. The pump was connected via Tygon® tubing to a pre-weighed, 47-mm diameter, 5.0-µm pore-size polyvinyl chloride (PVC) filter supported by a backup pad in a three-piece filter cassette sealed with a cellulose shrink band (in accordance with NIOSH Methods 0600 and 7500) [NIOSH 1998, NIOSH 2003]. The front portion of the cassette was removed and the cassette was attached to a respirable dust cyclone (RASCAL, model GK4.162, BGI Inc., Waltham, MA). At a flow rate of 10 L/min, the model GK4.162 cyclone has a 50% cut point (D_{50}) of 4.0 µm [BGI 2011]. D_{50} is the aerodynamic diameter of the particle at which penetration into the cyclone declines to 50% [Vincent 2007]. The cyclone was clipped to the sampled workers' shirts near their breathing zone. In addition to the PBZ samples, sampling equipment (same equipment type as used for the personal samples) was also placed on top of the concrete polisher, and four area air samples were collected in the corners of the polishing space. Field blank samples were taken on each sampling day. Bulk dust samples were also collected from the captured dust in the engineering control in accordance with NIOSH Method 7500 [NIOSH 2003].

The goal for this study is to evaluate as many tools and grits as possible in the shortest amount of time. Therefore, the high-flow cyclone was specifically developed under this project to provide sample results above the limit of detection (LOD) and above the limit of quantitation (LOQ) for short term samples. It is important to remember that for this industry, workers operate these concrete polishers for a full 8-hour day (and potentially longer) usually only stopping for lunch or to change the tooling and grits on the machines as needed.

The filter samples were analyzed for respirable particulates according to NIOSH Method 0600 [NIOSH 1998]. The filters were allowed to equilibrate for a minimum of two hours before weighing. A static neutralizer was placed in front of the balance (model AT201, Mettler-Toledo, Columbus, OH); each filter was passed over the

neutralizer before weighing. The LOD was 20 µg/sample, and the LOQ was 53 µg/sample.

Crystalline silica analysis of filter and bulk samples was performed using X-ray diffraction according to NIOSH Method 7500 [NIOSH 2003]. The LODs for quartz, cristobalite, and tridymite were 5 µg/sample, 5 µg/sample, and 10 µg/sample, respectively. The LOQs for quartz, cristobalite, and tridymite were 17 µg/sample, 33 µg/sample, and 33 µg/sample, respectively.

Control Technology

Many walk-behind concrete surfacing tools are sold with dust controls as original equipment by the manufacturers. Other dust controls are offered as after-market options. However, there is little research available that demonstrates that either the original equipment or after-market controls are effective in limiting worker exposures to respirable dust or respirable crystalline silica. The HTC floor polisher was outfitted with a LEV system consisting of two exhaust ports located on the back of the shroud that encased the nine polishing disks. The exhaust from these ports was connected to a Pullman Ermator (Tampa, FL) S36 HEPA dust extractor vacuum system with a pre-separator that provided a maximum reported exhaust airflow of 10,000 L/min (353 cfm). Once through the pre-separator, the air stream was HEPA filtered and then recirculated to the room.

As the concrete polisher was operated, the flow induced by the spinning of the polishing tooling caused a large portion of the generated dust to accumulate in the periphery of the shroud, where the vacuum inlet ports captured and removed it from the shroud area.

Results

The aim of this study was to collect emissions data from the concrete polisher using a single grit while using the dust collection system provided with the machine. This study also sought to determine whether the engineering controls employed on this concrete polisher were able to control respirable silica exposures below the NIOSH REL of 50 µg/m³ (0.05 mg/m³) if the tasks were to continue throughout a regular 8-hour work day.

Table 1 includes the sample times, sampling volumes, pump information, and grit used for the samples collected on the operator and on the concrete polisher. Sample times ranged between 41 and 75 minutes with an average sample time of 60 minutes.

Table 1 – General Sample Information

Sample Location	Grit	Sampling Flow Rate(Lpm)	Sample Time (min)	Sample Volume(m ³)
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.04	52	0.52
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.04	41	0.41
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.04	50	0.50
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.04	75	0.75
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.04	69	0.69
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.04	56	0.56
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.01	70	0.70
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.01	70	0.70
Machine	30/40 Metal	10.01	61	0.61

Silica Content in Air and Bulk Samples

Table 2 presents the respirable crystalline silica and respirable dust masses reported for the personal samples and also for those samples located on top of the concrete polisher. The total sample collection time for the operator was 544 minutes.

Table 2 – Respirable Dust Mass and Respirable Silica (Quartz)

Sample Location	Grit	Respirable Particulate (µg/sample)	Respirable Silica (µg/sample)
Personal	30/40 Metal	240	53
Machine	30/40 Metal	140	42
Personal	30/40 Metal	150	50
Machine	30/40 Metal	66	53
Personal	30/40 Metal	120	37
Machine	30/40 Metal	<LOD	12
Personal	30/40 Metal	130	30
Machine	30/40 Metal	<LOD	9

Sample Location	Grit	Respirable Particulate (µg/sample)	Respirable Silica (µg/sample)
Personal	30/40 Metal	130	28
Machine	30/40 Metal	<LOD	12
Personal	30/40 Metal	230	57
Machine	30/40 Metal	76	18
Personal	30/40 Metal	160	24
Machine	30/40 Metal	130	13
Personal	30/40 Metal	310	61
Machine	30/40 Metal	140	14
Personal	30/40 Metal	320	61
Machine	30/40 Metal	110	16

Three blank samples were collected and no crystalline silica was detected on any of these samples. A bulk sample was collected from the dust captured in the bag filter of the vacuum system connected to the concrete polisher. This sample was analyzed by the laboratory and it was reported to contain 18% quartz. No cristobalite or tridymite were detected in the air samples or bulk sample.

Respirable Crystalline Silica (Quartz) Results

Table 3 includes respirable silica (quartz) concentrations in micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³). For the PBZ samples, the minimum sample concentration was 24 µg/m³, the maximum was of 61 µg/m³, and an arithmetic mean concentration of 44 µg/m³. For the samples collected on top of the concrete polisher, the minimum sample concentration was 9 µg/m³, the maximum was of 53 µg/m³, and an arithmetic mean concentration of 21 µg/m³.

Table 3 – Respirable Dust and Respirable Crystalline Silica (Quartz) Results

Sample Location	Grit	Respirable Particulate Concentration (µg/m ³)	Respirable Silica Concentration (µg/m ³)
Personal	30/40 Metal	473	53
Machine	30/40 Metal	268	42
Personal	30/40 Metal	375	50
Machine	30/40 Metal	160	53
Personal	30/40 Metal	246	37
Machine	30/40 Metal	<LOD	12
Personal	30/40 Metal	178	30
Machine	30/40 Metal	<LOD	9
Personal	30/40 Metal	193	28
Machine	30/40 Metal	<LOD	12

Sample Location	Grit	Respirable Particulate Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Respirable Silica Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Personal	30/40 Metal	421	57
Machine	30/40 Metal	135	18
Personal	30/40 Metal	236	24
Machine	30/40 Metal	186	13
Personal	30/40 Metal	458	61
Machine	30/40 Metal	200	14
Personal	30/40 Metal	542	61
Machine	30/40 Metal	180	16

*NIOSH Silica REL $50 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ($0.05 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$)

Discussion

If exposures were to continue throughout an 8-hour work-day and assuming steady, constant, and similar dust generation rates as the ones observed during this survey, the LEV used with this HTC concrete polisher would not adequately control respirable silica exposures below the NIOSH REL. Five of the eighteen samples exceeded the NIOSH REL (6% – 22% over the NIOSH REL). These results may be influenced by this particular LEV design. Also, this particular make/model (HTC model 800D) of floor polisher had been evaluated in a previous field study (see NIOSH report EPHB 368-12a); in that case, the unit was outfitted with a different model extraction system rated at a maximum exhaust flow rate of 13,479 L/min (476 cfm). This was roughly 35% greater exhaust volume than that observed in the current study. During the previous evaluation, all samples were below the NIOSH REL, and many were below the LOD for silica dust. The combination of the lower exhaust volume provided by the current vacuum system and the inefficient sealing of the floor shroud potentially contributed to the loss of containment for the dust extraction system used during this survey.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Controlling exposures to occupational hazards is the fundamental method of protecting workers. Traditionally, a hierarchy of controls has been used as a means of determining how to implement feasible and effective controls. One representation of the hierarchy of controls can be summarized as follows:

- Elimination
- Substitution
- Engineering Controls (e.g. ventilation)
- Administrative Controls (e.g. reduced work schedules)
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE, e.g. respirators)

The idea behind this hierarchy is that the control methods at the top of the list are potentially more effective, protective, and economical (in the long run) than those

at the bottom. Following the hierarchy normally leads to the implementation of inherently safer systems, ones where the risk of illness or injury has been substantially reduced.

The HTC concrete polisher evaluated in this survey was equipped with an engineering control, a LEV system intended to control and remove dust particles generated during the concrete polishing process. The operator of this concrete polisher should consider the use of a dust collection system that provides a higher flow rate than the one evaluated on this survey; potentially equal or above the maximum rated airflow of 13,479 L/min (476 cfm) observed on the HTC dust extraction unit. Additional evaluation is recommended to collect repeated samples using the same equipment to quantify the actual flow of the vacuum system and to establish a correlation between the actual and rated airflows.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Chris Paisley from Concrete Polishing Contractors, Andy Bowman from ACI, and staff of both companies for their gracious hospitality and assistance during this survey.

References

78 Fed. Reg. 56274 [2013]. Occupational exposure to respirable crystalline silica, a proposed rule.

ACGIH® [2015]. 2015 TLVs® and BEIs®: threshold limit values for chemical substances and physical agents and biological exposure indices. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

AIHA [2007]. 2007 Emergency Response Planning Guidelines (ERPG) & Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels (WEEL) Handbook. Fairfax, VA: American Industrial Hygiene Association.

Akbar-Khanzadeh F, Brillhart RL [2002]. Respirable crystalline silica dust exposure during concrete finishing (grinding) using hand-held grinders in the construction industry. *Ann Occup Hyg* 46: 341-346.

BG Bau [2006]. Evaluation of dust emission properties for hand-operated power tools and devices used for work on mineral materials. Final report. Research project. Berlin: Berufsgenossenschaft der Bauwirtschaft (Professional Association of Construction Industry). Available at <http://www.gisbau.de/service/sonstiges/staub/BG%20Bau-evaluation%20of%20dust%20emission%202-2010.pdf>. Accessed March 1, 2011.

BGI [2011]. An Excel spreadsheet for Q vs D50 calculations. Available on-line at http://www.bgiusa.com/cyc/gk2.69_calculator.xls. Accessed Dec. 31, 2012.

Bousquin J [2009]. Fiber cement's aesthetics and durability continue to gain fans. Building Products Magazine. Publication date: February 13, 2009. [<http://www.prosalesmagazine.com/industry-news.asp?sectionID=0&articleID=875202>] accessed 11/30/2009.

Bureau of Mines [1992]. Crystalline silica primer. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Branch of Industrial Minerals, Special Publication.

CFR [2003a]. 29 CFR 1910.1000. Code of Federal Regulations. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register.

CFR [2003b]. 29 CFR 1926.55. Code of Federal Regulations. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register.

Chisholm J [1999]. Respirable dust and respirable silica concentrations from construction activities. Indoor Built Environ 8: 94-106.

EPA [2000]. Meteorological monitoring guidance for regulatory modeling applications. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. EPA-454/R-99-005.

Flanagan ME, Seixas N, Majar M, Camp J, Morgan M [2003]. Silica dust exposures during selected construction activities. AIHA Journal 64: 319-328.

Glindmeyer HW, Hammad YY [1988]. Contributing factors to sandblasters' silicosis: inadequate respiratory protection equipment and standards. J Occup Med. 30: 917-921.

Hallin N [1983]. Occurrence of quartz in the construction sector; an investigation of the occurrence of quartz dust in connection with various operations in the construction sector. Stockholm: Bygghälsan.

Linch, KD [2002]. Respirable concrete dust-silicosis hazard in the construction industry. Appl Occup Environ Hyg, 17: 209-221.

Lofgren DJ, Johnson DC, Walley TL [2004]. OSHA Compliance issues: silica and noise exposure during installation of fiber-cement siding. Occup & Env Hyg J 1: D1-D6.

Nash NT, Williams DR [2000]. Occupational exposure to crystalline silica during tuckpointing and the use of engineering controls. Appl Occup Environ Hyg, 15: 8-10.

NIOSH [1975]. Criteria for a recommended standard – Occupational exposure to crystalline silica. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Center for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, HEW Publication No. (NIOSH) 75-120.

NIOSH [1986]. Occupational respiratory diseases. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 86-102.

NIOSH [1992]. Recommendations for occupational safety and health: compendium of policy documents and statements. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 92-100.

NIOSH [1998]. Particulates not otherwise regulated, respirable. NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM[®]), 4th ed., 2nd Supplement, Schlecht, P.C. & O'Connor, P.F. Eds. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 98-119.

NIOSH [2002]. NIOSH Hazard Review: Health Effects of Occupational Exposure to Respirable Crystalline Silica. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2002-129.

NIOSH [2003]. Silica, crystalline, by XRD (filter redeposition). NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM[®]), 4th ed., 3rd Supplement, Schlecht, P.C. & O'Connor, P.F. Eds. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2003-154.

OSHA [1996]. Memorandum for regional administrators from: Joseph A. Dear. Subject: special emphasis program (SEP) for silicosis. May 2nd, 1996. Appendix F: Permissible Exposure Limits for Construction and Maritime.

OSHA [2008]. National emphasis program - Crystalline silica. CPL 03-00-007. 01/24/2008. Appendix E: Conversion factor for silica PELs in construction and maritime. Retrieved September 29, 2010 from http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=DIRECTIVES&p_id=3790#e

OSHA [2013]. OSHA Fact Sheet: OSHA's proposed crystalline silica rule: overview. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. https://www.osha.gov/silica/factsheets/OSHA_FS-3683_Silica_Overview.html

Qi C, Echt A, See M [2013]. In-Depth Survey Report: Partnering to Control Dust from Fiber-Cement Siding. DHHS/CDC/NIOSH Cincinnati, OH. Report No. EPHB 358-11a. <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/surveyreports/pdfs/358-11a.pdf>

Rappaport SM, Goldberg M, Susi P, Herrick RF [2003]. Excessive exposure to silica in the U.S. construction industry. *Ann Occup Hyg* 47:111-122.

Thorpe A, Ritchie AS, Gibson MJ, Brown RC [1999]. Measurements of the effectiveness of dust control on cut-off saws used in the construction industry. *Ann Occup Hyg* 43:443-456.

US Census Bureau [2013]. SURVEY OF CONSTRUCTION, Principle Type of Exterior Wall Material of New One-Family Houses Completed.
<http://www.census.gov/const/C25Ann/sftotalexwallmat.pdf>. Accessed November 13, 2013.

Vincent JH [2007]. *Aerosol sampling*. Chichester, West Sussex, England: John Wiley&Sons, Ltd. Pp. 203.

Woskie SR, Kalil A, Bello D, Virji MA [2002]. Exposures to quartz, diesel, dust, and welding fumes during heavy and highway construction. *AIHA Journal* 63:447-457



**Delivering on the Nation's promise:
Safety and health at work for all people
through research and prevention.**

To receive NIOSH documents or other information about occupational safety and health topics, contact NIOSH at

1-800-CDC-INFO (1-800-232-4636)

TTY: 1-888-232-6348

E-mail: cdcinfo@cdc.gov

or visit the NIOSH Web site at www.cdc.gov/niosh

For a monthly update on news at NIOSH, subscribe to NIOSH eNews by visiting www.cdc.gov/niosh/eNews

SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE